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## INTR0DUCTION.

The history of the Hellenic people, from the days of their struggle with Persia, down to their submission to the Western Conquerors, is a story which can never tire, if only for its wealth of striking and pathetic incidents. But it is the intellectual greatness of the Greeks, and their important influence upon the world, which invests with a peculiar interest everything connected with them. In poetry, in philosophy, in art, they have shaped the thoughts of all succeeding time. And the history of the Greeks, thus unique in its interest, lies open to us in a literature equally original. So rich is their historical literature, that the very brilliance of Herodotos, Thukydides, and Xenophon almost blinds us to the sterling common sense of a Polybios, or the painstaking labours of a Diodoros. Nor do we always remember how much valuable history we owe to the accurate notes of travellers like Strabo and Pausanias. Even the very gossip of Greek political circles survives for us in the jests of Aristophanes, or the anecdotes of Plutarch and Athenreos.

The literary documents bearing upon Greek history form a very wide field, upon which the labours of many generations of scholars have been spent, with the result of recalling for the modern reader the very colour and movement of ancient Greek life in the pages of Thirlwall, Grote, Curtius, and other great writers. But while the literary data have thus been subjected to the most careful sifting, and have been assuming a more complete and final form, another and supplementary class of documents has been acquiring new prominence. The liberation of Greece, and the increasing facilities
for travel in the Levant, began early in this century to bring to light a larger number of archæological monuments connected with classical Greece than had ever been known before; and it suited the scientific temper of the time to turn to these with an instinctive energy for their careful investigation.

To a certain degree any and every object recovered from the ruins of antiquity will help in the illustration of ancient life. But the study of archaology rises almost to an equality with the study of ancient literature, when we find in a work of art the interpretation of the spirit of a period, or when the excavation of an ancient site unlocks the secret of its history. It is true that the very richness of Greek literary records has deprived the evidence of Greek archæology of some of its importance. Nevertheless the evidence of Greek coinage has never failed to engage the attention of historians; and any scholar may see at a glance how intimately Greek politics are illustrated by Greek coinage, by turning over the numismatic manuals lately issued by the British Museum ${ }^{1}$.

With good reason I have reminded the reader of the listorical importance of Greek coinage; for in approaching the study of inscriptions, it will be instructive to compare our work with the work of the numismatist. Now the coin and the inscription have this in common, that both of them are works of art, and both of them also bear a written record. But although the coin usually contains a stamped legend, yet it obviously belongs more to archieology than to literature. When however we turn to inscriptions, the literary interest is the primary consideration, the archæological interest stands second. The inscription combines, it is true, some of the interest of a piece of sculpture, together with that of a manuscript. The archreologist is concerned to note the metal, or the kind of marble employed; the ornamentation, if any, as an index of the age and the style of art-cultivation; the size, shape, and

[^0]manner of the lettering, as invaluable marks of the date and locality. But as a literary document the inscription has all the interest of an archetype manuscript: it is an authentic record of the time to which it refers. Whatever amount of information the inscription may convey, be it little or much, its evidence will at least be welcomed with eager curiosity. It is impossible to linger, for example, over those awkwardlooking numeral letters in the financial inscriptions of the Periklean time, without a peculiar sense of satisfaction. We are here face to face with state documents which Perikles may have issued, and Thukydides may have read.

Such reflections, however, it may be said, belong merely to the sentiment of the dilettante. What is the real value of Greek inscriptions to the serious student of Greek history? And here I might perhaps regret that my Manual appears at a moment when the greatest of Greek historians has been lately strengthened in his just hold upon English scholars by a translation which will become classical. For Professor Jowett is so engrossed by the genius of his author and by the paramount value of Greek literary records, that he can find little to glean from the duller study of inscriptions. Some may find a pleasure (he says) 'on Greek soil, under the light of the blue heaven, amid the scenes of ancient glory, in reading inscriptions, or putting together fragments of stone or marble.' But 'they add to our knowledge' only 'a few facts.' We must return to the study of the literature of Hellas, 'finding some little pleasure by the way (like that of looking at an autograph) in deciphering the handwriting of her children amid the dust of her ruins ${ }^{1}$.' We may trace in this estimate a lingering echo of that controversy which long continued between the last and greatest representative of the old school of purely literary scholarship, and Augustus Bïckh, the founder of the newer school. It seemed impossible for Gadfrey Hermann to understand those new methods of study, wherein (so far from Greek literature being dethroned) archarology

[^1]and literatare combine to call to life again the features of classical civilization. But since the time of Böckh, whose patient learning was only equalled by his brilliant generalizations, it has become not so much a maxim as an instinct with scholars to regard archæology as the handmaid of literature, and to study the life of ancient Hellas as a whole, illustrating it by every light that can be thrown upon it, whether from the genius of her authors, or the witness of her inscriptions, or the progress and decay of her art, or the physical features of the country, or the excavation of ancient sites.

The most devoted students of inscriptions will be the first to urge that too much must not be expected from them. If we leave out of sight the more private inscriptions, and confine ourselves to those which illustrate history, and have a place in this collection, we find that they nearly all consist of decrees of public assemblies, laws, treaties, letters of kings and others, votive offerings, or statements of public accounts, and these all share the usual reserve and formality of official documents. The information they yield is indirect. It is like examining medirval charters, or financial state-papers, or the letters and other documents of the Record Office, for the illustration of English history. You must know how to interrogate the documents rightly, in order to get at the information they contain.

We shall express precisely the strength and the reakness of inscriptions as bearing upon history, when we say that they give us almost always just the information we least expected. They supplement, even more than they confirm or illustrate, the writings of ancient historians. For the inscriptions at present discovered are but a few fragments from the wreck of the ancient world. They were originally deposited chiefly in temples or other public buildings, where they might be at once safe and accessible, and these buildings have been long ago destroyed by earthquake or invasion, and their ruins have often for centuries served as convenient quarries for the
successive inhabitants of ancient sites. We need not therefore wonder that though some 4000 Attic tombstones have been read, yet we have hardly ever come upon the epitaph of any historical character. Among the numberless public documents found upon the Akropolis, only now and then do we light upon the names of men known to fame, like Nikias, Alkibiades, Demades. Only occasionally do we meet with documents (such as Nos. 39-60), which a reader of Thukydides would have expected to find. Considering the large extent of colonization in Greece, it is singular that No. 29 is the only known decree upon the subject, and this deals with an almost unheard-of settlement. But it is this unexpectedness which constitutes the chief interest of inscriptions; they afford information which we cannot get elsewhere.

A more serious defect arises from the fact that so few localities in Greece have been at all made to yield up the inscribed monuments which lie buried beneath. On some ferr sites indeed, chiefly the sites of ancient temples, systematic explorations have been made, as at Delphi, Priene, Olympia, Ephesos, Delos, Dodona. To these may be added the excarations of Mr. Newton at Knidos, Halikarnassos, and Branchida, those of the Athenian government on the Akropolis or the Great Theatre, and the German excavations at Pergamon. Cpon these and other sites inscriptions have been diligently searched for and found. But for the rest, we have to trust to the chance discoveries made in the course of modern building on ancient sites. Thus by far the largest yield has been from those localities which are still in modern times the sites of flourishing towns. Here in the continual course of the demolition of old buildings and the erection of new, large numbers of documents have come to light. Let any one glance at a collection like Böckh's Corpus Inscriptionum Gracarum, and see how large a proportion comes from places like Athens, Thessalonika, Smyrna, and Rome, and he will understand how partial and accidental is our present acquaintance with the inscribed monuments of ancient Greece. But small as it
is in comparison with the ancient total, it is sufficiently large to occupy the energies of many students, and to afford valuable results.

It is a serious drawback that the marbles which survive to us are often miserably chipped and broken. The result is that their value often becomes apparent only after a wide and careful study of similar documents ${ }^{1}$. To those whose business it is to edit these inscribed texts, no fragment is without value. A tiny bit of marble in an English collection may just complete some broken slab recently discovered in Greece, so that you cannot pronounce a priori any fragment to be unimportant. Those who open a volume of inscriptions for the first time think very differently. They are naturally disappointed at the incompleteness of most documents, and they find a stumbling-block in what appear to be the capricious restorations of the editor. Why should such license of conjecture be allowed in an inscription, when no sound critic would deal so with a manuscript? The answer is twofold. In the first place, the language of inscriptions (especially honorary decrees, treaties, and other kinds) is often formal and stereotyped; the same phrases recur, with very slight variation, from one end of Greece to the other. This sameness and formality of phrasing makes the restoration of many inscriptions an casy matter. And secondly, where the general sense of a fragment is tolerably clear, it is allowable to supply by way of suggestion a few words that may help the reader

[^2]to follow the probable sequence of syntax and meaning. In such cases the editor is bound to point out that no certainty attaches to the verbal restorations suggested: they are only to serve as a conrenient commentary.

The growing amount of materials has called into existence a school of students, with Augustus Böckh as their founder, whose task it is to collate, edit, and arrange the ancient texts; and further, to gather from the edited texts the historical and other results of their studies. Böckh's Political Economy of Athens, well known in England through its translation by Sir G. C. Lewis, was an early and a signal example of this kind of study. A similar value belongs to Köhler's Urkunden und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte cles delisch-attischen Bundes, Dumont's L'Ephébie Attique, Foucart's Des associations religieuses chez les Grecs, Lüders' Die dionysischen Kïnstler, and many others, some of which are enumerated at the end of this Introduction. Neither could such manuals as the Griechische Alterthümer of K. F. Hermann, or of G. F. Schömann, have been written, but for that study of inscriptions to which Schömann especially was deroted.

It is from works like these that we can best appreciate the benefits conferred upon Greek studies by the discovery of inscriptions. But the reader of such a book as Curtius' History of Greece will not unfrequently have been reminded of the Epigraphical pièces justificatives a vailable for the illustration of Greek history. And Mr. Capes, in his lectures on University Lije in ancient Athenx, has shown that the curious information afforded by inscriptions is sometimes entertaining; although anything more dreary than the original documents upon which Dumont and Dittenberger had based their deseriptions, I cannot conceive.

In the present volume it seemed best, with the consent of the Delegates of the Press, to confine myself to documents directly illustrating history only. Of course a very large number of Greek inscriptions relate to religious ceremonies, agonistic contests, and concerns of private life; or have to do
with legal and constitutional antiquities rather than with historical events. If it is thought desirable, another volume embracing these classes of documents could easily be prepared, which would probably exceed the present volume in interest, inasmuch as the subjects it would illustrate are less familiar to the readers of Greek literature.

This volume therefore contains only Historical inscriptions. And in presenting these to the reader, I have denied myself the pleasure of enlarging upon the archæology of the subject. Thus I have been content to print the texts (with three exceptions ${ }^{1}$ ) in cursive only, without trying to represent the originals in facsimile, or in various alphabets of uncial type. For the original appearance of the marble concerns rather the professed epigraphist, than the historical student, to whom the inscription is merely one more historical document. At the same time, no pains have been spared to secure the accuracy of the texts. Whenever the original was out of my reach, the copies only of the most recent and most careful editors have been followed. Sometimes I have had access to unpublished copies or impressions, through the kindness of friends. Nearly all of my texts have been edited before; nevertheless, whenever possible, I have verified the published texts by a reference to the marbles. The result is, that whenever my texts differ from the copies already published, it is because I have to offer a better and completer text. Whenever the size of the page allowed it, the lines of text are printed just as they stand on the marble, so as the better to show at a glance what portions of the stone are mutilated. When the text is printed consecutively, as in ordinary Greek prose, the original division of the lines is marked by vertical strokes (e.g. roŷro).

In the notes and explanations nothing has been admitted which did not strictly belong to the matter in hand. Words, however curious, which have found a place in Liddell and Scott's Lexicon, are passed over without remark. And legal and constitutional terms are not dwelt upon, when any reader

[^3]can refer for explanation to Smith's Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities, or Pauly's Real-encyclopädie, or the admirable works of Schömann, Antiquitates Juris publici Grcecorum and Griechische Alterthiumer. Nothing, again, is more valuable to the student of Greek Dialects than the evidence of inscriptions-a subject which has been already dealt with in H. L. Ahrens' De dialectis, in Cauer's Delectus, and other works. Now, although many of my texts suggest points of dialectical and grammatical interest, these points will receive very little comment, since this selection was made with a very different purpose, and it was desirable to avoid wordiness.
There is an impression of unfamiliarity and strangeness about the style and expression of inscriptions, and there are many scholars to whom they are an onknown and uninviting region. I am, however, sufficiently sanguine to hope that this Manual may be the means of bringing some students face to face with the original marbles. Any one who has worked mach at these will readily echo the confession of Böckh: 'However dry may seem the task of tracing the worn or broken characters upon the cold surface of the stone, yet the existing books on this subject sufficiently prove what a genuine enthusiasm many have felt for these time-honoured monuments of ancient civilization,-and I frankly own myself of their number ${ }^{1}$ '. With this hope, I have been glad, whenever it was possible, to include in this selection any monuments which are close at hand, either in the Ashmolean and the Marble Room at Oxford, or in the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge, or are almost equally accessible to the English student in the Department of Greek and Roman Antiquities at the British Museum. The Oxford reader e.g. is invited to work out carefully the printed texts, the originals of which are in the University Collection. If he will then, book in hand, consult the marbles word by word, he will in a few hours have learned more about Greek inscriptions thin any

[^4]written account could have taught him. If he wishes to proceed further into the subject, the best introduction is Franz's Elementa Epigraphices Graccel.

An editor of inscriptions who desires to conciliate his reader's goodwill, must chiefly rely upon orderly arrangement of his materials-lucidus ordo. The arrangement of this volume is strictly chronological; and a date, more or less precise, is assigned to each document. The date of inscriptions has, in most cases, to be determined by internal and circumstantial evidence, the style of the characters being a principal indication. Neither is it always easy to connect an inscribed decree or treaty with known historical events. Though I do not share the scepticism of Professor Jowett, I hope I have used due caution, and have endeavoured in every case to draw a distinct line between what is fairly certain and what is as yet unverified hypothesis. The reader is throughout referred in the notes to sources where he will find the date and other details concerning the inscriptions fully reasoned out.

The divisions of the work follow the obvious periods into which Greek history seems to fall. It will be seen that the documents from Athens alone outnumber those from all the rest of Greece. This is partly owing to the greater historical importance of Attic inscriptions, requiring a larger proportion to be therefore included in an historical collection. But it is also true that no Greek government was so careful in inscribing ${ }^{2}$ its public records as the Athenian; and moreover at Athens far more has been done than elsewhere in the way of excavation and the discovery of ancient monuments. It will be also noticed how at Athens (as elsewhere) the inscribed records became more numerous, and also more intolerably wordy, the later we proceed in the history. I have therefore spared the reader's patience by admitting very few of these

[^5]later verbose decrees. Specimens, however, are given of various kinds, and examples have been selected as far as could be from every part of Greece. It is perhaps tedious and disappointing to examine the many hundreds of commonplace honorary decrees which are being turned up in every ancient site in Greece proper, in the islands, and in the Thrakian and Asiatic colonies. And yet even these have a value. It is a fact of immense interest to the historical student to recognize the general resemblance of the formulas in which are cast the records of all the Greek-speaking race, from the time of Perikles onward. We are accustomed to dwell upon the isolation which prevailed among the Greek cities. We note how seas and mountains and diversities of race kept the Greeks asunder. The strange fact is that they should have been so sundered. For in truth the most rigid separation existed side by side with the closest resemblance and gencral unity. Their most divergent dialects were yet mutually intelligible. Their games, their religious centres, were bonds of unity. And though throughout the whole of that wide area every little town was a separate centre of corporate life, though the titles of their magistrates, and the names of their political and social institutions might differ, yet the type of civil government everywhere developed was the same with insignificant variations; or, if you looked more closely, you found but two types, the democratic and the oligarchic, and these admitted of very slight modifications.

It would have been possible to prolong the selection down to the times of Byzantine history. But it is evident that the political history of Greece as such comes to an end with the Roman Conquest. Accordingly, only a few documents have been included after the 'Liberation' decreed by Flamininus, B.C. 196. As we proceed later, although the Greek people still retained their own peculiar character, and their national existence was unaffected by conquest, yet their historical documents became less and less important; the centre of things has shifted to Rome. What the interests of the

Greeks were under the Empire, can be read in the Orations (far from uninteresting) of Aristides or Dion Chrysostom. We need by no means endorse the slighting estimate of the Greeks given by Tacitus and Juvenal. It was impossible for the Romans to do justice to the Greeks; they inevitably came into contact with them at their worst. Although their historical inscriptions are of little interest after the Roman Conquest, yet some of the most valuable inscriptions relating to the religious customs and other more private institutions of Hellas belong to these later days. The Greek religion, and all that gathered round it, still survived: and the very absence of great political interests will alone account, not only for the exuberance of later Greek rhetoric, but also for that excessire and universal growth of agonistic contests and religious festivals which marked the days of Greek subjection.

I have kept closer to Grote than to Curtius in the preparation of the volume. It did not suit the design of the great German historian to discuss fully at every step the grounds of his conclusions, and give the evidence on which they rest. Although in his later volumes his references to documents become more frequent, yet it is clear that Curtius feels himself to le writing apos cloóras,-he is giving a brilliant representation of Greek national life, as the outcome of varied researches in which he himself has borne a distinguished part, and in which Böckh, Schömann, Kirchhoff, and Köhler may be taken as representative names. For most English students Grote's History is of paramount value. True that only in his later volumes does Grote awake to the importance of epigraphical evidence, and then he only cites it cautiously and at second hand. But from first to last the reader is brought face to face with the existing literary evidence. Herodotos, Thukydides, Xenophon, Demosthenes, acquire life and roice, and are made to tell us their own tale of what they themselves had seen and heard. Not only in its human and political interest does Grote's work possess a fascination for the reader, but it is a valuable intellectual
discipline to be shown at every step the processes of historical enquiry, and to be made by the great historian not only a listener to his story, but a sharer in his investigations. There is therefore this practical resson for citing Grote so often in this colume, that the reader will there find all the references to ancient historians which bear upon the events under discussion. Worthy to compare with Grote for his patient sifting of ancient texts, comparable to Curtius in his archæological learning, is Droysen, who, in the last edition of his Hellerismus, has given a picture of Alexander's career, and of the terrible convulsions which followed his death. Certainly he has infused a new life and interest into a period which is of deep importance to the world's history, although most of us are repelled from it by the ghastly monotony of bloodshed and despotism, or are only attracted by a biographical rather than a historical interest.

Besides a continual reference to these principal modern historians, the reader will be in every instance informed where to find the various documents best edited and discussed. Since the publication of Böckh's Corpus Inscriptionum Gracarum, great numbers of inscriptions have been discorered; and an attempt has been made to edit a complete collection of those from Attika in Kirchhoff's Corpus Inscriptionum Atticarum, three volumes of which have appeared. But for the inscriptions from other parts of Greece, and for many of the Attic ones also, you have to search up and down the volumes of the Archaulogische Zeitung, the Monatsberichte of the Berlin Academy, and the various German, French, and Greek periorlicals; not to mention the numerous dissertations Which issue from the foreign Universities. I have endearoured to glean from all of these the most interesting results of epigraphical studies; but much may have been overlooked. The task did not allow much room for originality; but the views of others have not been adopted without weighing them, and where possible some improvement has been added.

The wants of English readers have been steadily kept in view,-readers such as are to be found in growing numbers especially among the students of our Universities, who are well acquainted with Greek literature, and with the best modern writers upon classical civilization, who have perhaps visited Greece and the Levant, and have conceived a lively interest in classical archæology. To such readers this volume is commended, as a humble attempt to further Hellenic studies. Perhaps some may wish the collection were more complete and comprehensive. At first I had thought of collecting into one chapter all the inscribed letters of kings, into another all inscribed laws, into another all known treaties, etc. But if that had been done, this volume would have assumed a very different shape, and would have included a great deal that is of little value. And, in fact, such an exhaustive collection of various kinds of documents did not fall within the scope of the book. Neither have I included the famous Parian Chronicle (C. I. G. 2374). For valuable as it is to the chronologer, we must not forget that it is merely a private document drawn up about the third century b.c., probably by some schoolmaster for the instruction of his pupils. It was with more regret that I forbore to include the whole serics of Quota-lists from B.c. 454 to 420 . But the fact is that however important these documents are (being no less than authentic lists of the Athenian Confederation), yet it is certain that all who wish to study them to advantage must go straight to Köhler's Urkunden (or to Böckh's Staatshaushaltung, vol. ii.), where not only the texts are exhibited at large, but all sorts of questions arising out of the texts are fully discussed. It would have done the reader little service to present him with the complete series of texts, without reproducing also a great part of Böckh's and Köhler's remarks. It seemed wiser therefore to insert four or five especially interesting specimens of the series, with suitable notes; and to refer the reader to previous writers for further information. For similar reasons I have not made any selections from the

Athenian admiralty records, which occupy the third volume of Böckh's Staatshaushaltung.

I bave received help from so many friends in the course of the work, that I must be content to make this general but not less sincere acknowledgment of their kindness. For the book, as it stands, I am alone responsible. I have endeavoured to be accurate; and those who have worked at such subjects the most will be the kindliest critics of a task wherein the countless points of detail afford as many opportunities of error.

## EDITIONS OF CERTAII TFORKS REFERRED TO.

Böcse, Corpus Inscriptionum Gracarum, 4 vols. 1828-1856. The third volume was prepared br Franz, the fourth by Franz, E. Curtius, and A. Kirchhoff $A$ valuable Index to the whole was edited by Röhl, in 1877. I have cited the work as C.I.G., with the running number of the inscriptions.

- Staatshaushaltung der Athener, 3 vols. 2nd German edition, 1851.
——Gesammelte kleine Schriften, 7 rols., 1858-1874. His numerous dissertations on Inscriptions and other subjects, published in a collected form after his death.
Cader, Delectus inscriptionuin Griecarum propter dialectum monorabilium, 1877. This I hare sellum referred to, as it was compiled with so different an object from mine.
Drorsen, Hellenismue, 3 vols., 1877-8. Vol. i. parts 1, 2, on Alexander; vol. ii. parts 1, 2, on the Diadochi; vol. iii. parts 1, 2, on the 'Epigoni,' \& c. 280-221.
Frasz, Elementa Epigraphices Graca, 1840. Bused on the carlier portion of Bückli's Corpma, and therefore in part old-fashioued; but still the soundest and fullest introduction to the subject.
Huxarx, Conze, and others, Die Ergebnisee der Ausgrabunypus :u Pergamon, 1880. A prorisional account of the excarations on the citadel in $18 ; 8-9$, with a number of inscriptions.
Kischaoff, Corpus Inecripxionum Alticarum: intended to include all known Attic inscriptions. Vol. i., 1873, by Kirchhoff; a Supdement to vol. i. was published by him in 1877 : these give the inscriptions lefure Euklid's archonship. Vol. ii. part 1, 187i, by Kühler, gives the Deerees alone from Euklid down to Augustus. Vol. iii. part 1, 1878, by Dittenberger, gives all the more public inseriptions of the Roman period. I have cited this work as C.I. 1 .

Studien zur Geschichte des Giriechiochen Alphabels, and erlition, 1867. I regret not having referred the reader to the thirl and improved edition.

Köhler, Urkunden und Untersuchungen aur Geschichte dor delischattischen Bundes, 1870 . I have cited it as Urkunden simply.
—— Mittheilungen des deutschen Institutes in Athen; the papers, especially of Köhler, in this interesting periodical will often be referred to.
Bulletin de Correspondance hellénique, the journal of the Freuch Archsoological School at Athens; similar in character to the Mittheilungen of the German Institute.
 plete collection of all known Attic funeral inscriptions, up to its date of publication. The preface gives curious infornation concerning the formulas of Attic epitaphs, and the character of Attic tombstones.
Le Bas, Voyage archeologique en Grèce et en Asie Minoure, with continuation by MM. Waddington and Foucart. It chiefly consists of inscriptions, comprising $i$, $i$, iii, volumes of Texts, and $1,2,3$, volumes of Commentary. Vols. i. and I (Attique) will not be completed, since they are superseded by Kirchhuff's Corpecs Inscrip)tionum Gracarum. Vols. ii. and 2 (Péloponnèse et Grèce du Nord) are in course of completion. Vols. iii. and 3 ( $d$ sic Mineure) are practically complete, and of the highest value, especially to the student of Roman provincial administration.
Ravanbe, Antiquites holléniques, 2 vols., 1842-1855. Chiefly Attic inscriptions; in great part superseded by Kirchhoffs Corpus, though the commentaries are generally valuable.
W00D, Discoveries at Ephesus, 1877 ; with Appendix of Inscriptions.

## NOTANDA.

Restorations between brackets. Words and letters supplied by conjecture are put within square brackets []. Words or letters omitted by the stonocutter's mistake, and supplied to make good the sense, are put within curved brackets ().

Peculiarities of spelling. The reader will soon become accustomed to the frequent assimilation of the final consonant to the initial consonant of the following word : as, rdA $\lambda$ óyov for rde $\lambda$ orpov, i $\mu$ solact,
 is Iduc for io viape. I have not noticed all these cases where they occur; nor have I followed recent German editors in running such words into one another, as rimpouninv. The Greeks of the fourth century b.c. appear to pronounce HI and El so much alike, that EI frequently is substituted for HI in inscriptions. I have warned the reader of this from time to time, for though rei Boedei explains itself, elirijaro for $\dot{j}$ rijaro is less obvious.

Attic numeral signs are easily remembered. $\quad X=1000$ ( $x^{\text {a }} 100$ ), $H=100$ (hekaton), $\Delta=10$ (8íka), $\Gamma=5$ ( $\boldsymbol{\pi i v c}$ ). These numerals, when used of money, always refer to drachmas; unless other coins are specified, as $T=1$ talent, $\Sigma=1$ stater. A single drachma is denoted by $t$, an obol by $I$, and a half-obol by $C$. Certain combinations are employed, as $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}}=5000,{ }^{\mathrm{R}}=500, \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}}=50$. Again $X=1000$ talents, $\Gamma^{p}=500$ talents, $\mathbb{P}=50$ taleuta, and so on. To take an example:
 drachmas, $4 \frac{1}{2}$ obole.

Value of Attic money. The reader may be glad to.be reminded that 6 obols $=1$ drachma ; 6000 drachmas (or 60 minas) $=1$ talent. The ralue of a drachma was about rod, or about a franc; an Attic talent was worth about $£_{250}$.

The Quota-lists in Parts ii, iii, will require the reader to remember that the proportion of tribute payable to Athena was $\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{c}}$, or $\mu \mathrm{a}$ ard radavrov. The quota therefore which is set down against the name of each state must be multiplied by 60 , to get at the amount of tribate pagable by the atate.

The Attic civil year began with the month Hekatombeoon (July), as did aleo the Olympian year. It was then that the Archons and
other magistrates entered upon office, and public accounts were reckoned from this date. The year b.c. begins therefore six months before both the Attic civil year and the year of the Olympiad. In other words, the year B.c. comprises the last six months of one archouship and the first six months of another.

Prytanies. The Attic year was divided into ten periods (nfura:cicia) of 35 or 36 days each. Each tribe 'prytanized' in turn (inpuráveve) in an order determined by lot, in the person of its 50 及ouncurai. These 50 тperéeres during their term lived in the mpurareion, and acted as the 'Goveroment' or Cabinet for the time being. The addition of two tribes in r.c. 307 made the cycle of prytanies coincide with the twelve months. Soon after the archonship of Euklid it became the rule for the incoraings, or daily foreman of the apuravecs, to appoint by lot a xpsidpor out of each of the tribal sections of the Bounj excepting the pedid apurawioura. Among these nine apordpos one was further appointed incoraitns, and thus to the apbedpor and their foreman were transferred the duties of presiding in the sittings of the Boodn and ikn入noin The apuravecs and their ixiotárns retained only their more formal duties, such as the custody of the public seal, and the performance of the periodical sacrifices of the $\beta_{o u \lambda i}$.

## ADDENDA.

Page go Na 10, for derder read delder.
Page 9;, line 23, for lemer read greater.
Page 104, line 3, for $\mathrm{P}[H \Delta] \Delta \Delta$ read $H P[\Delta] \Delta \Delta$.
Page 109 fin. ; Axiochoe had been ootlawed n. c. 414 (000 pp. 102 foll.), but he probably returned to Athens along with Alkibiades (p. 112). The two friends had beeo rogether during their exile, if we may truat Athensos, pp. 534, 574.

Page 157 lact line but three, for rô read rips.
Page 168, No 93, 5 5, for town read towna.
Page 195, laot line but four, for CEakidea read Fakiden.
Page 215,5 5, for 37 read 57.
Page 342. C, line 48, it is poseible also to read orperyyds [Grarer], i.e. consul (cee P. 327). and to underatand the consul of B. C. 135, Q. Calpurnive Piso, which would fir the date of the document.

## A MANUAL

## or <br> GREEK HISTORICAL INSCRIPTIONS.

PART I.

## BEFORE THE PERSIAN WAR.

B. c. 700-490.

The date of the introduction of writing among the Greeks has been a matter of keen controversy, especially from the time of Wolff. It is now generally admitted that the Greeks had learned the art of writing from the Phœnicians as early as the 9th century, if not before. For a long time however it would only be employed for such limited and private uses as the writing of names on lots ( $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o \iota$ ). Probably its more extended use began in the temples, where inscribed offerings (draA $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mu a r a\right)$ and registers of priests and of sacrifices existed at an early date. The first public use of writing was perhaps in making official record of agreements between allied cities; and then by decgrees it came to be generally employed for public documents. It is likely that the Greeks did not begin to inscribe upon marble, until they had experimented with the use of writing on leaves, metal, and wood. Certainly the cramped and awkward characters of the earliest extant marbles prove that writing must have been an unfamiliar art in Greece as late as the 7 th century (see Franz, Elementa Epigr. Gr., Introd.; Bergk, Gr. Literaturgesch. i 201 foll.).

The earlient recorded example of an inscribed offering is the Quoit of Iphitos, thus described by Pausanias (v. 20, 1; cp. 4, 4 ;

 $16^{\circ}$


 proclaiming the sacred armistice; Iphitos, with Lykurgos, being named upon it as the founder of the Olympian Festival. There is no reason to suspect this of being a late forgery; but it is very doubtful whether it was inscribed before the ist Olympiad (b.c. 776), the date at which the definite chronology of Greece begins. Some very early inscribed fragments however have been recently discovered at Olympia; see Kirchhoff, Arch. Zeit. for 1879, 1880 passim. The Theban inscriptions which impressed Herodotos with their antiquity (v. 58 foll.) can hardly be earlier than the 7 th cent. (Bergk, ibid. p. 205).

Of the other kind of sacred inscriptions, the temple-registers, or dyaypapal, no original specimen has survived. They are often mentioned by ancient writers, though it is doubtful how many were really of high antiquity (see Böckh, C.I. G. i. p. 63; Müller, Dorians, Eng. Tr. i. p. 149 ; and Comm. on Thuk. ii. 2, and Preller, de Hellanico in his Axsgew. Aufsälze, p. 51, on the register of the Argire priestesses). But a very interesting transcript exists of one such Register, in an inscription from Halikarnassos (C.I.G. 2655), the opening of which is thus restored by Böckh :

 $\sigma] \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta s$ тîs парeनтcions roîs dyd $[\lambda \mu a \sigma \iota$ roîs





Then follows a list of the priests, beginning with 'Telamon son of Poseidon' and other mythical names, but of course comprising also the true names and succession of historical priests. Böckh imagines this transcript to have been made not earlier than the 2nd cent. B.c. But the ancient original he assumes to have been inscribed about the time of the latest recorded priest, i.e. probably 69I b.c.

## 1.

Early strugglea between Megara and Korinth, B. C. 720.
From Megara ; now in the Bibliothèque Nationalo, Paria. The text from Buickh, C.I.G. 050 ; EReine Sehriften, iv. p. 173.






Epitaph on Orsippos, who won the foot-race at Olympia b.c. 720 (OI. 15), and freed the Megarid from the encroachments of the Korinthians: composed probably by Simonides (в.c. 556467 B. C.), when this tomb was erected by command of the oracle. The present inscription is not older than the 4th century A.D., but is clearly a copy of the old inscription, then wearing out. Pausanias (i. 44. 1) appears to bave seen the original epitaph :



 Comm. on Thut. i. 6; Grote, pt. 2. ch. 9.

## 2.

Early naval power of Korkyra, B. C. 600, or earlier.
Epitaph from Korkyra in memory of Armiada, who bad dial in battle in the Ambrakian gulf. Rows, $A$ rehäd. Aufälse, ii. Taf. 21, 22 ; Kirchhoff, Studien, p. 79 foll.

Eâua то́8' 'A



This could hardly be the 'earliest known sea-fight' recorded by Thukydides as having taken place b.c. 664 (i. 13); it appears to have ratber been a fight on shore, at the mouth of the Arachthos. But the fight was very probably between Korkyreans and Korinthians; both Herodotos (iii. 49) and Thukjdides (i.
25) mention the early struggle between Korkyra and her mother-city for the supremacr by sea, and for the monopoly of trade with the inhabitants of Epeiros and Illyria ; cp. Grote, pt. 2. ch. 23. Riemann has recently published a new facsimile of the epitaph (Recherches arch. sur les lles Ioniennes, i. Corfon, p. 42); he reads apıoré́rovta: and so Vischer in Rhein. Mus. ix. $3^{83}$ foll. : $\tau$ must be a blunder of the stone-cutter.

## 3.

Psammetichos II. and his Greek mercenariea, B. C. 594-589.
On the lege of one of the colossal etatues before the great temple of Absambel in Nabin, C. I. G. 5126 ; Lepaius, Denlmailer awe Eggpl \&. Blhiop. xii. Abch. ri. BL. 99, Gr. 531, aloo 534, 536 and BL. 98. Gr. 515-519, 528-530; Kirchboff,
 Hermes, xiii. 381 ; Wiedemann, Rhein. $\mathbf{V}$ us. 1880 , p. 364 foll.





(l) 'Eлeot $\beta$ tos $\delta$ T ${ }^{\prime}$ íos.



It has been much doubted whether the king mentioned in (a) is Psammetichos I (b.c. 654-617), or Psammetichos II (called Psammis by Herodotos, but Psamatik in Egjptian monuments), who reigned в. c. $59+589$. I follow A. Wiedemann (Rh. Mrus. 1.c.) in adopting the later date. It appears that certain Greek and other soldiers in the service of the Egyptian ling had marched with him as far south as Elephantine. Here they took boats and explored the river $i s \delta d e l(\eta$, 'as fir as it let them $\mathrm{go} \mathrm{mp}_{\mathrm{ol}} \mathrm{it}$ ' i.e. up to the second cataract. On their way back they wrote their names at Abusimbel, in memory of the exploit.
 Karian (Herod. ii. 154), bis name being neither Egyptian nor Semitic, nor resembling any known African dialect. He thinks

Képxos to be intended for Képrtos, Kerti in the Egyptian texts signifying the surface of water which broadens from the first cataract as far as Elephantine. In (a) me may take 'Psamatichos son of Theokles' to be the son of a Greek mercenary of Psammetichos I (Herod. ii. 152), who named his son after his master.

## 4.

Krcesos and his gitts to the Ephesian Temple : about 550 B.C.
On five fragmeats of moulding (lormes) from the bases of columas found by Mr. Wood in excarating the temple of Arteris at Ephesos: they manifeetly belong to the old temple burnt down by Herostrason. They are now in the British Museum.

(b) $\mathrm{Ba}[\sigma \lambda \lambda e ̀ ̀ s \mathrm{~K} p o i ̂ \sigma o s] d x \ell[\theta \eta \kappa \in \nu]$.
(c) $[\ldots . . . . . . . a v e ́ \theta \eta \kappa] \in \nu$.

My restored readings are highly probable, as the words of




## 5.

The age of the Tyrante, 6th century B. C.
From the ruins of the temple of Apallo at Branchide (' on a fragment in the wall of a house on the Secred Wiay '); Newton, Diecoreries at Cnidun, Ualicarnacsus, ace p. 787, NP. 72e: Kirchhoff, Sendien, P. 14

It is inscribed ふougrpoфpoor, and is from the base of some offering dedicated at Didyma, perbaps by the famous Tyrant of Miletos. Grote, pt. 2. ch. 34 .

## 6.

The age of the Tyrante, 6th century B. C.
On the froat of the chair of one of the seated figures from the Secred Way at Bramehide: Nowtion, Diccoverice de. 784, pl. xcrii.; Kirchboff, Sfudien, P. 16; in the Britioh Musoun (Archaic Room).
 one of the many＇tyrants＇who flourished in the Greek cities of Asia under the Persian rule between 550 and 500 b．c．The statue was intended as a portrait of Chares；ara $\mu \mu$ is＇an offering in honour of＇the god（see Böckh，C．I．G．vol．i．p．7）．

## 7.

The age of the Tyrante，6th century B．C．
The fumous Sigean inseription ；in the British Mumeum．Inscribed AcoctpopqSby． On a pillar $7 \mathrm{ft} .7 \mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{in}}{}$ ．high，ift． 7 t in．wide at foot， If .6 f in．at top， 1 O in in thick． Böckh considered it an＇archaising＇forgery of the age of the Ptolemica：but ite genuinenees hae been fally vindicated by Kirchhoff and others：Bockh，C．I．G． 8；Kirchhoff，Studien，p． 18.
（a）In Ionic dialect and characters：－
Фа⿱䒑土大细
eiul toìpuok－
páteos tov̀
Прохо̣vŋ－
5 бLov крŋTinp－
a 8 zk кal ข่хок－
pクrípoov к－
ai i ${ }^{2} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \delta_{y}$ is $\pi-$
purauntion
10 （8owed Elyc－
๔บิสพ．
（b）In Altic dialcel and characters：－
Фаvosfrov ciui rô
－Eppoxpárous tov̂ Пporo－


5 do is xpuraveío el－
8сиха $\mu$ рinua इıyel－

© $\mu$ е入e $\delta a(v e t y ~ \mu \epsilon, ~ i s$
Elyeligs． $\operatorname{kal} \mu^{\prime}$ ？$\pi$ do $^{\prime}$
10 eceev Alfownos kal
d8e入фof．

The pillar supported a portrait-bead of Phanodikos; the socket into which it fitted still remains. The monument thus resembled a terminal figure, or Hermes. Kirchhoff's view is that Phanodikos was tyrant of Prokonnesos in the sixth century, b.c. (like Metrodoros, Herod. iv. 138, i.e. B. c. 515). Being on good terms with the government of Sigeion, then in the hands of the Athenians under the Peisistratids (Herod. v. 94; Grote, pt. 2. ch. 30 ), he presented a bronze (?) krater and tripod-stand for it, together with a wine-strainer, for the use of the Sigeian prytaneam. He accompanied this gift with a pillar to commemorate himself, inscribed in his Ionic home by Prokonnesian workmen (inscription a). When the Sigeians erected the monument, they re-engraved the inscription in Attic lower down on the pillar, nearer the eye-line, with one or two improvements (inscription $l$ ). The bust of Phanodikos loquitur: 'And if I am receiving injury, take care of me, Sigeians. Now Esopos made me, and his brethren.' Both $a$ and $b$ are $\beta$ ougrpoфn $\delta \delta \dot{\nu}$. Compare a similar twofold document from Krzikos, discovered 1874: Hermes, xr. p. 92.

## 8.

## Treaty between Eleians and Hereans:

B. C. 550-500.

A broase tablet brought from Olympia by Sir W. Gall in 1813; now in the Britich Maseum. See facrimile in Bockb, C.I. G. 11 ; Franc, El. Ep. Or. p. $6+$ Every letter is claar. The dialect is Eeolic, which wan apokea both by the Arkediana and Eleinae (Strabo, 333) : the date amigrod in that of Kirclibot, Studien, p. 102, cp. Arech. Zeis. 1880, p. 68; Grk. Inecr. in B. M. Mo. CLIV.
'A Fpátpa toîp Fa入elots кal roîs 'Hp-
Faب́ots. Euvpaxla r' la 2karòv Fłtrea.









Those who are curious about the dialect may consult Böckh, ad loc., and Ahrens, de Dial. i. 225. I append a version: ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{H}$





 уєүрациє́vч.
'The corenant between the Eleians and the Heraans. There shall be alliance for a kumdred years: and this (year) shall begin (it): and if either need help, whether of woord or deed, they shall stand by one another, in all other affairs, and in respect of warfare: and if they stand not by each other, they soko have so offended (Zeus) shall pay a talent of silver to Olympian Zeus, as reparation. And if any one shall injure this inscription, whether private man, or magistrate, or village, (the offender) shall be lialle to the sacred fine herein written.'

The Eleians after a long struggle had dispossessed the Pisatans of the management of the Olympic temple and games (B.c. 580 ), which appear to have been in the hands of Elis at the time of this treaty (Grote, pt. 2. ch. 7 fin.). The Spartans, now masters of Messenia, and extending their conquests in the direction of Arkadia and Argolis, found it to their interest to play the part of protectors of Elis in its sacred prerogative (Grote, ibid.; E. Curtius, Gr. Gesch. i. p. 196). As for Arladia, its several states, with no power of mutual cohesion, were either drawn into the Spartan alliance (as Tegea, Mantinca, Orchomenos), or formed other connections as fortune might dictate. This tablet shows us the Hermans associating themselves with the now influential Eleians. It would be an anachronism to speak of Elis or Herca, for neither was united into a city (ovvouxı $\mu \delta_{s}$ ) until the fourth century, B.c. (Strabo, p. 337); till then they bad dwelt кard̀ кcomás: bence 8ápos in the treaty.

## 9.

The Peisistratids: B. C. 527-610.
In 1877 there was dug up part of the cornice of the altar dedicatod by Peisistratos mon of Hippias, who had served the office of archon during his father's rale: it contains the inscription cited by Thukydidee, vi. 54. Fur fecsimile see C.I.A. Supplement to vol. i. p. 41; and 'AOfraiov, vi. p. 149.

The date falls between the death of Peisistratos the elder, B.c. 527, and the expulsion of Hippias in 510 . Thukydides says that in his time the inscription was 'dimly legible' (a $\mu v \delta \rho o i s$ rpduнact): but the letters are to this day as fresh as when first cat, so that he must refer to the fading of the colour with which the letters had been painted in.

## 10.

Argive victory over the Korinthians: about B.C. 500.
On a bronso helmot from Olympia, discorerod 1795 : in the Britien Muecum. See Roce, Inacr. Greox, pl. viii; Böcth, C. I. G. 29, and adhend. p. 885; Kirebhoff, Etudien, p. 73. The line in quad-metrical, like many eariy inscriptions, and forme an ifregular senarios.

Perhape the Argives had won this victory in alliance with Megara Pausanias, vi. 19.9, speaks of a primitive alliance of these two states against Korinth, but his chroaology is unsound. Grote, pt. 2. ch. 9.

## PART II.

## FROM THE PERSIAN WAR TO THE PELOPONNESIAN WAR.

$$
\text { B.C. } 490-43^{I}
$$

## 11.

Eimonides' Epitaph upon the Megarians who fell in the Persian War, at Artemision, Mykale, Salamis, Platsea. Between 478-467.

The inscription is edited by Böckh, C. I. G. 1051, from a carelene copy made by Fourmont. One whole pentametor and several words are rectored by mere conjecture to make the sense clear. The public tomb in bonour of the Megarian hercee of the Persian war is mentioned by Pausaniae, i. 43. 2: Elot al Thpar
 relay reit M\&8ow, rd 82 a.t. $\lambda$. Our inseription however is not the original man by Pareaniag, but a reotoration (as the heading declares) by Helladios the high-prieet (of Apollo Pythion C.I. G. No. 1065, Cp. 1059, 1066) probably as late as the $4^{\text {th }}$ cent. A.D. That Helladios restored the inseription from the decaying original monameat, and sot from a book, appeare from varions indications of antiquity which betray themedree amongrt the barbarisme of a late time. There is little doabt that the epigram was composed by Simonidea, who is known to have
 I give the inccriptioa at edited by Böckh, L. e. and Kleine Sehriften, iv. p. 125. The marble ceeme to be loot.

## Heading drawn wp by the restorer.

 ( $=$ каì) кечеі́дши
 2x[єбкеびarev?

Epigram.











Additional note ly the restorer.
 roúrots).

Observe that $\sigma^{\prime}$ etv is said of the gods, 2vaylfelv of heroes: so Herod. ii. 144 : Pausan. ii. 10. 1.

## 12.

Inscription on the bronse stand of three intertwined serpents, which supported the gold tripod dedicated at Delphi by the Greeks after Platea: about B. C. 475.

The golden portion wae dentroyed by the Phokians in the Sacred War (Paus. x. 13.5); bat the broase serpent-pillar remained in sita, until Constantine removed it to hin oew capital, where it still remaine. I give the text from the very full account of this monument in Déthior and Mordtmann's Epigraphik ron Byzantion (Wien, 1864), p. 3 foll. Cp. Gibbon, Rom. Emp. ch. xvii. ; Kirchhoff, Studien, p. 97. One of the serpent-beade still existe in the armoory of St. Irene.



The surface of the $13^{\text {th }}$ coil has been flattened back from its due curve to receive the present inscription : here therefore it is supposed that Pausanias had inscribed his arrogant epigram :


(So in Anthol. i. 133. xliii; though Thukydides, i. 132, Plutarch, De Malig. Hor. Gin., Suidas, s.v. Mavoavias, Demosthenes, In Necer. p. 1378, give it in кourv, and in the 3 rd person, which is less characteristic.) At the ends of the 10th, 9th, 7 th coils are what seem like remains of letters; but no names can be deciphered, and the marks are perhaps accidental. Omitting these, we get 31 names, including all the 27 names given by Pausanias, v. 23, as inscribed upon the corresponding monument
at Olympia, with the addition of 4 omitted by bim, viz. $\Theta_{\epsilon \sigma \pi เ \eta ิ s, ~}^{\text {, }}$
 226 ; ix. 28 ; viii. 46, 48). Observe that there is an uniform arrangement of the names, 3 on each coil; except on the 4th and 7 th. Tívto is inscribed more deeply than the rest and in Ionic, having probably been added afterwards by the Tenians themselves (by permission of Sparta) on account of their one ship's adventure (Herod. viii. 82); on the 4th Eí申viot was perhaps similarly inserted; the 3 rd coil is smaller and has only space for 2 names. The whole monument is a striking confirmation of Herodotos (see Rawlinson, Note A on Bk. ix. 81).

## 13.

Rise of Athens : Themistoklean walls, B. C. 478.
On two blocks of marble discovered in 1832 in building the house formerly occopied by King Otho, and atill used as the Chamber of Deputies, in the NE of the city. The blocks were found amongst other masonry of the Themistoklean walls, and formed one of the private monuments which were seized upon for the hasty Sortification of the city. Roes, Arch. Aufrätre, ii. 580, 58 I ; Wordeworth, Alhtne and Altica, ch. 28 ; Kirchhoff, C.I. A. vol. i. No. 479.



## 14.

Rise of Athens: Themistoklean walls, B. C. 478.
A bace of a funeral monument of white marble, wlich once had sbore it a figure in relief (comp. the Aristion graveatone, Rangalve, Ant. Jellin. i 21): found in Jume 1873, in the NE of the city, near the royal stablea, among the massive semain of the anciont walle. Luders in Hermes, vol. vii. p. 258; Kirchhoff. C.I. 4. i. 483 .

## 'Аขтtōórov' <br>  <br> ס $\Delta$ ewlov.

This and the preceding verify the words of Thukydides




 the course of the walls see E. Curtius, Altische Stadien, i. p. 60.

## 15.

The Sicilian cities-Hiero: B. C. 474.
On a bronze helmet now in the Britisb Museum, discorered at Olsmpia in 1817 by Mr. Cartwright. For facsimile see Roee, Inscriptiones Graca, PL, viii ; Böckh, C.I.G. 16.

> каі тоі इvpaкóб七о،
> $\tau \hat{\varphi} \Delta l$ Tvpáv' àd Kúpas.

From the Etruscan spoils (rd Tvpiava) dedicated by Hiero ('Idpwy, Dorice) to Zeus at Olympia, after his victory over the Etruscans in the great sea fight before Krme, recorded by Diod. xi. 51, and celebrated by Pindar, Pyth. 1. The Tyrrhenum Mare owed its name to this early $\theta a \lambda a r r o к \rho a t i a ~ o f ~ t h e ~ E t r u s c a n s . ~$ The inscription of Hiero, the professed 'tyrant,' is more modest than that of Pausanias upon the Platæan tripod (Thuk. i. 132).

## 16.

The Ionians after Mykale : Teos, about B. C. 470.
One of several orî̀au containing imprecations upon traitors and enemies of the etate. The text is from Böckh, C.I. G. 3044, omitting some of his conjectures, which coem hasardone. Lines 42-53 were re-read by Le Bus, at Teon, the reat is now lost; Vogag: Areh. Pt. V. No. 59. Kirchhoff (SYudien, P. 12) places the date coon atter Mykale.

We know that the greater part of the population of Teos had sought a new home at Aldera, rather than submit to the Persian yoke in B.c. 546 (Herod. i. 168 ; Strabo, 644). After Mykale, no doult, a good many returned home (Strabo, ilid.) to Teos; and their arrival would not tend to allay the disturbances which may well have arisen within the city upon the sudden overthrow of the Persian dominion. That there were disorders is shown by the appointment of an alounvirns, an extraordinary magistrate resembling the Roman diclalor (Dionys. Hal. v. 73), whose office is defined by Aristotle as aiperì rupaydis (Pol. iii. 9, 5) during which the ordinary annual magistrates ( $\tau 40 \hat{y}$ yoc) were suspended. By the evevoos also we should understand an extra-
ordinary magistrate，perhaps appointed to inquire into the management of public moneys．The mention of piracy shows that the Athenian ascendancy had not yet been fully established in the 疋gean：by the $\beta$ appapoc we understand the Persians， whose soke had just been thrown off．Whatever was the nature of the distarbances at Teos，at all events upon the restoration of order，the government enjoins these public curses，part only of which remains．On the employment of public imprecations by the Greeks see Schömann＇s Griechische Alterthümer，ii．p．254， and comp．the carsings uttered at Athens by the archon every year，and by the herald at the opening of every ${ }^{2} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma l a$（Plut． Solon， 24 ；Isokr．Paneg．§ 157）．


दта⿱亠䒑iбтаєто［ $\tau \hat{\psi}]$ al $[\sigma \nu \mu]$－


ov．§2．＂Oбтıs тov̂ $\lambda$ oınov̂ alove－

ty

$\lambda$［เv кai $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu]$ ग̀े $\mathrm{T} \eta t-$

$\dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\eta} \theta a[\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\eta}]$ रो̀



入入eioc，$\dot{\eta}$ кน $\xi \lambda \lambda$ as $\dot{\text { vino－}}$
Béxoito，$\dot{\eta} \lambda \eta t$ Sotro，$\dot{\eta} \lambda$－



axìv $\beta$ оv入єv́ot $\pi \in \rho l \mathrm{~T}[\eta t]$－




§3. Oltives tuovxłovtes








 as $\pi 0 เ \eta \eta_{\eta \eta}, ~ к \in \tilde{w} 0 \nu$ a $\pi \delta \lambda-$ $\lambda \nu \sigma \theta a t$ кail aítd̀ кal $\gamma-$ évos.
40 § 5. "Oनтıs фа́pнака $8 \eta \lambda \eta \tau \eta \eta^{-}$ pıa поьô̂ tzi Tทtoort-

 ítò̀ каi réros tò кelvou.




 50 ov amód入väat кai ait-

 thinking that the crime is the desertion of plague-stricken persons who have been landed somewhere away from the city, and


## 17.

## Rovolt of the Holots: B. C. 464.

On the rousd beee of the atatue of Zoan meationed by Parasan v. 24. 1. Discovered io the receat excarations: Angrab. ze Olympic, PL maii. Bg. 1; E. Cortive, in Arch. 2cil. 1877, p. 49.



Pausanias (l.c.) has not given the inscription quite accurately:





This 'second revolt' was that mentioned by Thuk. i. iol-103: the statue was dedicated at the beginning of the revolt (Curtius compares the Trojan peplos, Fl. ri. 92), to secure the favour of Zeus to the Spartan side.

## 18.

The Sicilian cities : Kamarina, B. C. 461.
A statue-base discovered at Olympia, 18;6. E. Curtius, Arch. Zeit. 187\%, p. 48 ; cp. 1878, p. 181 ; 1879. p. 43.

We may see in Praxiteles a noble Arkadian, who, not satisfied with the undistinguished career open to him in his native country, sought fame and fortune in foreign lands. Like Phormis of Mænalos (Pausan. v. 27, 1) and Agesias of Stymphalos (Pindar, Olymp. 6), so Praxiteles doabtless had been at Syrakuse in the service of the tyrants, and saw the downfall of the Gelonian dynasty in b.c. 465 (see Grote, pt. 2. ch. 43). The exiles who returned after this revolution, and claimed their own again, produced serious disorders in all the Sicilian torns. The Geloans restored peace ly providing for the banished friends of the fallen dyuasty a home in the newly constituted city of Kamarina ( 461 b.c.), which henceforth began a new term of prosperity

 teles shared the fortunes of new Kamarina for a while, but perhape returned home at last to place this monument at Olympia.

## 19.

 B.C. 480.

A large marble alab in the Museum of the Loupre: Böckh. C. I. G. 163; Roee, Inser. Graece, plate xiv. p. 105 ; Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 433. Eridently one of ten similar stele for each of the tribes: for the Athenians in battle were drawn up mard фu入ás (Plut. Aristid. 5. Cim. 17; Lysias, Pro Mantith. 15; Theophractoe, Charactert, 8ellbs fn.), and those who fell were buried mard pendes (Thuk. ii. 34). It is almost cortain also that each tribe furnished one of the regular strategi (Plut. Cim. 8). For the heading of the inscription Thuk. i. 104, 105; Grote, pt. 2. ch. 45 .
(Here follows a list of 168 names).


(Appended are 8 names inserted soon afler.)

| тратпүòs |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \{ 'Immodánas | T Tav̂pos |
| Eiorimaxos | Ocóowpos |
| 65 Eürunos | 70 'Ade§imaxos. |
|  |  |

20. 

Naval victory of Athens, B.C. 450 (P).
On the stylobate of the portico erected by the Athenians at Delphi, lately discorered ; Be B. Hauseoullier, Bulletin de Corresp. Hell. 1881 , p. 1 ; Pausan. x.



 T $\omega \nu \pi 0[\lambda \in \mu l] \omega \nu$.

Pausanias connects the dedication with Phormio's successes in 429 B.c.; but the archaic writing suggests rather the victory at Kebryphaleia, etc., described by Thuk. i. 105. I append here-

A broase plate from Dodona, broken into two pieces: (a) in Berlin Muecum, purctacal some time ago. as from Dodona; (b) published by C. Karapanos, Duture et ces ruinex, p. 47; see M. Fränkel, Areh. Ze it. 18;8, p. 71.

## a.

b.

M. Fränkel connects this also with Kekryphaleia: but the characters belong rather to the days of Phormio's victorics (Thuk. ii. fru.).

## 21.

Halikarnassos in the time of Herodotos; Lygdamis : B. C. 480-455.

A stelì of white marble, cat in two down the middle, and somewhat imperfect at botiom; discovered by Mr. Newton at Halikarnuacces. The inscription is pablicheal by him in hin IIidory of Disconerice, i. pl. 85 ; ii. pt. 2, p. 671; more ascurately in Trameactions of R. Soc. of Lit. Dec. 18, 1867; comp. Saup.pe, Nachrichlen e. d. K. Gearlleckaft d. Wiosencel. d. Greting. 1863. p. 303 ; Kirchhoff, Stadien, P. \& I have collated the stone afrech in the British Museum and made coene minor improvementa.

ठ "A入ıкарvat $[\epsilon \omega] \nu$ каі इa $\lambda_{\mu}$ акь-




[i] $\Sigma a \ldots \ldots \lambda \lambda[o v \tau] o \hat{v} \Theta_{\epsilon} \ldots \kappa v \lambda \omega v \epsilon[\omega$


10 a] тоîs $\mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \mu[0] \sigma L \nu$ '̇ $\pi i i^{\prime} A \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega$ -
 и́оитоs каі [Пa]vaцúш то̂́ Kaбßట́$\lambda \lambda \iota o s$, кai $\Sigma a[\lambda] \mu a \kappa เ \tau \ell \omega \nu \mu \nu \eta-$

15 фváбtos ка[l..] $\rho \mu i \omega \nu$ оs тои̂ П[a]ขvários. § 3. ท̀ $\nu \delta[\varepsilon \tau \iota] ร$ $\theta \in \lambda \eta \delta i x a \zeta[\epsilon]-$



$20 \rho$ vv̂v $\delta \rho \kappa \hat{\varphi} \sigma[a \iota$ то̀̀ ]s 8ıкаनтàs $\delta \tau-$









30 то́т' єixоv öтє 'A [ $\pi 0] \lambda \lambda \omega v i \delta \eta$ ккаi Пava-
 ท $\langle\pi \in \pi$ \& ท็v тเs $\theta \in \lambda_{1}[\sigma v y] \times \ell a t \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o \theta \hat{\eta} \tau a-$






$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\epsilon \bar{\rho} \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota{ }^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}\right\}[\xi a] \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} \text { каi } \mu \eta[\delta]-
\end{aligned}
$$

val (b̂s ầ таûra $\mu[\grave{\eta} \pi]$ apaßalın катó-

Lygdamis, the grandson of Artemisia, was one of the dynasts of Asia Minor whose rule survived, for a time, the Persian overthrow. Suidas (s.v. 'Hpóooros) tells us that Lygdamis put to death Panyasis the Epic poet, and drove Herodotos the poet's nepherv into exile. Subsequently a revolution took place at Halikarnassos, which ended in the expulsion of Lygdamis and the return of Herodotos. Afterwards the historian left his city the second time, and ultimately joined the Athenian colony to Thurii in b.c. 443. As Halikarnassos appears in the earliest ' Quota-list' b.c. 454 (No. 24), Lygdamis cannot bave been expelled later than b.c. 455 , nor can the change bave taken place much earlier. We may conjecturally connect this document with the life of Herodotos by dating it somewhere about b.c. $460-455$, in the very year in which the revolution took place, but before Lygdamis quitted the city. The exiles had returned, the struggle was over, and the republicans and the Lygdamis party bad sworn an agreement with each other which was recorded in the temple of Apollo (\$6, opкıa ciramov к.т.д.). But the republicans wished to recover their lands and houses, which had been confiscated and held 'in chancery' in the hands of the yearly board called oi $\mu \nu \nu^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \mathrm{s}$, 'Registrars' (Arist. Pol. vi. 5). Their claim is met by the present lasio.
§ 1. A meeting is called in the 'Sacred Agora' (its locality is unknown) where the citizens of Halikarnassos and Salmakis (an old Karian town practically abeorbed into the Greek settlement) on the one hand, and Lygdamis on the other, take counsel. oúd入oyos is an extroordimary gathering: if my conjecture [ $\kappa$ ]ol[ $\mu \dot{\eta}]$ ] is right, it probably qualifies isouncíraro; it may bowever qualify apurarecouros. § 2. It is decided that the board of $\mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{oves}$ just expiring shall not hand over any lands or houses to the incoming board. In other words, the returned exiles are
to have them back. Lygdamis the father of Apollonides may be a kinsman of the tyrant: Panyatis is probably the uncle of Herodotos. §3. If several claimants apply for the same property, their claim must be entered within 18 months of the passing of this law, and the $\mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{oves}$ are to swear in dikasts in the usual way, choosing their own time for the trial to take place 80 as to be final. $\alpha \delta o s$ appears to be a subst. from $\dot{d} v \delta d \nu \omega$ :
 blander of the stone-cutter. §4. If a claim is made after the prescribed time, the owner in possession is to take oath, in the presence of the claimant, that he is the rightful owner: such oath to be administered by a court of dikasts, who are to have in of a stater for their trouble. In all cases, whoever is proved to have been in possession under the $\mu \nu \eta^{n} \mu \mathrm{oves}$ next after the passing of this law, be is to be regarded as the lawful owner -unless (of course) he has sold the property since. § 5 . This law to be for ever linding and unalterable. § 6 . No citizen to be deprived of the advantage of this law who abides by the covenant previously made between the contending factions.
 tive after öनtıs: ¿ $\lambda \in \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho o \nu$ is masculine. It is very observable that Halikarnassos, though a Dorian colony, had thus early become quite Ionicized—hence the Ionic of Herodotos. Hardly a trace of Doric appears in our inscription; as "A入ıкарvar[ $\epsilon \omega]$ ] and $\Pi[a]$ 00átcos.

$$
22 .
$$

## Rise of Athens : Battle of Tanagra, B.C. 467.

Two fragmente of marble: (a) given in Böckh, C. I. O. 166, and now existing comembere in England, one would be glad to know where; (b) diwcovered of late jears at Atbens; ©ee Kirchhoff, C.I.A. i. 441. Neither the characters nor the manes are Attice and Bëckh ingeniounly identified this with the toanb of the Kloomanas who anarebed with the Argives and andeted the Atheniane at the battle of




 ecocelev rpoliveren'Alqpaiovs: cp. Thuk. i. 107. On the right of a is a fragment of a metrical apicaph.

| $a$ |  | $t$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -...s -. |  |  |  |
| [ $\Phi$ ]oîv¢ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | . átplos |
| [Ф] $\lambda$ ¢́áas: |  |  | [A]pıoticv |
| - paxas. |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 | [ $\Lambda$ ] ixkíros |
| هapoфduns |  |  | [ F ]arafilas |
| Ovudp ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | [ $\Delta$ ]épкetos |
| $\Delta a \ddot{k} \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ | ¢ |  | [ $\left.\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}\right] \in \mu \dot{c} \nu \eta s$ |
| Súdicos | $\cdots$ |  | . . . . is |
| 10 土épretos $^{\text {a }}$ | e | 10 | . . . |
| イuкобठокая |  |  |  |
| K $\lambda$ ¢ $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$ |  |  |  |
| Kpatad́as |  |  |  |
| [A]loxúdos |  |  |  |
| 15 [Ei]apx $[8]$ ]as |  |  |  |

23. 

## Athens and her subject-allies: Constitution of Erythre in Ionia, <br> B. C. 455-450.

A large marble found near the Erech:heion, publiabed by Böckh, C. I. G. 73 ${ }^{6}$ (Addend. p. 890 ff.), but now apparently loat; Kircbbofi, C.I. A. i. g. Unfortunately the only copiee existing are rery inaccurate, so that much doubt hangs over the restoration of many particular worda, alchough the general tenour is certain enough. Erythrse is named in the tribute-list for s.c. 450 (Köhler, C'riund. p. 15), but the date of ite subjection is not known. Thacoe was reduced s.c. $4^{63}$, and all the allien, except Chios and Leabos, had been reduced by the time of the Samian War B.c. 440. The reduction of Erythrse falle between 463 and 450 , but the characters of our inucriptinn are hardly earlier than 455. I give Kirchboff" text.























 भु $\mu \mathrm{m}$.



 raíwv \{vi $\mu$ ах



 . . . as ката[


I have omined a few fragmentary expressions at the beginning, which to require the Erythrauns to send sacrifices and a deputsin: a to the Panathenaic festival. The $\boldsymbol{e} \pi$ (бкото sent out by Amens to her subject cities answered to the Spartan appeoral ; there authority was purely civil, the garrison being
commanded by a фpoúpapxos. In constituting a government upon the Athenian model, the $\beta$ ovin' was the most important feature. For the $\mathbf{e}^{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ ia included, without restriction, all who had the franchise; but the senate met daily, had the power of initiating ( $\pi \rho \circ \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu a$ ), and through the $\pi \rho 0 \alpha^{v} v e c s$ controlled the $z^{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$, and yet being elected by lot (кvapeûवas) ras a thoroughly democratic institution. On the employment of oaths and imprecations in Greek politics see No. 16. For the whole inscription comp. No. 28.

## 24.

List of the Quota of Tribute paid to Athens in the year B. C. 454.

The inscriptions commonly spoken of as 'Tribate-lists' fall into two classes. (1) Decrees of new assesment: see No. 47: (2) The accounts of the quota of Tribute jearly dedicuted to the goldese ne an drapXí or firse-fruita, her share being $\dot{j}^{\text {th }}$ or $\mu v a ̂$ dind roû radayrov (seo C. I. A. i. 260). The lisis of this latter ourt were inscribed upon blocke and alabe of unarble, many fragments of which have beeu found on the Akropulia, and have been pieced together by the successive Laboure of Rangabé (Antiq. Hellin. i. p. 236 foll.), Böckh (Stautch. ii. p. 369 foll.), Kühler (C'rkunden and L'utersuchangen zur Geech. d. Dedioch-Alfiseh. Bundee, Berl. 18;0), and Kirchhoff (C. I. A. i. 226-2;2). Six of these marbles have been thus reatored, which give the yearly accounts with scarcely any break from B.c. 454 (the year in which the Common funde werv probably traneferred from Deloe to Atheny) down to B.c. 421. The liste are a goud deal broken in plecers but as the same namee recur, and often in a similar order, many of the lacuns have been fillod up with certainty. A peculiar intercen attacher to the girst lise drawn up by the Hellenotamis after the trandor to Atheea, which is bere subjoined, from Kirchboff, C.I. A. i. 226 ; Köhler, Cirk. p. 6 ; cp. Dickh, Steatch. ii. p. 422.


 ［ $\mathrm{\sigma rov}$ ］．
（Column 1 on the marlle．）


## luewna．

．．．．．．
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


|  | HГ HIII |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ХГロННН |
|  | $\Delta \Delta \Delta$ 「rトIIII |

（Column 2 on the marble．）


## lacnua．


［Ko入oфஸ́v］！o؛ HHH
$\operatorname{No\tau }[1] \hat{\eta}_{s} \quad \Delta \Delta \Delta r+$ ll
$\Delta$ ıociepítal $\quad \Delta \Gamma$ HIIII
ミmaprditol $\quad \mathrm{HH}$
Alpaîor $\quad \mathrm{HHH}$

＇Aбтaxทvol＇
Nєотодítaя
Macávòpto،
［ P HH HHHH H ［ $\mathrm{PH} H \mathrm{HH}$ ．．$\Delta l$ $H\left[H^{\Delta 1}\right] \Delta \Delta r$

IIII
－ ．．．．．．．
$\qquad$
$H^{18}$
円
$\mathrm{P} \Delta \Gamma$ ㄱIII



The series of documents of which this is a specimen is of great importance to the student of Greek history. Their results have been admirably drairn out first by Böckh in the 2nd volume of his Staatshaushallung, and more completely by Köhler in his special work on the subject. Among other things, we recover (1) the list of Tributary states; (2) the precise years during which they each belonged to the Confederacy; (3) the amount of the yearly $\phi$ ópos, which is arrived at in each case by multiplying the quota given by $60 ;(4)$ the various changes made in the tribute; and ( $\mathbf{5}$ ) the mode of its administration. As to the names in this list and Nos. $30,35,47,48$, the reader is referred to Böckh (l.c.) or Köhler's Crkunden above quoted, or to the map of the Athenian Confederacy in Kirchhoffs C.I. A. vol. i. Two or three interesting facts may horrever be mentioned here.

The earliest quota-list in B.c. $45+$ most likely marks the date of the transference from Delos to Athens. Until then probably a similar àmapx' had been paid in to the Delian Apollo, which was now simply transferred to Athena.

In the first eight lists the tributary states are enumerated with little regard to geographical order. From the 9 th year (b.c. $44^{6}$ ) onwards, they are distributed into five Regions, 'I wirkis qoópos,
 тux̀s $\phi$. (see No. 30). After the new assessment made b.c. 437, the Ionian and Karian Regions are grouped under one head, first as 'Ionian ' and afterwards as 'Karian;' the order being Ionian (or Karian), Islands, Hellespont, Thrace. This gengraphical grouping of the tributaries in B.c. $44^{6-5}$ is probably to lee connected with the negotiations preceding the Thirty Years' Truce in b.c. 445, when the trcaty of pence certainly included a list of the confederate cities on both sides (Thuk. i. 40 , aypáф $\omega{ }^{\prime \prime}$ nó̀ $\epsilon \omega \nu$,

found in the historians (see Thuk. ii. 9, Kapia . . 'I $\omega$ ria, ${ }^{\text {'E }} \mathbf{E \lambda \eta}{ }^{\prime} \sigma$ -


Respecting the assesment of the tribute something will be said on No. 47, which is the only known example of the kind.

The number of the Tributaries named in the lists amounts to under 290: the only known estimate of their number is in Aris-

 exaggeration, but yet not intended to be berond the limits of credibility. Doubtless many of the towns named in the lists paid for themselves and for smaller places connected with them (overeגeis): this, with other considerations, is suggested by Böckh (Slaatsh. ii. p. 660 foll.), who supposes that the sapient Bdelykleon reckoned that for every city down in the published lists there were three that really paid; and thus in round numbers $300 \times 3$ are set down as $\chi$ ( $\lambda$ cal.

The total amount yearly received as assessed by Aristidis ( $\delta$ $2 \pi$ ' 'Apıбтє(iov фópos, Thuk. i. 96 ; v. 18 . § 5) was ${ }^{4} 60$ talents. At the opening of the Peloponnesian War Perikles is made to estimate it (Thuk. ii. 13) at ' 600 talents upon the average.' These figures agree with the inscriptions: see the notes on No. 30.

The heading of the list before us should be compared with the shorter heading of No. 30. The board of 10 Hellenotamiz having received the tribute, the Logista, $3^{\circ}$ in number (see
 by their secretary, calculated and paid over the quota to Athena, and drew up the documents of which this is a specimen.

$$
25 .
$$

The Sicilian Cities : Solinus (and Egerta P). About 452 B.C.
A broken block of tufa dimeorered among the ruins of the Temple of Apollo at
Selinus, in March 1875. I give it from the eopiet of Gregorio C'dulena (Sopra
una iserizione Selinuntina, 18j1), and O. Benndorf (Die Melopen ron Belinesal,
18;3. p. 27 §oll.).

5 ráav кaì 8ıà Ma入oфópov кal ठıà Пaनc [к]-







The people of Selinus dedicate gold statues of certain deitics, as a thankoffering for a victory, upon the conclusion of peace (line 7). The Italian editor is probably right in supposing the defeated enemies to be the Eyestans, the two states having a standing feud respecting a strip of territory (Thuk. vi. 6). The writing is not later than 450 b.c. Diodoros (xi. 86) speaks of a war between Egesta and 'Lilybæon' in 452 b.c. Lilybæon was not founded till a century later, and Grote (pt. 2. ch. 57) suggests that the war was between Egesta and Selinus. In this Benndorf agrees, and believes this to be the war alluded to in the inscription. (This opinion is not to be set aside by the suggestion of Kühler in the Mittheilnngen d. arch. Instit. 18;9, p. 30 , where he publishes a fragment of an Attic decree about an embassy from Egesta which mentions the ['A ${ }^{\prime}$ ] ${ }^{\prime}$ waiots: these he would substitute for the Lilybrans in Dind. l.c.) In b.c. 450 Selinus was at the height of her prosperity (Curtius, Gr. Gesch. ii. p. 515). Madoфópos кai Пarıxpáreia are Demeter and Persephone (Pausan. i. 44. 4 -Selinus was a Megarian colony). фóßos represents "Apps (cp. Plut. Cleom. 8 ; Thex. 27), who is unnamed as being the consort of Aphrodite the patron-gorldess of Egesta and Eryx. Artemis also is omitted, perhaps for rimilar reasons. For Ze ès àjopaios at Selinus sec Herod. v. 46. The syntax of lines $8-10$ is irregular.

## 26.

Athenian defeat at Koroneia (P): B.C. 447.
Two fragrente of Pentelic marble, the one discorered on the Akropolis in 1864, the other in 18;6: Foucart, Bullet. de Corresp. Hellemique, i. p. 303; Kirchhuff, C. I. A. 8appl. to vol. i. p. 9.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \text { [s 'A] }{ }^{\prime} \eta \nu a i \not \omega \nu \text { каî roùs } \pi \text { aîbas тoùs }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left[\begin{array}{lll}\nu \eta . & \text { ol } & \delta \ell\end{array}\right] \pi \omega \lambda \eta \tau[a] i ~ a \pi o \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha ́-$
[8órt $\omega \nu$ ol к $\omega \lambda$ акр $]$ ]́тal.

The date of the document is fixed by the characters. We may take these men of Thespiæ to be persons who stood faithful to Athens after the defeat of Koroneia, and were exiled from Bootia for their pains. The name of the fourth leetrays the Athenian sympathies of his family. The friendship of Thespiæ for Athens was strengthened by a jealousy of Thebes (cp. Herod. rii. 222; Thuk. iv. 133; vi. 95).
27.

Athenian conquest of Eubose, B.C. 445.
A bace of white martble, broken on right and left, was diccovered on the Aknopolis and dencrileed with a facsimile in Monalder. d. Berl. Ahad. 1869, p. 406 sull. Is contains the following iuscription (cp. C.I. A. i. 33t) :-

| (extire at top) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ENAIONEPAM/ ГГOSAE '^ (racunt) | (The inseriplion was in tro lines, each containing a distich.) |

This is part of the lase which supported the bronze chariot dedicated out of the spoils of the victory over the Beotians and Chalkidians alout b.c. $509-505$, just after the expulsion of the


 à tabe-
"EOrea Bowut̂́y nai Xa入кıbéwr bapd́gavtes

 tềr tix

 the inscription are not older than Perikles' time. Therefore it was he who employed the tithe, previously dedicated, for the parposes of this monument; he did so probably upon the conquest of Eubrea in B.c. 445, thus connecting his own victory with the victory of 60 jears before. In that year, 445 , Perikles wrould leave no means untried to reanimate the failing hopes of his countrymen (Grote, pt. 2. ch. 45).

## 28.

Athenian conquest of Eubosa: Settlement of Chalkis. B. C. 445.

An entire slab, discorered on the Akropolis in 1876 : the appearance of the stone rereals that a companion atele originally was attached to it on the left, book being curnounted by one pediment, on which probably was the name of the rpauncreis, whose omiseion is otherwise peculiar. Published by Kumanudes, 'Aojrvacr, v. f. ; 6 ff. Foucart in Recue archiologique 1877, i. p. $24^{2}$; C.I.A. Suppl. to ral. i. p. 10. The loat atelt contained the $\psi$ thpoma alluded to in $\{3$. The inecription datee from immediately after the redoction of Eubosa, of which Chalkis was the key; this in nut part of the dmodoria mentioned by Thuk. i. 114, but gives rather certain modifications of that jpoloria, cocoeded by the Athenians upon the petitivn of the Chalkidiana



## § 1. Resolution carried by Diognetos.

$$
\Delta \text { tóyıŋtos cire' }
$$













Who are to adminietter this oath.

Oath to be taken byall Chalkidiape of age.

Penalts of not iwear ing.

Who are to administer this oath.

The outh to be eworn at stated timen ( 1 .

Five extra commir siomers.

The Athenians will recain Celloidian


$[\mu] \psi \tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{\prime} A \theta \eta v a l \omega \nu . \quad \delta \rho к \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota[\delta]$ द̀ $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in\{a-$

$v^{\prime} A \theta \eta v a l o u s ~ к а і ~ ג \pi о \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a c ~ т о u ̀ s ~ \delta \mu \delta \sigma a v t-~$
 ódwv ol or[p]arnyol.-









 'A $\theta \eta \nu a l \omega \nu, \kappa a l \pi \in\left\{\sigma o \mu a \iota \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \eta \mu \varphi \tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{\prime} A \theta-\right.$





 iv $\delta \rho к \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ т бає тоùs $\delta \mu \delta \sigma a r t a s ~ X a \lambda к ı \delta e ́ \omega \nu . ~$
§ 2. Resolutions carrical by Antikles.


























${ }^{\text {'I }}$ Iepori

 ò áprúplov ess rav̂ra [ $\pi$ ]apexóvt
§ 3. Supplement to Autikles' resolutions, carried by Archestratos.

> "Opкоs.





 oat òs àv bivy


Sacrifices in obedience to xp7пноі of
Exemptiona from фipos.

How and where this decree in to be inscribed.

Hierokles.



Line 4: the Athenian $\beta$ oun ${ }^{\prime}$ is not to deal with Chalkis as it had just dealt with Hestiza (Thuk. i. 114), and as it had with Chalkis iteelf in b.c. 509-4 (Herod. v. 77). Line 6 : this applies rather to the Athenian dikasts, when trying a case brought to them from Chalkis; axpliov ouberós is to be anderstood with all these verbe Line 8: unfair sentence of death and confiscation
is meant. The ultimate sovereign power is reserved for the omnipotent $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu$ os. Line 10: this applies to members of the $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\eta}$, who are to act like Sokrates when they are $\pi p u a^{2} v e$ es, and not put to the vote an unfair $\psi$ indope. Line 11 : compare the sweeping decree against the Mytileneans (Thuk, iii. 36). Line 12: envoys with petitions from sabject states or others could only be introduced to the $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ os by the $\pi$ purdives, who were not always above suspicion of abusing their power by taking bribes, or by repelling unpopular applicants (Arist. Peace, 905; Thesm. 936; [Xenophon], Resp. Ath. iii. 3); the words кardे rò ठvvatóv show that sometimes an embassy could not le introduced, owing to argent business, or to the occurrence of a national holiday (2кexecpia, Arist. Peace, ibid.). Line 20: this was the usual form of the 3rd pers. pl. imp. pres. pass. at this date (see Kirchhoff, C. I. A. suppl. to vol. i. p. 12). Line 27 : this shows that the sobject cities could and did appeal against unfair assessment of фópos (ep. No. 47). Line $30: \mathrm{a}$ contingent frum Chalkis served with the Athenians in Sicily (Thul. vii. 57). Line 40 : this is prubably the same Antikles who commanded in the Samian war ('Thul. i. 117). Line 41 : Kirchhoff concludes, from the use of the present tense, that Antikles' object was to repeat the oath at stated intervals, and that this had been ordered in the lost psephisma concerning Eretria. Lines 43, 44: from this ex-
 had ouly just been concluded with Enboea (Thuk. i. 114). Line 46: as all the adult population of Chalkis had to swear, the five cxtra commissioners would be needed. Line 53 foll.: the sentence will nut construe : either the stonecutter, or the MS. from which he worked, was at fault. Kirchhoff suggests roùs iv
 Chalkis, who, as citizens of Athens, paid no tribute; see Ælian,
 к.т.A. Each subject state paid its фópos in a lamp sum to Athens; the local authorities raised it by an individual aseesement. Line 64 foll.: for Hierokles and his xpm $\sigma$ of see Arist. Peace, 1043 foll.; perhaps he had received a grant of land at Oreos as a reward, upon the fulfiment of his predictions of success in the Eubcean expedition: ©p. the prophesyings about the Sicilian expedition (Thuk. viii. 1). That such $\mu$ abrects
accompanied the Athenian armies we know from No. 19. Thokijdides speaks slightingly (ii. 21) of these floating $x \rho \eta \sigma-$ $\mu \mathrm{ol}$, bat they -were believed in by the people, and this psephisma shows that they were recognised by the state. Line 80: here the formula of the oath was rehearsed in the MS. draf, but the stoneeutter does not repeat it, as it has already occurred as part of Diognetos' motion.

## 29.

## Athenian Colonies in Thrace; Brea, B.C. 444-440.

Two fragments of Pentelic marble, found 1833, 1847, in the Erechtheion : published 1853, by Böckh, Mowatsb. d. Berl. Akad. p. 147, and by Sauppe, Tranaartion of Sax. Lit. Society, 1853. p. 33. I follow Kirchhoff's revised text, C. I.A. i. No. 31. See E. Curtius, Gr. Gesch. ii. p. 228, 'noch heute ist uns auf alter Eteinurtunde der I'olksbesenluss erhaleen, in Fulge deasen der Stadt Bria im Lande d.r Biodler, in der soaserreichen Berggrgend, wordlich won der Challidike und nüdich rom Strymon, zam Wchusitze einer Altinchen llärgergeme inte cinyerichtel reorden ice.'

## A. Decree of the people, carried by Demokleides.

(The beginning is lost).
$\ldots \hat{\eta} \nu \hat{a} \nu \phi a[h \eta \hat{\eta}$






























B. Rider carried by Phantokles.

| ias ка日áтєр $\Delta \eta \mu$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

[a] ठè тробауаүєî̀ ग̀̀v 'E-




10 [u]yıtî̀ léval toùs àmo-
[ $]$ кoes.

This decree, concerning an almost forgotten event (see Hesych. and Steph. Byz. s.v. Bp'́a), is the only known inscription relating to Greek colonization. The $a^{2} \pi o c k i a$, a colony planted amongst barbarians on foreign soil, is to be distinguished from $\pi \lambda_{\eta \rho o v x i a, ~}^{\text {a }}$ a settlement of Athenian citizens in the city and lands of an expelled Greek population, as at Hestixa and Chalkis (see preceding), Samos, Myrina, etc. Observe that the connection with the mother city is to be maintained by $\theta$ cowpiat and contributions to the great Athenian festivals (cp. No. 23); and in the division of the land at Brea certain lands are to be reserved ( $\varepsilon_{\xi \eta p \eta \mu i v a)}$ for the endowment of temples ( $\tau \in \mu i v \eta$ ). Further, it appears from B that by this colonization Perikles had in view not only the strengthening of Athens in the neighbour-
hood of Thrace, bat also the relief of the poorer citizens (Plut. Per. 11). A. Line 2 : certain imports had just been prohibited. Lines 4, 5: this word, if rightly restored, means the leaders of the daotкเซرós. Line 15: the cities of the Athenian confederacy are to defend Brea: the covenant referred to is lost. Line 27 : Athenians on military service may give in their names as colonists, but are not to make this a mere pretext for leaving
 or grant of moner from the home government for the establishment of the colony : see Introd. to Demosth. Cherson.; though that concerns a a a $\eta$ porxía. B. The prytaneis of Erechtheis, which was probably the next to follow, were to introduce Phantokles to the $\beta$ oun $\eta_{\text {: }}^{\text {: see note on preceding, line } 12 .}$

## 30.

List of the Quota of Tribute paid to Athena in the year B.C. 443.

Inscribed on the int of the blocks mentionod on No. 24. The toxt in given from Kirchbof, C. I. A. i. 23i, after Köller, Urkunden, etc. p. 33; cp. Böckh, Staatch. ii. p. 456, 462.

Of the series of documents to which this belongs, something has been said on No. 24. The present list has a special interest for troo reasons. First, we recognize the poet Sophokles in the last line as the chairman of the Hellenotamix, so that his command in the Samian expedition, b.c. 440 , was not his first pullic appointment (Strabo, p. 638), nor his last, if we may believe Plutarch's story (Nic. 15).

In connection with Perikles this inscription has a further interest. The ostracism of Thukydides son of Melesias, this very year, B.c. 443, left Perikles supreme. His policy at once begins to leave its marks in the inscriptions. At home he proceeded to carry out the great works of building upon the Akropolis (see Nos. 33, 34, 36), while abroad his policy aimed at consolidating the Athenian confederacy and preparing for the inevitable struggle for supremacy between Athens and Sparta. Both his home and foreign administration depended upon finance (see Thukyd. ii. 13 ; cp. i. 141), and the existing Quota-lists, though they do not mention his name, bear witness to his policy. The
tributary states appear to have been reassessed every four years, and the statement of the Xenophontic De Rep. dth. ch. iii. 5 ( $\delta i^{\prime}$ '̀rovs $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \tau 0 v$ ) is confirmed by the marbles. From these lists we find that the assessments of B.c. 454, 450, $44^{6}$ aimed at keeping the total tribute at the Aristidean level, the payment of individual states being lowered as the number of confederates grew. The list before us is the last which was drawn up on this principle. The assesment of b.c. 442 for the most part did away with such abatements to individual states, and so produced a larger total (cp. No. 35) : at the opening of the Peloponnesian War it is said to average 600 tulents (Thuk. ii. 13). In 440 an $2 \pi$ tфopd, or additional payment, is required of certaiu Ionian and Hellespontine states, evidently to meet the increasing scale of expenditure.

In b.c. $43^{8}$ the Parthenon was dedicated, and made the national treasury (Nos. 34, 50). The list of b.c. $43^{6}$ (No. 35) shows on the whole an increase in the individual payments. In 435 were passed the two financial decrees (No. 37), which speak for themselver. In the meantime the Propylea have been built, b.c. 437-433 (see No. 36, and Thukyd. ii. ij). In b.c. 434 the careful lists of the rapiat tins 'A $\theta \eta v a l a s$ and the rapiat
 illustrates Perikles' scheme of colonization, so Nos. 39 and 40 show that not only by a sound and careful finance, but by judicious alliances, he was preparing for the struggle which he had long foreseen.
 'Eגeuainu(os).
(Column 1 on the marble).
[Icovicoû фópou].

|  |  | ［Перки́］$] \eta$ <br>  | $\Delta \Gamma+I I I$ 风 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Прокоขขท́\％tol | HHH |
| ［＇EpuOpaî］ol |  | Kv̧ınทvol | ${ }^{\text {TH HHHH }}$ |
| ［Bovoctins］ |  | ${ }^{\text {＇Apraxnv }}$［00］ | $\Delta \Delta \Delta r ト H I I$ |
|  |  |  | X |
| ［Птe入eov́rl］ot |  | Bu〔ávtı［0l］ |  |
| ［E入atoźvioc］ |  |  |  |
| ［ $218000 \% 10 t]$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\Delta \Gamma \vdash \mid 11!$ |
| Пuye入îs |  | $\Sigma K[a \Psi a]<0 \iota$ $\Sigma[\times L] d \theta c o t$ |  |
| ${ }^{\text {＇Ifindiot }}$ | ［ $\Delta \Gamma \vdash$ ］ 1111 | $\Sigma$［xl］a $\theta$ LOL <br> ［＂Ik］ LOL |  |
| ＇E¢é́tor | $\left[{ }^{\mathrm{P}}\right] \mathrm{H}$ | ［ $\mathrm{I} k$ ］ $\mathrm{O}^{\text {cot }}$ |  |
| Kiaçopévioc | H® | ＇O［入o］фข́छı0¢ |  |
| Mèj́бtos | $[1$ | Eraje［pîral］ <br> Oи́ $\sigma \sigma$［l］ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \Gamma \vdash[\\|] \\| \\ & H \end{aligned}$ |
| ＇E入入joтovтíou фópou． |  |  |  |
|  |  | （Column 3 on the marble．） |  |
| Па入аıтєркс́бt（0ı） |  | Erpequio | H |
| （Columx 2 on the marlle．） |  | 「a入ńve［0t］ | $\square$ |
| ［ $\Gamma$ ¢etiv］${ }^{\text {cos }}$ |  | Neодо入í［тal］ | 回 |
| ［ Savpl］$^{\text {a }}$ ］etexital |  | Alyávtıo | $\Delta \Delta \Delta$ トrトll |
|  |  | Mapmi＇et［ $\hat{\eta}]$ s | $H^{(1)}$ |
| ［ $\Lambda$ apr］${ }_{\text {denet }}$ |  | Saraiot | ® $\Delta \Gamma \vdash$ IIII |
| ［ Sap］$^{\text {davins }}$ |  | Erúdior | ® $\Delta \Gamma$－IIII |
| Apraycavol |  | Staptćliot | HH |
| Tepébioc | ．．rr |  | HHH |
|  | －••••• | Eiryco［1］ | HH |
| Aaytaxyvol |  | ＇Aфut［aiol］ | H |
|  | ［ $\Delta \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{l}]$ ］ 1 | M $\dagger$［кvat ${ }^{\text {coiol }}$ ］ | $\cdots \Delta \Gamma \vdash\|l\| l$ |
| ［ $A \beta^{\top}$ ，vöquol | ．$\Delta$－ HFIIC | ＇ O ［ $\lambda$ vivolol］ | ．．．．． |
| Xa入［ $k \eta \delta$ ］órıos |  | ［Sкaß入aîol］ |  |
|  |  | $\left[{ }^{\prime} A \sigma \sigma\right] \eta[\rho] \overline{[ }[$ ral |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ［T］opanvaio［l］ | ［ H |
|  |  | ［A］Kdvelo［l］ | HHH |
| －• ．．O6 |  |  | ［ ${ }_{[ }[\mathrm{H}] \mathrm{HH}[\mathrm{H}]$ |
| Mauon］vol | HHH | ［ $A_{p y}$ ］$\lambda_{10}[1]$ | H |




## 31.

Covenant between CEanthia and Chaleion in the Korinthian gulf: about B.C. 440.

A bronze tablet, inscribed on both wides, $A$ and $B$, found at Galaxidi (Eanthia). The tablet itself is entire, but it may be only a supplement to a loet treaty between the two towns. The text from Rangabd, Ant. Bell. 356 b, facsimile ; cp. Row, Alle Lokr. Inachrift ron Chalcion, Leipz. 1854; and esp. Kirchboff, Etudien, p. 92. From the Woodhouse Collection, now missing.
A.







 $\hat{\eta}$ ol $\theta \boldsymbol{\varphi} \eta \boldsymbol{j} \sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$.

## B.











The main object of these provisions is to prevent either state from injaring foreign merchants who visited the other's port; and we have here an instance of that kind of treaty called by the Greeks $\tau$ à $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta o \lambda a$, and suits tried as here provided were $\delta i \kappa a t ~ a \pi o ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$. The translation below will clear up most points. Al $\tau \iota \sigma u \lambda \bar{\varphi}$ is for al $\tau \iota s \sigma u \lambda \psi \eta$, line 2 ; and $\theta \varphi \eta / \sigma \tau \omega$ in line 9 for $\theta \omega \grave{y}$ है $\sigma \tau \omega$. To understand lines 3-6, bear in mind the meaning of oviaa oiosovat in Greek international law, as spoken of by Demosthenes in Lacritum, p. 927, 931 (cp. arg. of the speech in Timocr. p. 695), and explained by Böckh, Staatsh. i. 194. When one state declared war with another, it gave its own citizens the right of seizing the cargo of any vessel belonging to the citizens of the other ('letters of marque'); so Thuk.

 vaious $\lambda$ pitscooal. A merchant therefore bound for Canthia might, if from a city at enmity with Chaleion, be liable to seizure by freebooters from Chaleion; and rice cersa. This freedom is limited by the present convention. A court was provided, both at Chaleion and Canthia, before which a foreigner who had unjustly suffered seizure might get redress : certain rules for this court are given in lines 4-9. The $\xi \in \nu 0 \delta$ inal (line 10) are the assessors of this court. The dialect is Nolo-Dorian ; the aspirate is often dropped, though inserted in ${ }_{a} y \in \nu$ and $\epsilon_{x} \theta o s$ $=$ encos. Kirchhoff warns us not to date this treaty too early : the style of speech is rude, but is characteristic of the manners of the people. The people of these regions were born freebooters : Thuk. i. 5; Polyb. xvii. 4-5.

Translution. A. 'No Ganthian shall rob a foreign merchant on Chaleian soil, nor a Chaleian rob a merchant on Canthian soil ; nor shall either Caanthian or Chaleian seize a merchant's cargo within the territory of the other city. Whoso makes such seizure, and seizes a stranger's cargo on the sea, he shall be allowed to carry off his seizure, without attack from the other city; only not from the actual harbour of the other city. If be make unlawful seizure, four dr. be the penalty; and if he retain the goods so seized beyond ten days, let him be fined to the value of half the goods (besides restoring all). If a Chaleian sojourn orer a month in Canthia, or an Ganthian in Chaleion,
he must（as if a $\mu$ érockos）sue and be sued in the courts of the city of his sojourn．If his proxenos，in performing his duties as such，perverts the truth deliberately，let his fine be doubled．＇
B．＇If the assessors are divided in opinion，let the plaintiff， if he be a foreiguer，choose additional jurors out of the highest class of citizens，（only not the proxenos of his own city，nor his own private proxenos），fifteen for claims of a mina or more，nine for less．If a citizen of one of the two towns sue a citizen of the other，then，according to the existing agreement between them， the magistrates shall swear by the five gods and choose the jurors from the highest class，and the jurors shall take the same oath， and a majority of their votes shall be final．＇

## 32.

Thurii and Tarentum ：B．C．440－430．
On a bronze epear－head fouml at Olympia in 18j8：published by A．Furt－ wängler，drchäOl．Zeil．1879，p． 149.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { इкū̀a àпò Oovpínv Tapav- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \pi \ell \varphi \text { ठєка́та⿱亠䒑. }
\end{aligned}
$$

On the founding of Thurii see Grote，pt．2．ch．47，and Curtius， Gr．Gesch．ii． 229 f．It was in the spring of b．c．443．The first few years were occupied in a struggle with Tarentum，the Thurians claining possession of all the land northward as far as， and inclusive of，the river and town of Siris，cp．Herod．viii．62． The struggle lasted about ten years，and ended in a compromise， which showed that Tarentum had rather the advantage in the war：Stralı，p．264，ф $\eta \sigma i$ 8＇＇Aurioxos tov̀s Tapaitirous Govpioıs

 aiav кpıÖ̂rat Tapautlywע．Comp．Th．Müller，De Thuriorum Republica，Gött． 1838.
33.

Building of the Parthenon：B．C． 438.
The text is from Rirchbofi，C．I．4．i． 298 （ 200 Supplement to vol．i．p．3i）．



 $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \mu a$ параे．．．．

We may conjecture that this image was the chryselephantine statue of Athena sculptured by Pheidias b．c．438．The sacred－ ness of the work will account for the solemn invocation with which the account begins．The funds were no doubt supplied from the rapial tîs $\theta \in o \hat{v}$（see the next）．

## 34.

Building of the Parthenon：B．C． 438.
The text is from Kirchhof，C．I．1．i．299：comp．Böckh．Staatch．ii． 343 foll．
The beginning is lost，but the tenour of it may be restored


［ $\delta$ ठê̂va＇̀zpa］$\mu[\mu]$ átev－




入 $\hat{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\sim}$
$\Delta \Delta \Delta \quad[0 t] s \Delta c t r i a[s]$ Euadyous

тац［J］as $\delta t \Phi[4]$ óvews＇ $18[0]$－

HHH．$\quad$ тU $[\lambda]$ os ${ }^{\text {＇} E \lambda[\lambda \eta \sigma \pi o v] т i o v ~ ’ E p x L-~}$
円Гト

$\Sigma_{\iota}[\mu] \tilde{\lambda} \lambda o v$ חo $^{2}[\tau a ́] \mu[\iota] o s$, Tecoi－
$\mu a[x]$ os $T \epsilon[[\sigma i o v]$ К $\epsilon \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \in \nu$ ，



Пераис＇s，Xaupe入єi［ $\delta \eta s$ ］


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { apîvos 'Eтtxap . . . . } \\
& \text { ['Ava] }{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu a \tau a \\
& \Delta \Delta \Delta T \quad x \rho v[\sigma o v \\
& \text { TTTX } \\
& 25 \text {. HH. }
\end{aligned}
$$

There are strong reasons (for which see Kirchhoff, l.c.) for connecting this document also with the statue of Athena. The intotátal or commissioners for the execution of the work receive more than 34 talents, 2858 drachmas, 2 obols in one year for the parchase of gold (and silver?): of this no less than 34 talents, and 1300 (? or 2200) drachmas, appears to have gone for the purchase of gold. Line 17 : prolsably mistake for $\Delta$ loyvis.

## 35.

List of the Quota of Tribute paid to Athena in the year B. C. 436.

The tert is given from Kirchhoff, C.I.A. vol. i. 244.
A comparison of the various lists seems to show that Perikles aimed at increasing the total of Tribute, by allowing no abatements (as a rule) to individual cities as more members joined the alliance : on the contrary, in some cases an additional payment ( $2 \pi$ rфopá) is levied. The reader is referred to the notes on Nos. 24 and 30 , and is invited to compare the sums enumerated in the several lists. In the present list the order of regions is altered, and Ionia and Karia are grouped together (sce note on No. 24). The last two headings in the list are explained by reference to the mode of making the reassessments, as described in No. 47 (see notes). Hódecs aủral rał́áncial are cities which appealed against their assessment, and secured the reduction which they claimed; cp. No. 28, line 26 foll. Módets, às ol
 fixed at the suggestion, not of the racral nor members of the Boody, but of private Athenian citizens who volunteered advice to the $\beta$ ovdí (cp. Andol. de Myst. 84 and note on No. 47).
$\operatorname{ko\sigma t}[\hat{\eta} s a \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s, \hat{\eta} \quad . \quad$.
$\phi<\lambda \epsilon$
éкто⿱
є＇$\gamma \rho \alpha \mu\left[\mu a ́ \tau \epsilon \cup \epsilon .{ }^{\circ} E \lambda\right]-$
$\lambda \eta \nu o r\left[a \mu i a s \boldsymbol{j}_{\nu} \Delta l\right]$－
ovú́otos
（Column I on the marble．）
［＇I］covixòs фópos．

| Kav́vıo |
| :---: |
| T $\eta \lambda$ da ［П］ao |



| 06 | $\Delta$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ［Aüdı］âtal | 「ㅏㅏ［トII］ |
| ［Map］aөضं ${ }^{\text {cos }}$ | $\Delta \Delta \Delta t r, r 11]$ |
|  | $\Delta \Gamma \vdash$ IIII |

［Aб］ruaada［l］$\hat{s} \mathrm{HH}$

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

$\left.\begin{array}{c}{[\Pi \epsilon \delta](\eta) s} \\ {[2 \kappa \Lambda(\nu \delta \delta] o v}\end{array}\right\} \quad 円 \Delta \Delta \Delta r+H+11$

Пú［pviol］
N［akıâr］aı
HHH
［ $\Delta$ ］$\Gamma$ III ［r］ $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}$ II
＇I［ $\sigma$ ใ $\nu \delta]$ ८o
K［0入oф］ćvıó

（Column 2 on the marble）．


（Column 1 continued．）
之í申vıot
Eúptot
ETup
＇Epetpıท̂s
「puvx ${ }^{\text {n }}$
＇P Ppain̂s
＇A $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { nvî̃as }\end{aligned}$
$\Delta ı \hat{s}$ ànò K $\eta \nu a i[0 v]$ ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \hat{\eta}[\tau] a<$ $\mathrm{A}[$ ใ̌ $]$ ぃขท̂тaı

| －• | －••••• |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | фópos．］ |
|  | $\Delta \Delta \Delta r[F+11]$ |
| $K[a \lambda \times \eta \delta$ óv 100 ］ | ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{H}$ |
| $\Pi$［ $¢$ ¢ 20 coi］ | $\times$ |
| $\Delta \iota \delta[\nu \mu о т е с \chi$ îtal $]$ | $\Delta \Gamma+$ III |
| $\Delta$ avvio［reixĩau］ | $\Delta \Gamma$－IIII |
| $\triangle \mathrm{apbar}[\hat{\eta} s]$ | H |
| ＇Aく¢ı̂̀s | 「ㅏIII |

$[\mathrm{H}] \mathrm{HH}$
$[\Delta] \Delta \Gamma$
． HH
$[\Delta] \Gamma$ HIII
$\Gamma$
$[\Delta] \Delta \Delta r+H I I$
$[\Delta] \Delta \Delta+$ HトII
$[\mathrm{m}]$
．HHH
\＄opos．］
$\Delta \Delta \Delta H[F H I]$
X
$\Delta \Gamma$ IIII
$\Delta \Gamma$ HIII
「ㅏIII
（Column 2 continued．）

| Eapraiou | $\Delta \Delta \Gamma$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | H |
| ＇Етєокартd $\theta_{1}[01]$ 2к Kapadeov | $\} \Delta \Gamma+1 / 11$ |
| Kácoos | $\Delta \Gamma+$ III |
| Alonîtal | $\Gamma$ |
| Midxcipto | m |
|  | 「トトトll |
| Ка入入ıтолйта［ı］ | ［ $\Delta$ ］$\Gamma$ НIIII |
|  | ［ $\Delta \Gamma \cdot \\|]$ |
| ［．．．$\hat{\eta}]$ s |  | oi idıc̄тat èvéypa廿av фópo［r］фépeıv．

$K \lambda[\epsilon] \omega r a l$ ［「］+ rトll
 ［ $\Sigma \dot{u ́}_{\mu \eta}$ ］
［ Eivos］ $\Delta \Delta \Delta$「 $\boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{H}$ $\Delta \Delta \Gamma$ $\Delta \Delta \Delta r+r l \mid$
36.

Building of the Propyleea：B．C．457－4ss．
On a atatue－bace found in site during the excaration of the Propylea：cp． Rom，Arch．Auff．i． 188 ；Kirchbof．C．I．A．i． 335.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Пúp̧pos ìmoingev 'AӨךraios. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Plutarch shall tell us the current story of this statue（Pericl．









 Pliny, $N . H$. xxxii. 44 ; xxxiv. 80. Perikles fulfilled his vow in the name of the Athenian people.

## 37.

## Administration of Perikles: two Financial Decrees of, B. C. 435.

A slab inscribed on both sides; now in the Lourre. The text is given from Kirchboff, C. I. A. i. No. 32 ; comp. Bückh, Stantinaital. ii. 49 fill. The paleougraphy of abe documente proves that, though the decrees belong to B. C. 435, they were not inecribed until some fiftoen years later.
As the Treasure-lists (see No. 50) began in b.c. 434, Kirchhoff convincingly argues that these decrees must have been passed the year before, viz. in b.c. 435 : see his Bemerkungen an II. Urkinnden d. Schat:meister der anderen Götter (dbhandlungen I. Berl. Akad. 1864). The policy of Perikles was based upon careful finance. In B.c. 438 the Parthenon was finished, itself a magnificunt àvá $\theta \eta \mu a$ to Athena, and intended to be both the centre of the national festival, and the Treasury of the state. The treasures belonging to Athena had been for some time managed by ten rapiac elected yearly, one from ench tribe, each great Panathenaic festival (the third year of each Olympiad) marking the end of a financial period (see on No. 50, and B. §5). Upon the completion of the Parthenon, Athena's treasures were deposited there, the moneytreasure in the Opisthodomos (a chamber behind the raós or cella), the treasures in kind in the Pronaos, Parthenon proper, and Hekatompedos (see No. 50). The lists of the Treasurers of Athena begin in 434, and present an almost perfect series for thirty years.

In the decrees beforc us we perceive the mind of Perikles, bent on investigating and reorganizing all the property of the
state, and making all her resources available for national purposes. It appears that, during the military efforts of the last few years, large sums had been borrowed from the treasuries of the 'Other Gods.' In 445, however, peace had been purchased by the 'Thirty years' truce;' and, in spite of the Samian expedition in b.c. 440 , a period of comparative repose had increased the Athenian resources. It is decided in the first of these decrees (A. § 2) to repay at once the sums due to the 'Other Gods,' 3000 taleuts having now accumulated in the treasury of Athena; $\mathbf{~ d s}$ жо́入ı $=$ the Akropolis (Thuk. ii. 15 ; No. 28, 1.60 ; No. 29, 1. 18). § 3. The repayment is to be made out of фópos now in hand, or just coming into hand, and from the tenth of the spoils of war paid to Athena. §4. Of the $\lambda$ oyıoral we have heard in No. 24. For the $\beta$ oùn' comp. Andok. de Myst. 15; aùroxpát $\omega \rho=$ ' without consulting the èккл $\eta$ бia.' § 5 . Search is to be made for all receipts and accounts, and the priests and curators of each temple are to give all information. § 6 . A board of Treasurers (probably five in number) are to be appointed yearly, to take charge of the treasures belonging to the ' Other Gods,' like the Treasurers of Athena : sce on No. 50. These treasures consisted chiefly of gold and silver, coined or bullion, and gold and silver vessels; they were henceforward to be kept in the Opisthodomos (B. §4), and carefully registered year by year (A. § $7, \S 8$ ). Lastly, in § 9 it is provided that what is over of the sums specified in $\$ 3$, after payment of the debts, shall be spent on the docks and the fortifications.

The opening of $B$ is mutilated: it was a decree of the same sear, but a little later; and deals with the Treasures of Athena only. In § I certain sums seem to be voted for the beautifying of the Akropolis and the furnishing of the Panathensea. § 2. Henceforward the Treasures of Athena are to accumulate, and no sum alove 10,000 drachmas may be voted even for this purpose, without a bill of indemnity. This resembles the later enactment of в.c. $43^{1}$ (Thuk. ii. 24; cp. viii. 15) respecting the reserve fund of 1000 talents. § 3. If Kirchhoff's restoration is right, it is ordered that the surplus of the yearly фopos shall be deposited among the treasures of Athena. It should be remembered that in the Athenian mind there was no distinction between Church and State. Athena's treasures were available for national pur-
poses, and the national surplus is regarded as part of Athena's treasure (cp. Thuk. ii. 13 ; Böckh, Slaatsh. i. 579 ). § 4 has been already explained, and $\S 5$ is plain enough. Whatever treasures of A thena are still unweighed, are to be weighed, not only by the present taulat, but by all the former taulas who are living and present in Athens: $d \in i$ is important for the sense, and more certainly right than some other of Kirchhoff $\leq$ restorations, which howerer I have reproduced, as giving the probable drift of the decree.

## A.































 [เv].

## B.

$\S 1$

35


ацíva каі '̀ $\pi \iota$
xpuaion?
. Z̈кабта
кaì $\grave{\pi \iota \sigma \kappa є v a[\sigma}$

40
. . . . . . . $\mu \epsilon \tau]$ à $\tau \hat{\nu}$ d $\rho x$ x $\tau \epsilon \kappa[\tau o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ? .


















 $60 \nu \bar{\eta} \dot{a} p \gamma \nu \rho a ̄] ~ \grave{\eta}$ vixáppupa, $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta}[\sigma a u \tau a s$

## 38.

Athenian administration of the Delian Temple.

$$
\text { B. C. 434, } 433 .
$$

The text is given from Böckh (Abhandl. d. Berl. Akad. 1834), Erklärung einer Autischen Crkusde aber das Vernägen des Apollinisechen Heiligthums auf Deles; C.I. A. i. 283. The marble remains at Athens.

The first six lines are sadly broken, but they seem to contain

 Athenian board (elsewhere styled 'A $\boldsymbol{\mu} \phi$ ıктvóves) who were a kind of Ecclesiastical Commissioners for the management of the property of the Delian temple. The temple was more or less under Attic influence from the foundation of the Athenian alliance (в.c. 477) : but the direct control of it was undertalen by them in b.c. 426, when the Athenians 'purified' Delos and re-established the Delian festival (Thuk. iii. 104). In b.c. 422 they expelled the Delians and occupied the island with Kleruchs; but the next year they restored their lands (Thuk. v. I. 32), and retained only the Temple. After the defeat at $\not$ Egospotamoi of course the Delians claimed their own temple once more (see Nos. 61 and 82) ; and this document (which was not inscribed before the archonship of Euklid) may have been inscribed at Athens at this time as documentary evidence of the Athenian right to the temple. The date of the original is fixed by the Athenian archons Krates and Apseudes. The inscription goes on-

 ( 55410 dr .)






§ 1 appears to refer to the recovery of loans due to the temple ; cp. No. 82.
§ 2 refers partly to the measuring and marking the boundary of certain sacred lands and properties; and the lending of moneys. A few figures will prove Böckh's restorations true. Capital lent 9 tal. $20 \mathrm{dr} .=54020 \mathrm{dr}$.; which at $\frac{1}{1}$ th interest,
 years this equals $2 \% 010 \mathrm{dr}$.: add capital, and you get 81030 dr . $=13 \mathrm{tal} ., 3030 \mathrm{dr}$.










 TXH $\Delta$.

In § 3 the leases of temple lands in Delos are recorded, in § 4 the lease of temple lands in Rheneia. The 'Holy' Month would be that in which the birthday of Apollo and Artemis was celebrated at Delos, and this is known to answer to the Attic Thargelion or May.



This is the lease of a fishery belonging to the Temple: so Strabo speaks (p. $6_{+2}$ ) of the fisheries of the Ephesian Artemis; cp. Pausanias (i. 38. 1) about the salt streams called 'Petrol sacred to the Eleasinian deities.

## 39.

Treaty between Athens and Rhegion. B.C. 433.
In the Britich Mrocum : ese Greek Inser. in the B. M. No. v.; C.I. A. i. 33, and Suppl. to vol. i. p. 13.













From the identity of the names of the mover etc. it follows that this and the following Treaty were concluded on the same day. See Thuk. iii. 86, who speaks of the first interference of Athens in Sicilian affairs b.c. 427 : ol yà इupaкóoto кai .leov-



 $\sigma \phi i \sigma t$ dav̂s к.т.入. Gorgias of Leontini was one of the envoys on that occasion. Our inscription probably gives the ' old standing treaty' referred to by Thukydides (see Grote, ch. 5i).

## 40.

Treaty between Athens and Leontini. B. C. 433.
Recently diccoresed near the Dionysiac Theatre at Athens; Kumanudex in 'ACipmen, v. p. 422 foll. ; Foucart, Ilerme Archiologique, 18j7, i. p. 384 foll. ; C. I. A. Seppl. to rol. i. p. 13.




5 入éous, इêots Гגaukiov, Гé-
$\lambda_{\infty}{ }^{\prime}$ ' $\mathrm{E} \xi \mathrm{\eta} \ell \sigma \tau о v$, урацца-

See preceding Inscription, and notes. Line 10: $\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ tos is accidentally omitted on the stone.

## 41.

Expenses of the Expedition to Korkyra, B.C. 433.
The text is giren from Kirchhoff, C.I. A. i. 179 ; cp. Suppl. to vol. i. p. 30 ; Buickh's Kikine Sehriffen, vi. p. 72.











[Ovias . . . . . .] ${ }^{[T} T$
['Епi 'A


 ［тєs，ois Eïias At］oxpwivos＇Avaфגúбтıos




 ［pa tịs «рvтaveias？．．．］

This expedition is described by Thukyd．i．45．51．The arrival of the reinforcements in the nick of time just prevented a disaster．The story is misrepresented by Plutarch（Pericl．29）． Perikles would doubtless have sent a larger fleet at first，but he desired to avoid an appearance of aggression．Thukydides names Andolides the orator as if he were Glaukon＇s only colleague． The marble names Glaukon，［Metag］enes，and Drakonti［des］． Either Thukydides makes a slip，or Andokides was unofficially attached to the expedition．Drakontides may be the same who figures afterwards as one of the＇Thirty tyrants＇（Xen．Ilell．ii． $3 . \S 2$ ）：the leaders of this expedition seem all to belong to the oligarchical party．

## 42.

Athenian victory before Potidæa：B．C． 432.
The martile is in the Brit．Museum ：Grk．Inoer．in the II．Muselum，xaxvii ； Kirchboff．（＇1．A．442．The first three lines of the poem are pravt renuration：the
 kindseas of Dr．Oto Benndorf．Originally the marble was surnsounted with a relief repremating a batule scene．Since Fauvel first copied the inecription， seremal letsen hare been loot，which are here given in brackete iu lines $2,3$.

＇A日ásat（o $\mu$ нe日＇a）． ориаі＇геш（d $\rho \in \tau)$［クेv
cal тpoyórous（ $\theta \in \nu \in s$ ？）










The restorations are from the earlier editors, and are pretty certain. The poem is in three separate portions, and it closely accords with the narrative of Thulyd. i. 63 : $\mathbf{~ \pi} \pi \in \omega \grave{\eta}$ òè $\delta \iota a ̀$ ráxous




 $\dot{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ бтратпүós.

## PART III.

## PELOPONNESIAN WAR.

$$
\text { B. C. } 431-404
$$

43. 

B.C. 431. Spartan list of Contributions to the War (el $\sigma \phi o \rho a i)$.

A slab inscribed on two sides, inaccurately enyied by Fourmont, and now lost : C.I.G. 1511; I have adopted the dale and corrections given by Kirchhoff. Studien, p. 94 foll. Assigned to Tegea bs Fourniont, but evidently Lakedæmonian. Compare Müler's Dorians, Bk. i. ch. g. $\mathbf{f} 2$.
A.










 xuiovs $\Delta a p$ [eckovis.]

## B.





This inscription is earlier than b.c. 416, when Melos was destroyed by the Athenians (Thuk. v. 116); for the Melians are mentioned in $B$ as contributing to the Lakedæmonian war-funds. Probably this is a list of contributions towards the opening of the Peloponnesian War. The Spartans had amassed no treasure (Thuk. i. 80, 141 ), and when they engaged in war they apprealed to their allies and to their own citizens for contributions, in money and kind (Thuk. ii. 7, 10; iii. 16; vii. 18): but they had no regular taxation of their citizens, nor of their allies. The laws of Lykurgos forbade the possession of gold and silver money: but the state must have had such mones in hand for the payment of war expenses abroad, etc., although, even after the wealth brought to Sparta by Lysander, private citizens were not allowed to accumulate money (Müller's Dorians, BL. iii. $10 . \S 10$, 11). Two individuals are named in the list, Aercióa viós and Módo $[\beta]$ pos. The contribution of the first is probably in tind: the latter is perhaps the father of Epitades, who commanded the force against Pylos b.c. 425 (Thuk. iv. 8), and his poseession of
 to mean $\mu$ érocko.
44.

## Methone and King Perdikkes: B. C. 428-426.

On a large alab of marble, broken at the bottom, and nurmounted by a (broken) relief; a man coated in a dignifiol attitode extends his hand to a man alanding in bumble garb, followed by a hound. The group doubtlew reprecente the Athenian efincor bofriending the Mothoneman, who are pledged to obedient fidality. The cest is from C.I. A. i. 40 ; cp. Biickh, Elaatuh. ii. 748; Kirchbeal Abhandl. Berh Lkad. 1861, p. 555 foll. ; Köbler, aide. 1869, i. p. 138.

Me日cualan 2к Пuep[las].

First Decree. Probably July, B. c. 428.




















 [ $\ell_{\kappa}$ -







 $\delta \ell \mathfrak{a}] \lambda \lambda o v a \dot{a} \tau \in[\lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i] v a l$.

Second Decree: B. c. 426 (passed in the first prytany).


























Third Decree: B. c. 426 (passed in the second prytany).
["Eठo\}-



A fourth Decree must bave originally come at the end,
 (decree in Thuk. iv. 118), when all these four documents were ordered to be inscribed together.

Methone remained faithful to Athens throughont the Peloponnesian war (Thuk. iv. 129; vi. 7): after Egospotanoi the alliance was suspended for a time, but in the days of Timotheos and Kallistratos (b. c. 378 ) Methone once more joined Athens (Dinarch. in Dem. § 14), until in 353 it was forced to yield to the siegre of Philip (Grote, ch. 87). Doubtless it was to the advantage of Methone to secure freedom of movement by land and sea by alliance with the maritime power of Athens; to Athens. also Methone was a position of the greatest importance, as commanding the Thermaic Gulf.

The firat Decree refers to the new assessment made in the presious year, probably b.c. 429 (cp. Köhler, ('rkunden, p. 138), and proposes for the Methonaans (in § 1) to pay no tribute, but


фópos are excused, and a special arrangement ( $\tau d \xi \iota s$ ) is to be made about them. In § 3 envoys are to be sent to Perdikkas, requesting him to give the Methonæans freedom to pursue their traffic in any direction they please by land or sea, and not to set them limits on the coast ( $\delta \rho i \sigma a \sigma \theta a l$ ), whether N. or S. of Methone, which they might not pass.
§4. If he declines this suggestion, Perdikkas and the Methoneans are to send envoys to Athens by next Dionysia ( = March 427) with full powers to settle their differences. If the Athenian forces now at Poseidion report favourably of Perdikkas' behaviour in these respects, he will earn the good-will of Athens.
§5. The proposed relief is voted to the Methonæans.
Second Decree: b.c. 426. § I. The Methonæans are permitted to import corn from Byzantion, and the Athenian $\mathbf{2 \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ кото or фílaxes there (see No. 23) are to help them; and the ship they charter is not to be regarded as contraband.
 readiness,' cp. Xen. Cyrop. vi. 2. 37.
§ 3. Two sets of envoys had been sent to Perdikkas, and were expected back shortly: Leogoras may be the father of Andolides the orator.
§4. Who Hegesipolis was, and what the special circumstances alluded to, we know not. The Athenians promise immediate attention to the matter: but the $\beta$ ount just now was sitting down at the docks (Böckh, Staatsh. iii. p. 171 and 466) on busipess connected with the fleet; that ended, the prytanes will call the ${ }^{2} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ together, and this matter shall be the 'first order of the day.'

> 45.
> Surrender of Potidse : B. C. 429.
> Statue-bace of Pentelic marble found on the Akropolis, C. I. A. i. 340.
> 'Emolkcy
> is Horcloacav.


Пот $\delta$ дasay каì катч́кьғау. The dedication was made to Athena by the colonists before learing home.

## 46.

Repayment of moneys borrowed from the Temple-treasures: B. C. 426-423.

On eight fragments found at different times on the Akropolis. The text in given from Kirchhoff, C. I. A. 273 ; cp. Rangabt, Antiq. Hell. $116-117.373$; Böckh, Kleine Sckriften, vi. p. 72.

This document shows that during the four years B.c. 426-423 the war expenses were so heary that the ordinary income of the state (from фópos and the other sources of revenue) was not sufficient to meet them. Recourse was therefore had to the
 But it had been enacted b.c. 435 (No. 37 B) that these treasures should not be voted away for state-purposes without an in-demnity-bill (ă $\delta \epsilon c a$ ); and moreover they were at least in form dedicated to the gods. Accordingly they are here 'borrowed' at a nominal interest. It will be remembered that Perikles (Thuk. ii. 13) speaks of the lepà xpínara as all being available when necessary. In b.c. 422 the peace of Nikias brought relief to the Athenian exchequer, and about b.c. 420 the debt to the gods was repaid with the interest thereon, as here set forth. The interest is shown by Böckh to be calculated at the rate of ${ }^{\frac{1}{b} \sigma}$ of a drachma for every mina per diem. The normal rate of interest in Greece was róкos ìmi $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\eta}$, i.e. a drachma per mina per month, or twelve per cent. per annum. The formal interest payable to Athena was a tithe of this, or $1 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ th per cent., i.e. $\frac{1}{10}$ of a drachma per month, or (recioning thirty days to the month) $\frac{1}{80}$ of a drachma per diew. This is not the place to do more than refer the reader to the calculations of Rangabe, l.c.i. p. 179 foll., and Böch in his essar (l.c.). I shall ast the reader to take for granted their accuracy, and we will merely dwell upon the historical interest of the document.

Moneys borrowed from the Treasury of Athena:
B. C. 426-423.



On the $\lambda$ oytatal, or board of Control, see No. 24.
First year : B. c. 426-5.





 $\left.\mathrm{m}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{H} \Delta \Delta\right] \Delta \Gamma \vdash$.

The interest repaid together with the capital is for four years, which contained respectively $355,354,384,355=1448$ days : deduct from this all the days of this quadriennium which had passed before the loan, together with the day on which the loan was received, and you get the number of days for which interest was calculated. The summer of b.c. 426 was a busy one for Athens. Laches was continuing the operations in Sicily (Thuk. iii. 86. 90) : Demosthenes and Prokles (ibid. 91. 94) sailed round Peloponnese and attacked Etolia: Nikias, with a fleet of thirty sail (iizid. 91), made descents upon Melos and Bootia and Lolris, Hipponikos and Eurymedon marching at the same time on Tanagra: also Pythodoros is named (ilid. 11 5) as succeeding Laches in Sicily during the following winter. None of these orpargyol are named in our inscription : nor is Hippolrates, whom it does specify, named by Thukydides this year. But Thukydides (iv. 66) tells us that twice every year the Athenians invaded Megara, and that in B.c. $42+$ (iiid.) Hippokrates son of Ariphron was commanding there. Probably he did the same in 426 , and, being near at hand, was the orparnyós who (in the name of all the ten colleagnes) signed the receipt for the Treasures of the goddess. As the Attic year began with Helatombeen ( = July), and the prytany contained thirty-five or thirty-six days, this payment was made towards the beginning of August, after the fleets for the Peloponnese and for Melos had sailed.





 +rトII.


 $\Gamma \Delta r+1]$.





 HHIIC].

Tolal of these payments with the interest thereon. [Keq]á入aıov


 $\Delta T]$ M $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma$ ㅏㅏㅏ.

The total is $4 \frac{1}{2}$ obols short : there was doubtless a reason why these were not reckoned in.

> Second Year: b. c. 425-4.








The payment was made in October, i.e. after the taking of Pylos: probably Demosthenes still kept cruising in the neighbourhood of the Peloponnese. Observe that he is not himself called
 are to be understood in every payment throughout this inscription.





There is little donit about Nikias' name : see fourth payment in first year of Na. 53. The payment was made in the early summer of D.C. 424 . apparently towards the cost of the expedition against Kythers (Thuk. iv. 53).

Total of these payments with the interest thereon. [Kєфd人]atov




## Timind Year: b. C. 424-3.






 XXXXIFHR $\triangle$ ГIIII_
Paid to the Hellemsamix of the year before (zvocs); the payment had been promised, but never made, by the last year's Treasurers.

 rónos roúross <̀ Yévere - .].




 recs irtvero . .].

Total of thexe pagmonts, and the interest thereon. [Keфdiaiov]




These payments cannot be distinctly connected with particular events of this eighth year of the war (Thuk. iv. 66 foll.).

Fourth Year: b. c. 423-2.
















 rocs l $\gamma$ tvero .] $\mathrm{H} \Delta \Delta$ HトIIC.

Total of these payments, and interest thereon. Keфdiauoy rov


 TIPHHHAHPHIC.

This year, the ninth of the war, was one of severe strain apon Athens, owing to the successes of Brasidas and the defection of the Thrakian towns.

Grand totals for the quadriennium. K $\epsilon \phi d \lambda a \mu[o v ~ d v] a \lambda$ © $\mu a r o s$


 Пa|[ขaAŋ́vara . . .].

Then follows (i) a statement of a loan from the Treasury of Athens Nike, made in the last year of the quadriennium, amount unknown, the stone being broken; (2) fragmentary accounts of loans made in the same year from the treasures of 'The Other Gods.' We gather that в.c. 423 was a year which seriously taxed the Athenian resources.

## 47.

Asseasment of Tribute payable by the Athenian allies; Tḑıs фópov: B. C. 425.
Pot together out of thirty fragments found at various times on the Akropolis. Köhler, Orkunden \& Cretersuchungen zur Geach. d. Delioch-Atticch. Buxdee, p. 63 foll. ; C. I. A. i. 37.
(a) Heading and Title : $\Theta[\epsilon o l$.$] Td \left[\xi_{1}\right] s[\phi] o ́[\rho o v]$. Next followed two decrees of the Senate and People, concerning the assessment of Tribute, both passed in the prytany of the tribe Egeis and in the archonship of Stratokles. At the end was a list of the Tribatary States with the sums parable that year, beginning with the Islands ( $\nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \phi o ́ \rho o s) ~ a n d ~ e n d i n g ~ w i t h ~$ the Thrakian allies ( $\Theta p$ q́xıos фópos), between which there came (but in what order is doubtful) the Ionian-Karian and Helles-


 - ovibl[ [тTos cinev.]



 .....]
(d) The next few lines are hopelessly mutilated : dox $\hat{T} a[\iota]$ are mentioned, who were to visit the allies and take their oath of adherence to this assessment. Cp. Xen. Hellen. vi. 5. 3; and No. 28.
(e) Penalties denonnced against Prytanes soho negleat to introluce before the assembly a probouleuma in accordance with this decree:





 . . . . тр

( $f$ ) The next few lines can be restored more certainly : $[ \} \xi \in \nu \epsilon] \gamma$ -








(g) Next came provisions for the summoning ( $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma t s$ ) of backicard states by means of $\delta \eta \mu \delta \delta \sigma t o \iota ~ \kappa \lambda \eta r i ̂ p e s ~ a n d ~ к \eta \dot{p u к e s ~(s e e ~}$ Ar. Birds, 1422, к入ך broken, but it ends by prescribing a salary to these officers: toís bet

(k) Supplementary motion, providing for the hearing of appeals





 Өойठıттоs сітє.
(j) The cities now assessed are to take part in the Great Pana-




(k) Heading of the list of cilies as newly assessed: [Karà rdoe




List of the Tribute as assessed в．c． 425.
（l）Tribute from the Islands：－
N $\eta \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa$ òs фópo［s］．

| Mapl［0］c | $\Delta \Delta \Delta$ | 30 tal ． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N \mathrm{~S} \boldsymbol{\xi}[10] \mathrm{c}$ | $\Delta \Gamma$ | 15 tal． |
| ＊A $28 \rho[106]$ | － | 15 tal． |
|  | － | 15 tal． |
| $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ ¢ $¢ \nu \mathrm{v}$［ 06$]$ | FTTTT | 9 tal． |
| ${ }^{\prime}$ Epetp［ınेs］ | －$\square^{10}$ | 15 tal ． |
| Onpaî［0c］ | －10 | 5 tal． |
| Keit［ol］ | 4 | 10 tal． |
| Kapú［ $\sigma$ т 61 ］ | －1 | 5 tal． |
| $\mathbf{X a \lambda \kappa [ \iota ึ ิ ิ s ] ~}$ | 4 | 10 tal ． |
| $\mathrm{K} \dot{\sim} \theta_{\nu}[106]$ | 阬 | 6 tal． |
| T $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{v}}[1] 0[1]$ | 4 | 10 tal． |
| $\Sigma \boldsymbol{\Sigma}[v] \rho \bar{s}$ | TT | 2 tal． |

$\mathrm{M}[v] \kappa \delta \nu c[06]$


$\Delta$ ©
＇AӨŋעital
Eípoot
「poryins
${ }^{\text {＇P}}{ }^{\text {ploaıns }}$
$\ddot{T}$
I tal．
1 tal．
2000 dr．
1000 dr.
$\Delta$ caxp $\bar{s}$ à $\pi[\grave{0}]$

＇Araфaiol
$X$
Képıa $\Delta$ III
Фo入íyavofos
Bé $\lambda$ кимa

Eıxwท̂rab
Пoctsecos
2v Eußoiq $\}$
$\Delta a[k p]$ cot
，$\left.E[u \beta] o \ell_{q}\right\}$
－© $\boldsymbol{C}$ ．．．$\}$
XX
HHH
X
$X$
H
TXX $\quad 1$ tal． 2000 dr ．

Böckh supposes the last name to be＇Hфacotıŋ̂s in Lemnos．
（m）Ionian and Karian Tribute：－
This column had a peculiar heading，which related to an 2 $\pi$ เфopd or extraordinary contribution，which is removed by the present assessment．Kirchhoff restores it exempli gratia thus ：－

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \mathrm{O} \pi \delta \delta \sigma \eta \sigma \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \delta-] \\
& {[\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \xi] v[\nu \in \kappa \in \chi \omega-]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\rho \eta \tau 0 & \phi \epsilon
\end{array}\right] \rho[\epsilon \iota \nu \mu \eta े]} \\
& \text { [\&на } \xi] \text { ú } \mu \pi[a v t a s,] \\
& \text { [dл入à } \pi \text { ]ард̀ } \mu[\text { (tpos] } \\
& \text { [roùs } \left.\phi{ }_{0}^{\circ}\right] \text { pous, }{ }^{2}[\pi l \tau \hat{\psi}]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [avev] raút } \eta \mathrm{s} \text { [ } \xi] \dot{\mu} \mu \pi-
\end{aligned}
$$

List of Ionian and Karian cities：the numerals are mostly lost：－

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 人ivdion }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Teøxıov } \sigma \sigma a \\
& \text { Kamıpis } \\
& \text { Kıцаîo، } \\
& \text { 'Eф'́テıo } \\
& { }^{\text {'Epuopaios }} \\
& \text { T }{ }^{\text {pitiot }} \\
& \text { 'Iŋ入úatos } \\
& \text { Фабплîtaь } \\
& \text { [T] } \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{j} \sigma \iota \circ[\iota] \\
& \text { [K入]avvṑs } \\
& \text { [K५] } \mathbf{0} \\
& \text { [T } \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \alpha] \sim \delta \rho 106
\end{aligned}
$$

> Ko入o[ $\phi \dot{\sin } \boldsymbol{\prime}]$ ]o
> Aip[aiool]
> $\mathbf{X} \in \rho \dot{\rho}\left[0 \nu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \iota \circ\right.$ ]
> $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\beta} \dot{\prime}[8 \mathrm{Cot}]$
> Фака [ıทิs]
> ${ }^{\prime} 18 v \mu[\tilde{\eta} s]$

．．．．．aros
［Етєокар］$\quad$ dormo
（Lacura）．
（n）Tribute from the Mr－llespontine States．
（The list is incomplesse，and most of the numerals are lost．）

```
Nєото八ітст.
```



```
人ıциaîo
Tvodóşa
\(\Delta a p\) ciov ттàà \(\}\)
    ті̀ \(\mu\) Mvarizp \(\}\)
. . peta тauni
[Bpof \(]\) גenve \(\}\)
. . . . . ca
```



```
Muv[0ı? - -
\(\mathbf{Z}[e \lambda] \in c \hat{c}[-\mu]\)
[Парі]aven:]
[ \(\Delta a p \delta\) ]аийs
```



```
[ \(\quad \pi \pi^{\prime}\) 'Ay
. . . . vir
..... .avirn
[Bpu入] גеистoí
```




```
\(\left.\left[\mathrm{B} \dot{\sigma} \beta_{i}\right]\right]_{\text {mos }}\)
```





```
[Madv]ram.
[1ар]тenseis
..... arpai - к \(\alpha\)
.... ขที่s
```



```
[K(av])
```

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| ． ct |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ［Ka入入l］то入ïraı |  |  |
| ［Mplat］os |  |  |
| ．．．．．dpto［ı］ |  |  |
| ［K¢ßpí］${ }^{\text {cou }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ．．．．．เ๐ ${ }^{\text {ct］}}$ |  |  |
| （Lacuna）． |  |  |
| Eov．．．． | XXXX | 4000 dr ． |
|  |  |  |
| ＇Oth $\quad$ vol | XX | 2000 dr ． |
| Пиөото入іта［ı］ | H | 100 dr ． |
|  | T | 1 tal． |
| $\mathrm{B}[\iota] \sigma d \nu \theta \eta$ | ［T］${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2 tal ． |


（o）［Aк］raîaı $\pi \delta \lambda \in 九 s(c p$. Thuk．iv．52）．
＂Avтav［ठpos］
．．TT
${ }^{\text {－Poite［ıov］}}$
N $\bar{\eta} \sigma o[s]$
－•
（p）Thrakian Tribute；（very imperfect．）

## ［ $\mathbf{\Sigma}]$ тречаio $[\iota]$ <br> ［Пi］epes［ $2 v]$ ］ <br> ［Пер］ d $\left.^{2}[\varphi]\right\}$ <br> （Lacuna） <br> ．．．．a．．．． <br> $\Sigma$ <br> O．．．．． <br> $\sum \pi$［aprédico］ <br> ＇Aф［vraiot］



This document is the only extant example of its kind, and it is unfortunate that it is not entire. A comparison with the Quota-lists, Nos. 24, 30, 35, will show that this assessment largely increased the previous payments. The tribute from the Hellespont is nearly tripled; that of the Islands is about doubled. It is well known that Grote refused to believe in the duplication of the tribute, which is asserted by Fechines (F. L. p. 337), Andokides (de Pace, 9), Pseudo-Andokides (conir. Alcib. 11), and less strongly by Plutarch (dristides, 24). Whether or no it should be ascribed to Allibiades' influence may be questioned; but the fact of a large increase no longer need be doubted, nor
that it was the result of that ambitious policy which misled Athens after Perikles' death (в. с. 429).

Observe the method of making the new assessments. The process closely resembled the method adopted by the Athenians in the revision of their laws, whether at the annual revision described by Demosthenes (adv. Timocr. 706 foll.), or at the extraordinary revision в.c. 403 (see Andok. de Myst. 83). In other words, the assessment of tribute was not managed by a $\psi{ }^{n} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ of the people, nor by a committee appointed by it, but was effected with the same solemnity as an alteration of the laws. First, the $z^{2} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ voted that a reassessment should be made. Next, the prytanes were bound, under penalty of fines $(\epsilon, f$,$) to prepare a т \rho \circ \beta o v i \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu a$ and bring the matter before the
 eight commissioners (тaktal, $c$,) who were to assist the $\beta$ 访 $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime}$ in revising the payments of the several cities; and also 500 dikasts to form a special court for hearing and deciding on appeals against the assessment. Just as in the revision of the laws, any private citizen might offer his suggestions to the $\beta$ ou $\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}$ and the

 $\left.v{ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \nu\right)$. This explains the beading at the end of No. 35,
 against the proposed assessment, the case was settled by the court specially prorided; see the penultimate heading in No. 35. Where any states refused to pay the sum which was finally assessed, the eloayoycis ( $k$ ) were to proceed against them : this



$$
48 .
$$

List of the Quote of Tribute paid to Athens in the year B. C. 425.
The text ie given from Kirchboff, C. I. A. 259 : ep. Köhler, p. 76.
This list must be earlier than b.c. 424 , when many of the cities here named under the Opq̣кcos фópos were seduced by Brasidas from alliance with Athens. The sums however show
that they are calculated upon a larger scale；accordingly they may be the first list drawn up after the assessment of в．c． 425. In the later lists it seems that all the ten Hellenotamise were named；in No． 30 only their foreman is named．
 ［Eג入ךขorapiou ${ }^{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．．．．．，．．．．．．，．．．．．，］



| ［＇Imevoós］． | Mevoaio | ［PHHH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mapavitau | HHH |
|  | Exidetoo | $\Delta \Gamma \mathrm{HIII}$ |
| г］at | ＇Aфuтaíos | HHH |
|  | ®ácoo | XXX |
|  | Петарйөtos | HHH |
|  | Neотоîtal Mevolut ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |
|  | Exıwraiou | MHHHH |
| Kаржd］${ }^{\text {orot }}$ | Oи́ббıo | H |
| cos | Eauotpạkes | HH |
|  | Topuraió | XHH |
|  | Eraypîal | $\Delta \Gamma+111$ |
| ［Xa入ke］］âraı | ＇Asarefor | HHH |
|  | Alreâta | $\Delta \Gamma$ HIII |
| ［Eputpa］iou | $\Delta t i n s ~ d \xi^{\prime \prime} A \theta \omega$ | H |
|  |  | $\Delta \Delta \Delta r+r$ |
| ［Птe入eóv］croi＇Epvopalonv |  | $\times$ |
| ［Eגacoúri］o＇Epuepalas | ＇Aprasor | $\Delta \Gamma+111$ |
| ［Пorixva］iol＇Epuepalus | Өраиваїо | $\Delta \Gamma$＋r＋C |
| ［ELooúrco］،＇Epuppaluv | Aizaitoo | Pr＋trl |
|  | Savaió | $\Delta \Gamma$ HIII |
| i］s | （Column 3 on the marble．） |  |
| ．．тapà Kaîvov |  |  |
| ［Kapßacvauß̄̂̀s тарà Ka］îvo［ $\nu$ ］ <br> （Lасжна．） |  |  |
|  |  | mp $\Delta \Delta \Delta$ I |
| （Column 2 on the marble．） | Kicar 0 O］ |  |
| Өpáкıos． | Kus［untrob］ | MHHPT |
| Tıкоь $\quad \Delta \boldsymbol{\Delta}$ | ＇Apr［axploo］ | $\Delta \Delta \Delta r+H$ |


| $\Sigma_{\text {tre }}\left[\hat{l}_{s}\right]$ | $\Delta \Gamma+1111$ | Пap［tarol］ | $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tent［800］］ | HH® $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma$ III | Па入［ $\left.\alpha \sim \pi \in \rho \kappa \omega^{\prime} \sigma \iota \circ 1\right]$ | 「トトトII |
| \au¢［ $\sigma a \times \eta$ vol］ | X $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma$ | $\Pi \epsilon[\rho \times \kappa \dot{m} \dagger \boldsymbol{\eta}]$ | $\Delta \Gamma$－IIII |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\text {po［ }}^{\text {deciavol］}}$ | P | ＇AS［¢ıทิs］ | 「ㅏIII |
| ＇Ela［Loívooc］ | $\Delta$ 「トトト |  | $\Delta \Gamma$ ㄱIII |
|  | $\Delta \Delta$ rrrlil |  | $\Gamma$ |
|  |  |  | $\Gamma[$－H－HI］ |
|  | х $\times \mathrm{H}^{\text {® }}$ Г + － | （Lacuna．） |  |
|  | ［mHHHH | （Lacu |  |
| Me［ $[$ Letiot］ | $x$ | （Column 4 on the marble．） |  |
|  | HH® $\Delta \Gamma$ HIIII |  |  |
|  | $\Delta \Gamma+111$ |  |  |
|  | ГㅏㅏㅏII | （Extirely lost．） |  |

49. 

## Capture of Pylos；Messenian hopes：B．C． 425.

$\mathrm{On}_{\mathrm{n}}$ the bace of the Nike of Precmios，one of the chief prizes that have rewarded the recent excavators：for a facsimite sce dugerab．zu Olympia，Part I；E．Curtius． Arch．Zeit．1876，p． 178 ；the date has been discomed by J．Bahabring，Arch．Zeit． 1877，p． 59 foll．

＇О


Pausanias（v．26．1）tells the story of the statue thus ：－







 \＄oßov．There was therefore a twofold tradition about its origin； and it is conceivable that it was dedicated after some of the operations in Akarnania（e．g．Thuk．ii．102，103；iii．7，94－ 98，105，114）．But there is force in the Messenians＇argu－ ment about the phrasing of the epigram，and，moreover，this eplendid monument implies a splendid ocrasion．The capture of

Pylos sent a thrill through Greece, and to the Messenians it was a moment of exultation. Thak. iv. 41 speaks of the ravages made upon Spartan territory by the Messenians when established at Pylos; and this is the most probable date of the monument. The artist, in subscribing (as usual) his name, takes the opportunity of recording that he was the successful competitor in designing the ornamentation for the ridge of the temple-roof at Olympia (cp. also Pausan. v. 10. 2). On this same pedestal, nearly three centuries later, the Messenians recorded their peaceful victory over the Lakedæmonians in the arbitration of the Milesians: No. 200 infra.
50.

Inventories of the Treasures in the Parthenon: B.C. 422, 421, 420, 419.

The taxt from Kirchhof, C. I. A. 170-173. In four fragmenten three of which are at $\Delta$ thenas ; the firat and longeat fragment was once maid (ceo Böckh, C. I. G. 139) to exist among the Elgin marbles; but it is not $\infty$, and the fragment is now lost.
I. Ol. 89, 3 ; B. c. 422.





 dpxowns








 т $\omega \boldsymbol{\prime}$ TTXXXHHHГト[ H .


(9) $\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} i o \mu$ тє $\rho(\chi \rho v \sigma o \nu, \sigma \pi d x v e s ~ \Delta I$.







(16) кขvฑ̂ $2 \pi\{x]$ |pvoos.

(18) $\kappa$ [ $\lambda$ îvaı Xıovp]yeís [ $\Gamma$ III.

(20) $\xi \iota \phi[о \mu d x a \iota \rho a] / \& \Gamma$ IIII.
(21) $\xi(\phi \eta \Gamma$.
(22) $\theta$ ©́paxes $\Delta[\Gamma 1]$.


(25) $\theta[\rho] \delta \nu 01 \Delta I I$.
(26) $8<\phi p[0 ،$ IIII.
(27) $\delta x \lambda a b]$ |car Г ГIII.
(28) $\lambda$ úpa катdxpero[s] I.

(30) $\lambda$ и́par $[\Gamma]$ III
(31) [трdтєऽа $\dot{\eta} \lambda] є ф а \nu \tau \omega \mu\langle ข \eta$.
(32) kpdev [Xa入кâ III.

(34) $\pi] \in \lambda[\tau] \eta$.









 $\boldsymbol{F} \Gamma \Delta \Delta \Delta$ ．



 $\Delta \Delta$ Гトトトト．




II．Ol．89， 4 ；в．c． 42 I．







（The inventory for this year is lost）．
III．Ol． 90,1 ；в．с． 420.




 натive，

## 2v тب̂ Парөєvivv•］



（3）xpuolov ă $\sigma \eta \mu \nu \nu, \sigma \tau] a \theta \mu \partial \nu \tau[$ vútov H．．

 ．$H \Delta \Delta \Delta$ rトr．


 ТТХХХНННГトト．
${ }^{\prime} A \rho c \theta \mu \partial \nu \tau d \delta e{ }^{\circ}$











（18）adivai Xcoupyeís $\Gamma$ III．

（20）گ́фомáxацрає ГIII．
（21）$\xi(\phi \eta \Gamma$.
（22）$\theta$ ópaкes $\Delta \Gamma$ ．


（25）Oporou $\Delta I I]$ ．
（26） 8 （фpoc IIII．
（27）dx入a8ifar ГIII．
（28）$\lambda$ úpa кaráxpuros 1.
（29）$\lambda$ ípat［ $\lambda \lambda \in \phi a ̀ t w a l ~ I I I I . ~$
（30）$\lambda$ úpar $\Gamma$ III．

（32）apdev Xa入кâ III．

（34）$=1 \lambda \pi \%$









 $叩 巾 \Delta \Delta \Delta$.








IV. Ol. 90, 2 ; в.c. 419.




 мадтеve,


(3) $\chi \rho[v \sigma<0 \nu$ द̆ $\sigma \eta \mu \circ\rangle, \sigma \tau a \theta \mu \partial \nu$ тои́тоv H . .






＇ApıOMòv тdठé









（16）кขvî è

（18）adival Xıovpyeís $\Gamma$ III］｜．
（19）a入ìvą Mı入ךбcoopyeis $\Delta$ ．

（21）$\xi \ell \phi \eta \Gamma$ ．
（22）ві́ракея $\Delta \Gamma$ ．


（25）$\theta \rho \delta$ vot $\Delta I I$ ．
（26） 8 （фpoi IIII．
（27）дклавіая Г ГIII．
（28）$\lambda$ úpa катáxperos 1.
（29）$\lambda]$［ípar ${ }^{2} \lambda \epsilon$ єф́sтwal IIII．
（30）$\lambda$ д́pat $[\Gamma]$ II．

（32）кpdvŋ xa入xâ llI．

（34）$\pi \lambda_{\lambda} \pi$.









「 $\Gamma \Delta \Delta \Delta$.


(46) $\sigma \tau \ell ф а \nu 0 s$ रpuroûs, $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \grave{\nu}$ тoútov $\Delta \Delta \Gamma \vdash \vdash] \mid \vdash \vdash$.





In $43^{8}$ b.c. the Parthenon was dedicated to serve as the central point of the national festival, and as the bank of the state. The ground-plan of the building comprised four main divisions. At the East you entered the Promaos ( $\pi$ pont $\omega \mathrm{cs}$ ), in which was stored a large collection of sacred objecte, chiefly of silver. From the Proneos a massive door opened into the Hekatompedos (or cella), so called from its length : here were kept a number of chaplets ( $\sigma$ (¢фavoi) and other objects, chiefly golden. A portion of this Hekatompedos was railed off, and formed a separate compartment, termed the Parlhenon proper; so named probably because it was here that the virgin goddess kept a quantity of articles employed at festival-time, e.g. over 150 фud入a depropai, chairs, weapons, musical instruments, etc. Lastly, balancing the Pronaos, there was the Opisthodomos at the West or rear of the temple, where the money treasure of the state was kept, and other more sacred funds (see No. 37). Herodotus (viii. 51) speaks of treasures being kept on the Akropolis by the raplat rov loov. And for some time before the Parthenon was dedicated in $43^{8}$ b.c. there had been a board of ten raplac т
each tribe) from among the pentakasiomedimni. The Panathenæa were celebrated yearly on a small scale; but it was every fourth year (the third of each Olympiad) that the grand festival took place. Accordingly, the accounts of these Treasurers, although audited
 is HavaA $\dot{y}$ ala. The blocks containing these inventories have been found at various times on the Akropolis, and they present an almost complete series from B.c. 434 down to the fall of Athens in 404 B. c. These accounts refer only to the treasures in kind in the Pronaos, Hekatompedos, and Parthenon, giving separate inventories of each collection year by year (see Kirchhoff, C.I. A. i. 117 foll.). I have given specimens of each kind in Nos. 50,51 , and 54 , of which the two last are in the British Museum. It is comparatively easy to restore the lacunm in these inventories, since the same objects recur in each. Thukydides reveals his familiarity with the system by which the Athenian treasures were kept, in the words he puts into the mouth of Perikles (ii. 13). In that review of the resources of Athens, after the tribute and the coined money in the Opisthodomos, we hear of the $\dot{\text { a }} \boldsymbol{a} \theta_{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ in the Pronaos, Hekatompedos, and Parthenon: xupis be xpualov doj́nov кai dpyopiov ìv re




 Lastly, he mentions the gold plates which formed part of the

 not included in the regular lists of the treasurers: Köhler (1ittheil. d. dentoch. Inst. in dthen, 1879, p. 89) proves that a separate inventory of the statue, at least from 385 b.c., was kept in the temple, and the treasurers contented themselves with certifying every year that the statue and its belongings were all safe кarà fìv orijiny. The inventories, after the fall of Athens 404 B.C., reveal the losses which the treasuries had sustained; and it was reserved for the orator Lykurgos to endeavour to rearrange and make the best of the treasures still remaining.

## 51.

Inventories of the Treasures in the Hekatompedos: B. C. 422, 421, 420, 419.

Slab in the Britich Musoum : the text from my own copy, Groct Inocr. in the B. M. L. No. 27 ; Kirahboff, C. I. A. i. 153-156.

$$
[\Theta] \epsilon[0 l] .
$$





















(12) $[\sigma \tau \ell$ фаvos xpuбoûs, бта日но̀v roúrov $\Delta \Gamma \vdash+H$ III].



II．OI．89． 4 ；в．c． 421 ：Tdóe o［l］$\tau a[\mu][[a \iota \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ lep $\hat{\nu} \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu d-$














（10）$\sigma[r] \epsilon \phi \dot{\alpha}[\nu \eta \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\eta}, ~ \sigma \tau a \theta \mu d \nu$ таúrचs $円 \Delta ト \vdash \vdash$ ．



（14）xpvois I，$\sigma \quad a \theta \mu \delta] \nu[\tau a u ́ r] \eta s ~ H \Delta \Delta[\Delta \Gamma \vdash \vdash \vdash l l$.


（15）$X \rho[v] \sigma[l s, \sigma \tau a \theta \mu \partial \nu$ тaúrทs $H \Delta \Gamma \vdash \vdash \vdash \vdash . \mid$





 ［reve，

 ［rトト．






 r[ov] H[H.

(10) $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha \nu \eta ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\eta}, \sigma \mid \tau a] \theta \mu[\partial] \nu$ таútทs $\mathbb{M}[\Gamma \vdash \vdash \vdash$.




(15) xpi: $\sigma](s, \sigma[\tau] a \theta \mu \partial \nu \tau a u ́[\eta] s[H \Delta \Gamma \vdash \vdash \vdash \vdash .1$





















(15) [xpvols, $\sigma \tau \mid a \theta \mu \partial \nu]$ raúrvss $\mathrm{H} \Delta[\Gamma \vdash] \vdash+\vdash$.


(17) dpyvpip, $\sigma \tau a \theta] \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \tau a \hat{v}[\tau \eta] s\left[H^{\infty} \Delta \Delta\right] \Delta \Delta[1 \vdash$.

See notes on No. 50. 'Entécta are additions during the year.
52.

Alliance between Athens, Argos, Mantinea, and Elis: B.C. 420.

A fragment recently discovered near the Dionysisc theatre. C.I. A. Supplement to val i. No. 46 b: cp. Kirchhoff in Hermes, rii. 368 (cp. 472).


Part of the original monument copied by Thukyd. v. 47. I have therefore given it in uncials only. In line 1 we recognise the end of the heading, probably ['Apyei $\omega \nu$, Mavt $\omega \ell \ell \omega \nu$, 'H $\lambda] \epsilon[0] \omega \nu$. There are several slight discrepancies from Thukydides: in line 2 he omits $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ d \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda o v s: ~ i n ~ l i n e ~ 7 ~ T h u k . ~ i n v e r t s ~ t h e ~ o r d e r, ~ g i v i n g ~$ 'H
 $\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mu o v e v o v \sigma a]$. This fragment does not afford sure ground for estimating the value of our present text of Thukydides. The copyists may well have been more conscientious in transeribing the text of the historian, than in the text of documents merely cited by him, or he may have copied the marble inaccurately himself.
53.

Payments from the Treasures of Athena for public purposes: B. C. 418-416.
The text in mainly from Kirchhoff, C.I. A. vol. i. $180-183$; cp. Suppl. to val i. p. 32; Rangabé, 1 nt. Hell. 119-122; Böckh, Staatsh. ti. 26 foll.; Groek Inecr. in the B. M. No. xxiii.

## First Year: b. c. 418-7.















Demosthenes was commissioned to remove the Athenian garrison from Epidauros this year (Thut. v. 80, cp. 75. 77), owing to the altered condition of things after the battle of Mantinea. He seems to have been delayed in starting: the grant was recalled, and then paid out again to Euthydemos and the forces in

Thrace. For Euthydemos cp. Thuk. v. 19, 24; vii. 16, 69. The general sense of this clause is clear: see next payment. The xdépe $\rho 0 \mathrm{oc}$ are ' assistants,' 'assessors.'






 गोे D [8ccav.

The sum granted was set down first in staters, and then in Attic money. There is little doult about [ $\delta \pi \lambda \iota \tau a y] \omega y o u s$, 'transports.' The doeca required by No. 37 B was first voted, and then the Treasurers of Athena paid out the money, without promise of interest or of repayment.

 Aürorגeî 'Avaф入[vorị . . .

For Autokles see Thuk. iv. 53, 119.
Fourth payment. [Eni Tท̂s . . . ถō . .]Tทs $\pi$ puravevoúons






Nikias led a force against Amphipolis this year: Thuk. v. 83 .



Second Year: b.c. 417-6.






 åectav．．．］．

Thukydides does not tell us anything about this．
Second payment．＇Exi tins Alavtīo［s ．．s $\pi \rho v \tau a v e v o v ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \pi a p t-~$




Tisias and Kleomedes commanded the famous expedition against Melos，Thuk．v． 84.

Third payment．＇Exi rîs＇Autcox［8os ．．．s $\pi \rho \cup \tau a v e v o v o \eta s ~$



 Tins｜［ap $\bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}$ ．．．］．

Third Year：b．c．416－5．




 каì таре̇ठро ．．｜．．．

Payment＊＊［Exi rîs ．．CBos ．．s $\pi$ puravevoírns ．．．







 ．．．トトトIIIC．

These payments were for the Sicilian expedition（Thuk．vi．）： unhappily they are mach broken．I do not know that Anti－ machos is elsewhere mentioned．



## Fourth Year: b.c. 415-4.







 кaì xpuaiov | Ku§ıкฑvov̂ $H H \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma \Sigma \Sigma \Sigma . ~ т \mu \eta ̀ ~ т о u ́ t \omega \nu ~ \gamma i \gamma-~$ v[ета.... そ ₹ § § § \} \}
The portion containing most of the fourth year is in the British Museum. The payment was made partly in Kyzikene staters and partly in Attic money (the seven sigmas are interlined as an omission): then the total value ( $\tau \mu \eta \eta^{\prime}$ ) was expressed in Attic money. Telephonos' command is unknown.

Loans from the treanure of Athena: First loan. 'Eג入nvorauiass





This was to supplement the expenses of the (lesser) Panathenæa just celebrated in the first month, Hekatombæon.




Third loan. 'Exi ग्रिs 'A



These soldiers may be those 'sent afterwards' to Melos under Philokrates, see Thuk. v. 116.


 Euchlя or]parlq.





These restorations are sufficiently certain : see Thulk vi. 93 fin., where the wording resembles our inscription.






The general was perhaps Euetion (Thuk. vii. 9).
Total of payments and loans made this year. Keфd入awv dva[ $\lambda \omega^{\circ}$

54.

Inventories of the treasures in the Pronsos:
B. C. 414, 418, 412, 411.

On a broken blook in the British Musoum. The taxt from my copy, Greek Inecr. in the B. M. i. No. 26 ; Kirehhoff, C.I. A. i. 133-1 36. See notes ces No. 50.





 vaios '̀үраццáreve, |





(5) $\lambda \dot{\chi} \mathrm{x} v o s$ dapropoûs, $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \grave{v} \tau[$ oúrov $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma \vdash \vdash \vdash$.



（9）фıd入aı dappopaî IIII，$\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \partial ̀ \nu \tau \mid$ oưt $\omega \nu \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{HH} \Delta \Delta \Gamma \vdash \vdash \vdash \vdash$ ．
（ 10 ）жотípıov d̀pyvpồ $[\nu, \sigma \tau a \theta \mu \grave{\nu}$ тои́тоv $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta$ ．

（12）$\left.\phi_{1}\right]$ á入al d̨prvpaî IIII，$\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau[o v i \tau \omega \nu] ~ H H H H \Delta \Delta$ ．

（14）фıd入al ap ${ }^{1}$


（17）$\lambda \tilde{\chi}$ vos áppopov $[s, \sigma \tau] a \theta \mu \partial े \nu$ rov́rov $\Delta \Delta H[H$ ．



（21）тотípiov àpyuploivv $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta] \Gamma \vdash \vdash$ ．















 ᄅ̀ррацма́теve，

$$
\lambda \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \Pi_{\rho}{ }^{2} \omega \in \varphi \mid
$$











(12) фıd入al à $[\rho \gamma v p a i ̂ ~ I I I I, ~ \sigma \tau a \theta \mu \grave{d}$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ HHHH $\Delta \Delta$.









(22) [apzupis $1, \sigma \tau a \theta \mu o ̀ v ~ \tau a v ̂ i r \eta s ~ P \Delta] . ~$













 $\mu d \rho \chi o v$ 'Aфıঠ̀aios ${ }^{2} \gamma \rho а \mu \mu[d \tau] e v e$, $\dot{\tau} \nu \tau \bar{\varphi}$ Прошŋtч.



（5）$\lambda$ úx vos áprupoûs，бта $\theta \mu \grave{\nu}$ sov́［тov］$\Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma \vdash \vdash \vdash$ ．

（7）бтéфа｜ขos xpv］$\sigma$ oûs，$\sigma$ тa $\theta \mu \grave{v} v$ тоútov $\Delta \Delta \Delta H H[H I]$ ．




（12）фıd入al appypa［î IIII］，бта日رі̀v тоút $\omega \nu \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{HHH} \Delta \Delta$ ．






（19）$\phi[\iota \prec$ ］$] \lambda a \iota ~ a ̀ p \gamma u p a i ̂ ~ \Gamma, ~ \sigma \tau a \theta \mu[o ̀ \nu ~ \tau о u ́ т \omega \nu ~ H H H H \Delta \vdash \vdash \vdash . ~$

（21）тотท́ptov ăprv［poîv］，oтaOرò̀ тои́тоv $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta[\Gamma \vdash \vdash$.





（27）кú入ı［ $\xi$ appupâ，ăбта日щоs．



（31）dppupis，or［äнòv тaútŋs ．．I




## 55.

The Hermokopids; their goods confiscated: B.C. 414-413.
Four fragments, relating to the same subjeot, but not all from one stone. The text is from C.I. A. i. 274-277 and Sappl. to vol. i. p. 35 : comp. Kirchhoff in Jakn's Jahrbicher, 1860, p. 238 foll.; Monatsber. d. Berl. Ak. 1865, p. 545.

The panic about the mutilation of the Hermæ and the rumoured profanation of the mysteries took place B.C. 415 (Thuk. vi. 27 foll.). Many were arrested and imprisoned on suspicion : until Andokides turned 'Queen's evidence,' and gave what was then considered the true account (Thuk. vi. 60), naming himself and certain others as the perpetrators. He was himself rewarded with free pardon, but the others whom he named were put to death or outlawed, and their goods confiscated. Axiochos, Adimantos, Euphiletos, Conias, Panætios, Polystratos, Kephisodoros were among this number (see Andok. de Myst.): and here is part of the account given by the Poleta of the sale of their property ( $\delta \eta \mu$ оóтрага, Ar. Wasps, 659). In all sales of real property, by auction or otherwise, one per cent. was payable to the
 i. 440 ; cp. the Roman cenlesima rerum renaliwm). This percentage is also set down in the account.

First Fragment: (the beginning is lost).
A. $\Delta \rho \cup \check{\nu} \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \times[a l] \pi[\rho]$ мѝ̀ каі olxia $2 \nu$. . | каl $\pi$ l $\theta$ ou

 $\mu d \nu t o v($ slave-woman and slave) $H \Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma \vdash \quad[\vdash]$ III


 $\Sigma_{\kappa а[\mu \beta \omega \nu i \delta o v] . ~ \mid ~}^{\text {I }}$
"Oגas duvip (name of slave?) HP $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma$ H
 Eкан阝.]. |

$H \Delta \Delta \Delta \quad$ HIII







|  | НГ |
| :---: | :---: |

 HГ $\quad$-III

xal.....]
ННГ $\quad$ H-III
x wolov
$\Delta$
III
Total: Keфdлacov $\sigma \tilde{0}[\mu \pi а \nu] \operatorname{HHHH}[\Delta \Delta \Delta] \vdash$

Second Fragment : (the beginning is lost).




Total: Kєфdiacov
Graud total: Kєфd入asov $\sigma \dot{v}[v \notin \pi \omega \nu i o \iota s]$. .
 каì єiкобтท̂ т $\eta$ s $\pi \rho[ข \tau a v \epsilon i a s] . ~$
§ 1. 'A
$\dot{\eta}$ '̀[ $\quad$ ткартіа? . .] | raîs . . $\quad \Delta \Delta$
III
§ 2. Oiculiov rồ Oicuvo.

III

Third Fragment: (the beginning is lost).
. . . . кal $\epsilon[$ xoorin rīs $\pi \rho v r a v e l a s]$.

denp [Ap] corduaxos (a slave?) . .

nal oix[ia].
HHP
[ + - -111 ]


$\mu a[$ ra éXovtes（lids）$\quad$ Prioe．Peroentage．
oivov $\alpha \mu \phi 0\left[\rho \eta \hat{y}_{s} . ..\right] \mid$
$\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{H} \Delta] \Delta \Delta$ ．$+\boldsymbol{H}$

T $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ ．．．
§ 2．［ $\Pi$ ］avauriov．1

HIIII ${ }^{2}[\nu$ ．．


Fourth Fragment：（the beginning is lost）．

|  | $\Delta \Gamma$ Hトト | ［1］ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Delta \Delta$ | ［1］ |



 should be 245 dr． 4 ob．）

Opâtra $\quad H^{m} \Delta \Gamma \quad H[H]$
Өрq̆тra
Opăf
Lúpos
5 K\＆p
＇Inגupoós
Өрq̆тra
－$\rho \overline{\text { qै }} \boldsymbol{\xi}$
Exions
10 ＇İAvpoós
Kódxos
Kàp таís
Kapuxì maiblon
Lúpos
15 Meגctr［ $\eta \nu o ́ s$ or－$\eta$ ］
［ 1 ］ 08 in

| $\Gamma$ | F［r］ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $H \Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma$ | － 111 |
| HPD | ［ r$] \mathrm{r}$ |
| $H H \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta$ | ＋トハI［1］ |
| НГ | ［ r ］111 |
| $\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{P}] \Delta \mathrm{t}$ | Ft |
| $H H \Delta \Delta$ | －rIII |
| $H \Delta \Gamma$ | － 111 |
| $\mathrm{H} \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta$＋rトr | HIII |
| $H \Delta \Delta H$ | －III |
| HPr－r | H－ |
|  | ＋ |
| P $\Delta \Delta$ rr | 1 |
| HHHF | H－HI |
| $\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{P}] \mathrm{F}$ | ［ r ］ F |
| $[H]{ }^{p} \Delta \Delta$ ． | ［ + ］ |

## 56.

Assassins of Phrynichos: B.C. 410-409.
The text is from C.I. A. i. 59; cp. Roohl, Hermes, xi. $37^{8}$ foll.; Kirchhoff, Monateb. d. Berl. Ak. r86r. p. GoI fol.












§ 2. Rider mored by Diokles:- $\Delta$ ioк入ोईs cixe-


 [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ei]raı Өрабußоúגч
[ . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\pi$ ]apà 'AӨضvaíwv к20


















§ 3. Rider mored by Eudizos:-Eübıкos єine' rà $\mu$ ѐv










The murder of Phrynichos, one summer evening b.c. 411 , hastened the downfall of the 400 . Thulydides (viii. 92) does not name the assassin, but calls his confederate, who was caught and tortured, 'Apyeios anepenaos: they both belonged to the foreign soldiery in the pay of the oligarchs. Lysias (contra




 also cites the decree before us to prove that Agoratos had no share in the deed. Lykurgos (contra Leocr. § 112) speaks
 тоís oloiós ímò 'A dides says it was done $\bar{i} v \bar{T} \hat{y}$ àyopâ $\pi \lambda \eta \theta_{0} \dot{\sigma} \eta \eta$, which is not to be understood as a note of time, but of place only, and so does not contradict vúxrup (Curtius, Gr. Gesch. ii. p. 659, and note 80).

And Apollodoros may have been a citizen both of Megara and of Argos. This decrec was passed in the spring of b.c. 409, i.e. within the first few months of the restored democracy, in the eighth prytany of Hippothontis: see Böclsh, Staatsh. ii. 18.

In § I , which is the probouleuma, it is proposed to honour Thrasybulos with a crown and public proclamation. The mover, Erasinides, was one of the commanders at Arginuse, who was afterwards put to death (Xen. Hell. i. 5. 16; 6. 29; 7. 2). Why is not Apollodoros coupled here with Thrasybulos? Probably it had been originally proposed to reward both of them with citizenship and other honours: to this intention Andokides



 tis ${ }^{2} \lambda \theta \omega \nu \nu$ к.т $\lambda$.) That proposal, Kirchhoff suggests, was hindered by a $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta}$ тараrópcov, but the objection was pressed only in the case of Apolliodoros: so that here the probouleuma recommeads Thrasybulos for reward.
$\S 2$ is proposed in the $z^{2} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ ia by Diokles, who is not likely to be the Diokles afterwards one of the Thirty (Xen. Hell. ii. 3.2). Thrasybulos is to have the citizenship, and other honours; and a sort of judicial commission is to be appointed to determine what share of the sums, previously proposed for the reward of both Apollodoros and Thrasylbulos, is to be awarded to Apollodoros. We know that he received a grant of land, though he seems to have been denied the citizenship (Lysias, Pro sacra Olea, § 4). The rest of the conspirators, of whom Agoratos is one, are to have the right of holding property in Athens.
§ 3. Eudikos proposes that the $\beta$ oving shall investigate the proceedings of that ypaфì параvó $\mu \omega \nu$ which hindered Apollodoros from receiving the reward originally voted him ( $\hat{o}$ i$\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \theta \eta$ ). The dikasts are broadly accused of corrupt motives, and their prosecution is demanded. I have not been able to consult Gilbert, Beifrage zur inneren Gesch. dthens inm Zeitaller d. Pel. Krieges, 187\%, p. 346 foll, where this inscription is discussed.
57.

Neopolis in Thrace commended for fidelity to the Athenian cause: B.C. 110.

On eoven fragmente, six of them recently discovered on the Akropolis: C.I. A. Sapplement to rol. i. p. 16 foll.
§ 1. First Decree, passed in the winter of b.c. 410 , in honour of $\mathrm{N} \epsilon о \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\mu} \mu$ тарà $\Theta d \sigma o v$, a city to be identified with what the Quota-lists (Nos. 24, 35) call N. $\mathbf{z v}^{2}$ Opq́xp or жар' 'Avтıбdpav. It was on the coast just opposite Thasos, of which it was probably a colony.
$\left[\Theta_{\epsilon}\right] \rho[i$
$N] \epsilon o[\pi] 0 \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega}[\nu$
$\tau] \hat{\omega} \mu \pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \Theta \alpha \sigma[0 v$.


 raıs roîs]
 $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}{ }^{\prime} A \theta \eta \nu a l \omega[\nu$ каi öть $\pi$ то入ıо.


10. .] . . . . [ $\delta \hat{\eta}]$ ]

The Thasians revolted b.c. 411 (Thuk. viii. 64), and were reduced afterwards by Thrasybulos in the winter of 408 (Xen. Hell. i. 4. 9; cp. i. 1. 32). Neopolis, their colony upon the opposite shore, remained faithful thronghout that interval, and suffered in consequence. This deeree in their honour dates from b.c. 410 (winter). Line 7 has been chiselled out, and inscribed again : the Neopolitans were not satisfied with the terms employed concerning them : and in § 4 we shall see that some time (perhaps a year or two) later, they procured a change in the wording.
§ 2. The next few lines are too fragmentary to be reproduced; they decreed konorary privileges to the Neopolitans.
§ 3. This decree to le set up at dthens and Neopolis.




 $8 t$ (?)]

§ 4. Second Docrru, perhaps a year or so later than the preceding; but this ir uncertain, as the heading is omitted.
 8 Tь. -I
 фú入a].








$\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a 1$ aùt ot av ex[donnre ápxart . . . . . . . . .]










 тe vôv $\lambda$ र́yoman $\times[a l$. . .

 apuraveion Ir

I should imagine - -hat this decree (moved by Axiochos the friend
of Alkibiades) was passed soon after the reduction of Thasos, early in 407 b.c., in return for the assistance the Neopolitans had rendered Thrasybulos. They had sent envoys to ask (1) that certain expressions might be improved in the earlier decree, especially that they might not be styled 'a colony of Thasos:' they had enjoyed independence during the three years that Thasos had been in revolt, and now that the island ras Athenian again, they did not want to return to dependence. (2) They want to be allowed to pay the a amapX' of the фópos ( $\frac{1}{80}$ th, see No. 24) not to Athena, but to their own Virgin Goddess. Perhaps, like the Methonæans in No 44, they had been already excused the payment of tribute, and only asked to pay the 60th : their request that the 6oth may be paid to their own goddess seems another way of asking to be let off altogether. They are

§ 5. Rider to second Decree, giving the reply of the Athenian $\delta{ }^{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ to the request about the $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{apx} \mathrm{\eta}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ["O ठєîva єinc' rà } \mu<̀ \nu \text { ă } \lambda \lambda a
\end{aligned}
$$

The Athenians granted the request of the Neopolitans, which appears to have been grounded upon a vow made to their Máp$\theta \in \boldsymbol{v o s}$.

## 58.

Selymbria recovered by Alkibiades: B. C. 409.
The toxt is from C.I. A. Supplement to rol. i. 61 a. p. 18.
The capture of Selymbria was a brilliant exploit according to Plutarch, Alcil. 30 ; cp. Diod. xiii. 66. Xenophon (IIell. i. 3. 10)


 prescribes the conditions upon which Selymbria is restored to the Athenian alliance. The earlier lines are much broken ; and the heading is lost. After other provisions, the document proceeds thus :-

 $\nu \hat{\nu}, \dot{d} \phi \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta a l]$.
§ 2. Sentences of confiscation, disframciziment, and exile, cancelled:


 ${ }^{\prime} X \in \omega \nu$ ?].

8 3. Property of Athens or of the atews left at Selymbria, and Lost or spent in the xar, not to be requuirul back again : [8б]a 8 e






 $\xi \nu \mu \beta o ̈ \lambda \omega \nu$.
§ 5. These procisions to be inscribend : oaths interchanged: tàs


 $\Sigma \eta \lambda \nu \mu[\beta \rho]$ cavoì $\pi \mid[a \nu \delta \eta \eta \mu]$.
§ 6. Decree of Alkibiades, confirmime the abore agreement, and adding certain provisions:















 [s aipmos].
Compare No. 28. Alkibiades arrived at Athens B. c. 408, on the day of the Plynteria, twenty-fifth of Thargelion (June), and stayed until the Eleusinia were over (Sept.); within this time this decree ras passed. I follow the chronology of Curtius, Gr. Gesch. ii. 680.

## 59.

Revision of the Laws: B. C. 409.
The text is from C. I. A. i. 61 ; Suppl. to vol. i. p. 18; cp. Köller, Hermes, ii. 27 foll.
 $г] \in v \in,[\Delta \iota] \dot{o}[\gamma]$ -
 $\epsilon[i] \pi \in \cdot[r] o[\nu]$
 o d] 2 [aypa] $\phi \hat{\eta}-$
 зрацна] ] $\ell \omega-$
 r] $\bar{\eta}[s]$ бто-
 ròv rof $\mu 0$ -

§ 2. Lax of Drako, taken from the 'first axon' of Solon:-
 тша, феúyecw. 8]_-
 ral זòv $\beta$ ov] $\lambda$ -

The next lines, though much mutilated, are restored by Köhler by help of Demosth. in Macarlatum, p. 1069, as follows:- [al8t-

$\kappa] \omega[\lambda$ źovta кратєîv . . . . . . . . . v|roió . . є. pa . . $\phi$. .. б. . тоs






 $\mid \epsilon[\lambda \omega \sigma[\iota .$.$] .The next lines are restored by Köhler byr help of$



 rov̀s $\left.{ }^{2} \phi\right]$ '́cia[s]. The remainder is hopelessly broken.

One of the measures which followed the restoration in the democracy, in the summer of b.c. 411 , was a revision of thint laws. This appears from Lysias (in Nicorn. init.), who accuser Vikomachos, one of the duaypaф $\hat{\rho}$ s of this decree ( $\$ 1$ ), of bavime been six years about the business (cp. Grote, ch. 66 ; and p. 75 mpra). This revision was interrupted by the calamities which wow followed: but the work was revived upon the restoration ander



 к.t. . The mover of our decree, Xenophanes, was probativ one of the draypaфis of в.c. 411; it authorizes the rpapuucreis of the $\beta o v \lambda \eta$ (who changed with every prytany) to give tiem a true copy of Drako's law, that it might be ingeribed anditet up in the usual place: ep. Harpokration, s. v. кv́p $\beta$ ets' avarpactrures
 On Drako's law on involuntary homicide see Meier and Sethönann, Der All. Process, pp. 15 foll.; and on the peculiar number of the Epheta, 51, which has been variously accounted for, Echömann, Anliq. juris pul. Grac. p. 171. It is well known that Solon retained Drako's laws respecting homicide (Piveurch, Sulux, 17).

## 60.

丣ginetans restored by Lysander：soon after B．C． 404.
A marble found on the site of the temple of Athena at Aegina，and now at Munich ：Bock，C．I．G．ii．${ }^{21} 39$.

The writing is later than the archonship of Euclid，but not much later．In Be． 431 Aegina was occupied by Athenian settlers（Thus．ii．27）：in the winter of 405 Lysander restored it to the Fginetans（Xen．Hell．ii．2．9）．How came this in－ ventory to be drawn up by an Attic hand a year or two later？ Böckh＇s explanation is this．During the Attic occupation，the temple was under Attic management．It was some time before the island became thoroughly Dorian once more；and this docu－ ment is part of the inventors of temple furniture drawn up when the temple finally passed from Athenian to Eginetan hands．
§ Articles of iron（the beginning is lost）：$\dot{d} \lambda \hat{v}$－

каркіvш II．§ Wooden articles：छú入ıva raঠ̈e•

5 ßwrol III．Ixpla zeph rò el－
Dos （railing round the image）．Opóvos I．
8¿фpos I．$\beta$ á $\theta \rho \mathrm{pa}$ lII．$\theta \rho \mathrm{o}_{-}$
nos $\mu$ expos 1．к入 lv $\sigma \mu-$


крà III．$\beta$ átpov viтократウ́－
prov I．к九 $\beta$ cítoy $\pi \lambda a-$
 doe＇xa入кion $\theta$ op $\mu a y$.
15 тท́pıov（a boiler）I．Xeчóviबтpov
I．фidдa II．$\pi$ ii $\lambda$ emus I．
moxגòs I．$\mu$ ахаípia III．
an va II．xaxkion ${ }^{2} \gamma$－
גovrípiov（a rinsing bowl）I．dev́orixo－
20 sI．io $\theta$ mos I ．

## 61.

Delos freed from Athenian control: soon after B.C. 404.
Lataly diccovered in the French explorations, and publiahed in the Bulletin de Correspondance Hellen. iii. p. 12.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {. . . . . кai } \theta[\iota \hat{-} \\
& \text { v] кal vafô- } \\
& \nu \text { кal } \tau \omega ิ \nu \mathrm{X} \text { - } \\
& \text { р } \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \text { а́т } \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \text { - } \\
& 5 \text { ஸ̂ע тov̂ } \theta \text { เov̂. } \\
& { }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \beta a \sigma{ }^{2} \text { 亿evov' } \\
& \text { "Ayıs, Пavaapias' } \\
& \text { "Eфороь } \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \nu^{\circ} \\
& \text { Oviculdas } \\
& \text { 10 'Aptotoyevizas } \\
& \text { 'Apxlotas } \\
& \text { इwhózas } \\
& \text { Фєidilas. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The date is fixed by the kingship of Agis and Pausanias. Agis appears to have died b.c. 397 (Xen. Hell. iii. 3. 1). and Delos was in Athenian hands until Agospotami. This document can hardly be anything else than a decree of the Lakedæmonians instating the Delians in the full possession of their own temples and temple treasures. After the two lings, the five ephors are named. On the relations between Athens and Delos see Nos. $3^{8}$ and 82 ; and Mr. Jebb's paper on Delos in the Journal of Hellenic Sludies, vol. i. p. 7, and esp. pp. 23, 58.

## PART IV.

## FROM THE ARCHONSHIP OF EUKLID

TO CH ARONEA.
в. с. 403-338.
62.

Restoration of a monument deatroyed by the Thirty: probably B.C. 403.

Found on the Akropolis. The text from C. Cartius, Hermes, iv. 404; Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ofol. } \\
& \text { [A } A \text { v́vто] } \rho o s, \text { Eípumúגov, }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq[z \pi \rho v] \text { ráveve, } \Delta \in \xi\left(\theta \text { eos }{ }^{2} \gamma-\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { al 'Apyeľ каі } \Lambda \text { бкрч ка- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{c}[a, \alpha] \nu[a] \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi[a l] \text { ग̀े } \nu \sigma \sigma_{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda \eta \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

> púzulov is rò xpuraveîo-
> vès aúpiov.
 4) containing a list of names apparently of Thesiavs who had heen exiled for their Athenian sympathies. The sons of Apemantos had probably been exiled from Thasos in the revolution of в. c. 411 (Thuk. viii. 64 supra No. 57), and had been rewarded
by the Athenians with a decree of proxenia (comp. also Lysander's treatment of Thasos, Nepos, Lysand. 2; Grete, ch. 65). Eurypylos happening to be at Athens, discovers that this decree has been destroyed by the Thirty, and obtains permission to restore it. (Comp. Xen. Hell. i. 4.9 ; Dem. Lept. 474.)

## 63.

Colonists trom Oppntian Lokrians at INapaktos : B.C. 408 (P).
A bronze tablet from Naupaktos in the Woodhouse Collection; it ahould have come to the Britich Museum, but it has disappeared. I give the text from W. Vischer, Rhein. Muceum, 1871, pp. 39 foll.; cp. A. Riedenauer, Hermen, vii. 111 ; Breal, Rerue Arehsol. 1876, p. 115.





























 отає катд̀ то́入ıv Fexd́ттovs. |









 Naúnaктov. 1










This is a law passed by the Opuntian Lokrians to regulate the precise relations which should exist between their coloniste, who were leaving to settle at Naupaktos, and the old country at home. At the time of this enactment the Lokri Opuntii and Hypoknemidii ( = Epikn.) formed one aggregate, composed of a number of separate cities, which regarded Opus as their $\mu \eta \tau \rho 0^{-}$ rodis. The writing and style are rude, as might be expected from this region of Greece; but the dialect is certainly later than that of No. 31. It is therefore most probable that the colonists spoken of were sent to Naupaktos soon after the end of the Peloponnesian war, when the Messenians were ejected from Naupaktos by the Spartans: Paussn. x. 38. 5, тà 8t بоt Navzaк-






 (cp. Thuk. i. 103). Among the 'Lokrians who flocked back again to Naupaktos' there were, according to this inscription, some from Opuntian or E. Lokris.

The commencement seems wanting, for there is no date or
 the first paragraph, the rest are numbered in the original ( $A, B, \Gamma$, etc.). We will adopt these dirisions in the following notes.
§ 1. 'Terms of colonization to ( $2 v=2 s$ ) Naupaktos. After a Lnkrian has become a citizen of Naupaktos, he shall retain his home rights as if he were no more than a $\xi^{\ell} v o s$ at Naupaktos, and may take part in all rightful (öбla $=$ sacra, which he has a right to as a Lokrian) sacrifices whatsoever ( $\mathbf{~} \pi \iota \tau \cup x o ́ v \tau a=\tau \grave{u}$ roxóva), if he wishes (= Boviдทral), himself and his family for ever;-sacrifices, whether of the people or of brotherhoods (in cotvâves the reference is to the sacra of the gens or of the tribe etc. $\kappa \dot{\eta}=\kappa a i$ ? for ${ }^{2} \kappa$.). The colonists not to pay taxes to E. Lokris, unless they return and become E. Lokrians again. If a colonist returns, leaving an adult son or brother in his place, he may be enrolled on the E . Lokrian registers without entrance-fee (elotrifpıa),
 Similarly if the colonists are ever ejected by enemies. They are to pay taxes only as citizens of W. Lokris, (i.e. not to pay any merolkcov at Nanpalitos, but to be full citizens).'
§ A. 'The colonists to swear to remain for ever allied with $\mathbf{E}$. Lokris: and thirty years from this swearing, the Opuntians may call upon one hundred Lokrians of Naupaktos to swear the oath for the colonists again, and the Naupaktian Lokrians may likewise call upon the Opuntians.'
§ B. A colonist who returns from Naupaktos in delt to the colony, not to be received into E. Lokrians again, until the debts be paid.'
§ $\Gamma$. ' If a colonist dies, and leaves no issue to succeed him at

kin in his native town of E. Lokris may go in person and claim the estate, within three months of his death : otherwise the property must fall under the usual Naupaktian lars for such cases.'
§ $\Delta$. 'A colonist returning from Naupaltos must notify the fact by the herald in the agora of Naupaltos, and in the town to which he belongs in E. Lokris ' $(\dot{\omega}=\delta \theta \epsilon \nu)$.
§ E. This refers to two gentes (?) of E. Lokris whose names are peculiar: ПeppoӨaplaı suggests тєpıкd日apua, and Mveaxées $\mu \tilde{\sigma} o s-$-akeîodal. It is evident that these two gentes or tribes stood in some respects on a different footing from the other $\mathbf{E}$. Lokrians, but whether the difference lay in special privileges or in certain disqualifications, does not appear. Whatever their peculiar position was, it was not to follow them to Naupaltos: it had to do with the possession and inheritance of property.
§ F. 'If a colonist at Naupaktos leave brothers in E. Lokris, and one of his brothers dies, the colonist-brother is to take possession of the property-i.e. his share of it.'
§ Z. 'In law-suits between a colonist and an E. Lokrian, the colonists are to bring the case before the courts at Opus within one year from the day of the offence: and they are to have their
 vetv 8ikas Hdt. v. 83). Such colonists of E. Lokrians as are magistrates for the year are to appoint ipootárac in the respective countries, an E. Lokrian wpoorárys for the colonists who may be staying in Lokris, and a Naupalitian apootd́rns for the E. Lokrians who may visit Naupaktos.'
§ H. 'A colonist who leaves a father behind him, from whom he has expectations, shall be entitled to his share (ȧajaxeiv) upon the father's death ' (unless, of course, disinherited altogether).
 pretext to be broken, under the heaviest penalties (xauaroфa-
 breach of them is to have immediate hearing. The "party" accusing (rd $\mu$ (pos) to swear that he is telling truth with imprecations upon himself and his bousehold. The dikarts to give their vote by ballut. The above regulations with regard to colonists from E. Lokris are to hold good mniatis mutandis for colonists from Chaleion under Antiphates.'

This free translation will explain most of the difficulties. It seems as if both at Naupaktos and in E. Lokris the chief legislative power lay with a kind of limited $i_{\kappa \kappa \lambda \eta} / \boldsymbol{i}$ a of one thousand citizens ( X L $\lambda \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta a$ ), reminding one of the 'five thousand ' at Athens under the oligarchy (Thuk. viii. 72 etc.).

## 64.

Honours to the Samian Demos for their fidelity: B.C. 403.
Found at Athens. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. pp. 393 foll.
In b. c. 404 Lysander took Samos by siege, expelled the $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ os and established an oligarchy (Xen. Hell. ii. 3.6). The Samian סofuos had all along stood faithful to Athens (Thuk. viii. 21 ;
 vaíwv $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ ग̀̀v vavuaxiav $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \Sigma a \mu(\omega \nu)$, and now upon the restoration of the democracy at Athens and at Samos, they send envoys to Athens and are voted honours as follows.

Of the first decree only a few syllables remain.




 тب̣ $E[a \mu i \omega \nu \mid \ldots$

For Agyrrhios cp. Dem. in Timocr. 742.
§ 2. The Athenians to assist the Samians in their embassy to


 Praise of Ephesos and Nolion and 一? for harbouring the Sumi.,n



 Eaphov 's rò apuraveion és aüpıov. § 5. Kephisophon (Xen. Hell. ii. 4 36) mores the cordial adoption of the abore proposals: Kךф1-



 ess aũpıov. 1









 aüpov.| § 2. Rider to the above probonleuma: ["O ठeîva cixe-














## 65.

The Korinthian War: alliance between Bcootia and Athens: B.C. 395.

From the Atropolie. Köhler in Herme, v. 1 ; C. I. A. ii. 6.
$\left[\Theta_{\epsilon}\right]$ of.

$\nu$ áci] xpóvor.


 $\omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ] 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂[$ к кaтà тò ठuvaróv' кal èd-

 10 [ous . . к. к.T.. .]

The lacunæ are easily restored, as the formulæ are well known. For the history see Xen. Hell. iii. 5. 3-16; Lysias,

 decree was proposed by Thrasybulos (see Grote, ch. 74). Observe that the ' Boeotians' are spoken of throughout, for at this time Thebes was supreme in Boeotia: after the peace of Antalkidas the Boeotian towns were declared independent (Xen. Hell. v. I. 32 foll., cp. vi. 3 . 18 foll.).

## 66.

Fragment of a Treaty between Athens and Eretria: B.C. 395.

Found as Athens: the text from Köhler, Mitheil. d. deutcch. Ind. 1877, p. 212.

Xenophon, in lis catalogue of the forces on the side of Athens


 assigned to this date chiefly from the style of the writing.

## 67.

Korinthian War: alliance between Athens and the Lokrians: B.C. 395.

Köhler, Hermee, r. 2; C.I.1. ii. \%. From the Akropolin.








 $\nu a c]$.
The Opuntian Lokrians are meant, who are termed Noxpoi without further qualification by Herod. (vii. 132) and Thuk. (ii. 9). The Korinthian war arose ont of a quarrel between Lokris
 the Lokrians being supported by Thebes, the Phokians by Sparta; the underlying cause being the Theban reaction against Lakedæmonian supremacy. See Xen. Hell. iv. 3.15 and 2. 17. It is probable that the treaty before us was concluded before the battle of Haliartos, at the same time with the preceding. See Grote, ch. 74.

## 68.

## Battle of Korinth and Battle of Koroneia: July, August, B.C. 394.

On a handsome funeral monament fousd ia Kermikos: Kumanoden, 'Erirpaqai intrimbra, No. 13.


 $8 \eta$ s.

Alluded to by Pausanias, i. 29. 8 : кeitrat ot (on the road from


 ríx ${ }^{\prime}$ s eiral к.r.ג. Xenophon (Hell. iv. 2. 17) says that the Athenian cavalry at Korinth numbered six hundred; he does not give the number at Koroneia (ibid. 3. 15). In both battles, especially in the latter, the hard fighting was between the foot-soldiers; the cavalry were scarcely engaged. See the next inscription.

## 69.

## Battle of Korinth : B.C. 394.

A beactiful stell, discorered in 1863, just outride the Dipylon. Above the inecription is a fine relief: a youthfol horsoman is atriking his fallen foe with a epear. Figured in Dyer's Alheme, p. 497 ; Kumanudea, 'Emıyp. imitíuß. No. 540.

Among the thousands of Attic tombs we know of, this one alone bears a date. Derileos, named also in the preceding monument, was born in b.c. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called Meigavipos by Diod. xiii. 7). As eleven horsemen fell at Korinth, the expression $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \ell \nu \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{l \pi \pi \epsilon} \omega \nu$ must be referred to some unrecorded episode of the expedition.

$$
70
$$

Honours to Konon, at Erythre in Ionis: B.C. 394.
Inecribed croxyibs on a ctere in a church at Erythre: the text from Le BacWaddingtice, Foyage Arektol. Pt. V. 39.


[riepy]érnv 'EpuOpalusy






> 10 [k]al 'EpuOpaîon eivaı
> [ar] $\beta$ oúdचral cival 8 ह̀
> [revi] ra каil aỉr $\hat{\varphi}$ кal ${ }^{2 \kappa}$.

> [ 8 quorlq] Kóvшขレ.
> ['H Bov入ŋ̀] кal [ $\delta$ ठ $\bar{\eta} \mu$ os.]

This exactly accords with Xen．Hellen．iv．8．1－2：Pharna－ bazos and Konon，after the victory of Knidos，went on a cruise round the islands and the maritime cities，expelling the Lako－ nian harmosts，and assaring the cities that their citadels should not be garrisoned nor their liberty interfered with：of $8^{\prime}$ axovi－


## 71.

Honours to Dionysios I．and his court：
very early in B．C． 898.
A steld found in the Dienguiac theatre，broken at the bottom and right，sur－ monnted by a relief；Atheas，with her shield and serpent，giree her hand to a woman bolding a sceptre er torch，who repremate 8icily．Köhler in Hermec，iii． 157 ；C．I．A．ii． 8.
 viठos \＆ктךs трvтavevoúans，

$\mu \mu d \tau \in \boldsymbol{v e}$ ．






The proposer is Rinesias the dithyrambic poet，whoee lean figure and profligate life made him the favourite butt of Aris－ tophanes and the comedians：the 6th prytany would be about the time of the Lensea（Gamelion）．Dionyaios I．was all along an ally，not very active，of Sparta（Grote，ch． 83 ；Xen．Hellen． v．1．26， 28 ；vi．2．4，33）．But after the victory of Knidos
(midsummer 394), when Kıown sailed with Pharnabazos to the Saronic Gulf early in 393 (ime Xen. Hellen. iv. 8. 7 foll.), so fast were Athenian hopes expanuiny, that Konon caused an Athenian embassy to be despatched $u$. Dionysios at Syracuse, with the view of detaching him frim Sparta, and allying him with Atheus (Grote, ch. 75 init.)). Lysias the orator, and two others, were sent (Lysias, xix. do: iunis Ar. 19 foll.). Perhaps they carried with them the $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi$ ooraa before us: it is moved by a poet, who would probably be kmawn to Dionysios, and certainly to Philoxenos the famous ditthrambic poet, who is here named amongst the tyrant's househioil (see Nos. 84, 88).

## 72.

Honours to Evagoram ting of Kypros: B.C. 393.
Fragment found near the Dionnvicu theatre. Köhler, C.I. A. ii. p. 397.
. . . Kóvต[ $\nu$. . . .







The formulæ are easily restured. Evagoras materially helped Konon at the battle of Kuidos, and received honours upon Konon's arrival at Athens: Inkrates, Erag. 54-57; cp. Pausan. i. 3. 1; Lysias, xix. de bonis 표. 20; Demosth. p. 161.

## 23.

Commercial Treaty widf Phaselis: B.C. 393-392.
Edited by Közles, ETarmas, rii. 159 ; C. I. A. ii. 11 .







No archon is named in § 1 , but the date is soon after the battle of Knidos. It was then that Chios expelled the Spartan garrison and rejoined the Athenian alliance (Diod. xiv. 84), with Mitrlene, Fphesos, and Erythre. It was Chios that had introduced Phaselis into the Delian confederacy in Kimon's days (Plut. Kim. 12); and now again the two states act together. Phaselis, though a Dorian colony, remained one of the most faithful of the allies of Athens. It was the furthest member of the league to the East, and, lying on the highroad to Egypt and Phœenicia, enjoyed a prosperous trade, as its assessment at ten talents shows (Plut. l. c.; cp. Thuk. ii. 69). It was likely that suits would arise between merchants of Phaselis and of Athens: treaties providing for such cases between the citizens of two towns were common enough ( $\sigma i^{\prime} \mu \beta o \lambda a$ ), and suits conducted
 Usually the case was heard in the defendant's city: but it is ruled in $\S 2$ that all cases were to be heard at Athens before the
archon polemarch, at least all cases $\pi \epsilon \rho l \sigma \nu \mu \beta \delta \lambda c z a$, or businesscontracts. § 3. $\delta$ iкal $a \pi \dot{d} \sigma \nu \mu$ iód $\lambda \omega \nu$ about other subjects are to be heard in accordance with the provisions already made in the treaty with Chios. §4. This treaty in no way concerns disputes between Phaselites and non-Athenians $\tau[\hat{\omega} \nu \omega \lambda \lambda a x o] \hat{v}$. It refers to cases between Athenians and citizens of the allied states. Only these were to be heard at Athens, and of these perhaps only the important ones. §5. Fine threatened if the archon disobeys. The limitation was an important one for the allied city, as it limited the supremacy of Athens.

## 74.

Treaty between Amyntas III. (father of Philip) and the Chalkidians: B.C. 390-389.

A marble found at Olynthon, and now at Vienna. It in broken at the botrom, and inscribed on both sidees $A$ and $B$, not $\sigma$ roxifoiv. The text from Le BasWaddington, Voyage 4 rcheal. Pt. iii. No. 1406 (uncinls only), and H. Sauppe, Inecr. Macedon. quattwor, Weimar, 1847.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A. }
\end{aligned}
$$







 . . . . . . 'A $A$ ] . . . . Xa^[кıঠ . . . .

The restorations are chiefly from Sauppe. Line 1 foll. the
 dialect is on the whole Ionic (cp. No. 98). civ in A l. 3, B ll. 5 and 7 , must be intended for cival. The authorities for Amyntas' reign are Diod. xiv. 89. 92; xv. 19; Xen. Hellen. v. 2-3; Isokrates, Or. iv. (Paneg.) 126; vi. (drchid.) 46. Grote gives $a$ spirited account of the rise and fall of the Olynthian confederation (ch. 76), but we must follow the chronology of Droysen, Hellen. i. 1. 78. The reign of Amyntas III. was chequered with vicissitudes which show how far Macedon then was from being a secure or important power. His accession was in в. c. 390. After one year's reign be was driven by Illyrian invaders from his kingdom, and shortly afterwards restored by the Thessalians. Again the same enemies forced him to fly, and upon the ruins of Macedonia the Olynthian confederation (rò кouv̀̀v $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Xa入кıঠ́é $\omega \nu$ ) soon grew into importance. Amyntas' only hope was from the Greek cities. In $3^{82}$ b.c. he was helped back to his throne by Athens and Sparta (see No. 77); but he was not safe until the downfall of the confederation in 379 b.c. It was in $3^{8} 3$ в.c. that Akanthos and Apollonia, refusing to join the Olynthian league, applied to Sparta for help; and Sparta, together with Amyntas, finally broke up the league in 379 в.c. Amyntas died in 370-69 B. c. Sauppe is probably right in referring our treaty to the early years of his reign : at no later date would be be in league with the Olynthians, nor the Olynthians be at enmity with Mende or Amphipolis. The article of the treaty about timber, etc. reminds us that Sonthern Macedonia, the Chalkidic peninsula, and Amphipolis were the chief sources whence Athens (e. g.) derived the timber for her dockyards (cp. the following
 $\boldsymbol{z} \sigma \boldsymbol{\ell}$, i. e. within the territory of the league; Thuk. iv. 108: when Macedon became mistress of these regions the Athenians were at a loss for timber, see Diod. xx. 46 ; Plut. Deinetr. 10 ; Böckh, Staatsh. i. 97. 351. 543). This treaty appears to be chiefly to the commercial advantage of the league. Probably however Amyntas would want to import more timber, etc. from Chalkidike, than the league would from Macedonia. Amyntas gains the right to import as much timber (except pine) as he wants, unless the league have present need of it. The league are permitted similarly to import from Macedonia, and even pine-timber upon giving prior notice to Amyntas. And also as the Olynthian league, as long as it lasted, was a powerful check upon Macedonia, by the possession of the chief ports and avenues of trade between Macedonia and the rest of Greece, it was a gain to Amyntas to secure a safe export, import, and transport of all goods through the ChalLidic ports (è $\xi$ ay $\omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ кai 8८a[r]aز $\left.\omega \gamma^{\eta} \nu\right)$.

## 75.

Phanokritos of Parion rewarded for giving information of the enemy's fleet : B.C. 390-380 (?).

The etone is in the Lourre. Bückh. C. I. G. 84, cp. p. 897 ; Kühler, C. I. A. ii. 38 ; Kirchbof, Abhandl. d. Berl. Ak., hią. phil. kl. 1861, pp. 599 foll.

Eind of probouleuma.



a] els rò xpuraveion cls aüpiov.
Decree of the people, mored by Kephalos by way of amendment.







putaveîov cls aũplov, $\mu \in[\rho]$ loal $\delta e ̀[r]$ -
Tl].

Böckh was inclined to refer this inscription to the time of the battle of Naxos, в.c. 376 ; but Kirchhoff observes that the writing is not later than b.c. $390-380$. The incident here recorded was something of this kind. The Athenian admirals had offered a reward (тò doppópıov т̀ clpquévor, 1. 18) 'for information which would lead to the capture of the enemy's ships.' Phanokritos gave information, which was not acted upon. He claims the reward, but the admirals refuse it. He goes to Athens and appeals to the $\beta$ ou入n'. The senate frame a probowlenina proposing honours to Phanokritos as an cíepyérns, but not mentioning the reward, for fear of offending the generals. In the $\boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ an amendment is moved by Kephalos (the famous orator?), ordering the reward to be paid, and censuring the admirals for not acting upon the intelligence received. The aporeklic, or 'Receivers general,' are to pay the sum out of the ordinary revenues of the state, when they make the grants payable by law. As Phanokritos is of Parion on the Hellespont, Kirchhoff suggests that the admirals referred to may be Iphikrates and Thrasybulos or Agyrrhios, who at the date named above were serving in the Hellespontine waters. Rangabe, Antiq. Mell. 1164, publishes a dedication copied by M. Pittakys upon the Atropolis: $\delta \delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$ | Фаvóкpıтov Фarociéous | П[apıavóv?
 frequently interchanged in the inscriptions of this period, and probably in pronunciation also.
76.

Negotiations between Athens and Klazomenm, just before the peace of Antalkidas: B.C. 387.

The text in from Köller, C. I. A. ii. pp. 397 and 423.







The remainder of the two fragments is so much broken as to yield no continuous sense. It appears that provision was made in the treaty for the conveyance of corn to Klazomenæ (from the Hellespont?): - vo日ev $\sigma \iota \tau a y \omega \gamma o u ̂ d \tau a \iota ~ K \lambda a \zeta o \mu \epsilon[\nu \iota$. .]. And the Athenians pledge themselves not to banish political opponents


 trates a passage from Ephoros cited by Steph. Byz. s.v. Xuróv.

 (v). 3. 15, Etagtá̧ovat oè èvíote al nódets кai óà roùs tónous,

 would seem then that Klazomenæ was negotiating an alliance with Athens,-one of the results probably of Iphikrates' successes at the Hellespont in 389 b.c. (Xen. Hell. iv. fin.). But these hopes were cut short by the ' peace of Antalkidas,' whereby the Asiatic Greek cities were summarily handed over to the Great

 1. 31). Clinton, ad annum 387, places the promulgation early in Theodotos' year, 'about autumn.'

## 77.

## The Athenians assist Amyntas III to recover his kingdom: B.C. 88 .

Two fragmenta found near the Dionyuiac theatre: Koblere C. I. A. ii. pp. 397. 423.
. . . andof]as olr[ıves, i.e. Athenian envors

-] $\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \mu \in \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma о \nu \tau a[\iota$












[гд тןutaveíov cls aúp]ıov.
Appended in tro columns were the names of those who swore to the treaty on the part of Athens and of Ampntas; only a frag-
 Пaıa - ['A ${ }^{\prime}$

That Amyntas recovered his throne is stated by Isokrates, vi. Archid. 46 ; Diod. xiv. 92. He co-operated with Sparta against the Olynthian confederacy (Diod. xv. 19), and we can understand his receiving assistance from that quarter. The Schol. on Eschin. Fals. Leg. 26 affirms that the Athenians also helped to restore him : of this fact our inscription is witness. The date is probably b.c. $3^{82}$. See Schäfer, Demosthenes and seine Zeif, ii. p. 7. The Alexander here named was the eldest son of Amprtas, who succeeded him. For an outline of Amyntas' reign oce No. 74, his treaty with Challidians.
78.

The new Athenian confederacy; Byzantion received : B.C. 878.

Two fragments, published by Köhler. C. I. A. ii. 19 ; see id. in Hermes, v. p. ro.
(a)
'A $\theta \eta$ v-








10 เa] 'ss тò трuraveîov '̀s [aúp]-
tov. ảraypáqaı ठè тìv $\sigma[\tau i ́ \lambda]$.
$\eta \nu$ ròv $\gamma \rho a \mu(\mu) a r \notin a$ т $\boldsymbol{\eta} s \beta o u \lambda[\hat{\eta} s]$.

'Op日óßovios $2 \times \mathrm{K} \in \rho a \mu \notin \omega[\nu]$.

Eєvó8oros 'AXapveús'

'A $\lambda \kappa$ ఢцахоs ' $A y \gamma \in \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \in \nu$.

$20 \omega \nu^{*}$ Kúb $\omega v$, Mevéorpatos,
 Фиívos.

The date is a little earlier than No. 81; C.I. d. ii. 18 is a yet more fragmentary treaty of the same date with Mytilene (Diod. xv. 28. 29). Comp. Grote, ch. 77 ; Isokr. xiv. Plat. 27. 28. Some of the envoys are well known. Orthobulos was taxiarch of Atamantis at the battle of Haliartos (Lysias, xvi. pro Mant. 13). Pyrrhander is named in No. 81, $\S 7$, as one of the envoys sent to Thebes: this is referred to by Axschines (in Cles. 139), who says d'tı кal vîv (y, in b.c. 330 , so that he lived to a great age; cp. No. 79, l. 7. Kydon the Byzantine is also known to us as one of those who contrived the surrender of Byzantion to Alkibiades
and the Athenians in b.c. 408 (Xen. Hellen. i. 3. 18). When Lysander regained the town after Fgospotami, Kydon fled to Athens and received the citizenship (ib. ii. 2. 1). He must have returned to his native place after the restoration of the democracy there by Thrasybulos in 390 (ib. iv. 8. 2\%), and now undertakes this mission in the Athenian interest.
79.

## Alliance between Athens and Chalkis in Eubces:

B.C. 878-877.

A steld recently discovered near the Dionynise theatre: Köhler, C.I.A. ii. p. 398.


['E $\pi$ ]l Navoıvikov ápxovios.

















 cevép]ous duta[s каi] aùrovó $\mu$ оиs каl . .



 I Tोे义 Xúpay к.т.A.

Compare the preceding inscription : the present is slightly

 to refer to the earlier settlement of Chalkis in b. c. 445 : No. 28.
80.

Treaty with Chios; new Athenian confederacy:
B.C. 378-377.

Put together ont of various fragments ; the teatt is from Köbler, Mittheilungen, etc., ii. $13^{8}$ foll.
(The beginning is lost).
$\qquad$
таข̂ta $\mu \in[$. кo]

$\eta \sigma \iota \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \nu[$ тає . . . . . . .] каАа́тєр
 кai toùs öpкovs кal [ràs oüбаs бov. $\eta_{\eta}$ каs]










о $\mu i[q] \mu \eta$ тараßaivovtas $\tau \hat{\mu} r$ ì $\nu$ таîs $\sigma$ -








 ${ }^{1}$ i. e. of Athens Polime.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {. . . . . . . as dpxás' \&[ } \lambda \in \sigma \theta a l \text { bè xtevte }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A fragment of the conclusion of the } \psi \eta \text { ido } \sigma \mu a \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Chians，ever since the peace of Antalkidas，had been very farourably disposed to Athens，and were the first to join the new confederation，together with Mitylene and Byzantion．See Nos． 78， 79 ：and cp．Isokr．xiv．Plataic．28；viii．de pace 16 ：$\phi \eta \mu i$






## 81.

Formation of the new Athenian confederacy：

$$
\text { B.C. } 878-877 \text {. }
$$

A large oteld put together out of twenty fragmenta：Meier，Commenlatio qui－ graph．i．Halle， 1851 ；Köhler，C．I．4．ii． 17.

> 'Exl Navoivikov ăpxoytos.
> Ka入入lßıos Kүфıбофёутоя
> Пalaviè̀s èrpauна́тсvev.








[ $\nu$ éavt $\omega \nu$ (the next three lines were anciently erased). .


















Lav $\pi \rho \delta s^{\prime} A \theta \eta \nu[$ alovs $\sigma] r[\hat{\eta} \lambda a l]$ oì $\sigma a l$ ' $A \theta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \sigma$.















av, $\beta$ оך $\theta \in i ̂ v ~ ' A \theta \eta v a i o v s ~ к a i ̀ ~ т о ̀ ̀ s ~ \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha ́ x o u s ~$
то⿱́rots каì катà $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ каl катà $\theta$ d̀入atra-

























［A］pıбтогéגฑs Mapa0civnos．Пúppandoo－
is s＇Araф入íन［r］cos＇Өpacíßoulos Kal入uteús．



 ＇Ape日oúrtoc，｜＇Kapiotion｜＇Ixcon｜Пa［גīs ？］｜（here five names are lost．Then follows the beginaing of another decree propased by the same Aristoteles：and on the laft side of the clab the names of the confalervie cifies are continned as follows：－［Keprv］paion｜［ס 8î］］－









For a fuller treatment see Busolt, Das Znoeite Athenische Bund; A. Schäfer, De sociis Atheniensium Chabria et Timothei atate, etc. Lipe. 1856. But for the most part Diod. xv. 28-30, and Grote, ch. 77 will suffice. We will note a few minor points. § I. The
 the Molossi, and his son Neoptolemos, who are named below: Neoptolemos succeeded his father, dividing the kingdom with his brother Arybbas (see No. 113), and his daughter Olympias was the mother of Alexander the Great. The provisions in § 2, directed against any approach to the system of $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o u x$ lac, are well discassed by Grote, l.c. § 3. The alliance is defensive only. $\S 4$. Observe the large powers given to the federal representatives; they may condemn an Attic citizen to banishment or to death as an iepoóvios. § 5. The statue of Zeus Eleutherios was in the Keramikos; see Pausan. i. 3. 1, who mentions statues of Konon, Timotheos and Evagoras the Kyprian, at the same spot. Thirty drachmse was the usual cost of inscribing a decree: but this is a long one, and names were to be added from time to time. On this reserve fund of ten talents see No. 87. § 6. The list is given below. § 7. For Pyrrhander see No. 78; Thrasybulos, namesake and comrade of the liberator, is mentioned by Xen. Hellem. v. 1. 26, as commanding the fleet, and by Dem. de Cor. p. 301 , as an orator of mark; cp. Aristot. Rhet. ii. 23 ; Dem. adr. Timocr. i42. §8. The list of confederates. From personal examination of the stone, Kühler says that the Chians, Mytileneans, Methymmsans, Rhodians, Byzantines, appear to be inscribed in the mme hand with the preceding decree. This tallies with

 pyouriey. A second hand inscribed the Tenedians, Thebans, Chalkidians, Rretrians, Poessians, Arethusians, Karystians, A third hand added the Perinthians, Peparethians, Skiathians, Maronita, Dieis, Ikians, Pales. The rest were added afterwards, as the alliance extended. Thus Abdera joined after the battle of Nuxos (Diod.
xv. 36). Similarly Hestiæa comes low down in the list : see Diod. xv. 30. Also the three towns of Keos, Iulietw, Karthæa, Koressos are separated from the fourth, viz. Пoı $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma$ oco. Lastly, the $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$ of Zakynthos, apparently established outside of the city in a Zakynthian village called Nellon, is enrolled as an ally of Athens, like the 'Samian 8 ĵmos' of the old confederacy. Xen. Hellen. vi. 2. 2, and Diod. xv. 45, tell us of Timotheos' dealings with the Zakynthian factions: see Grote, ch. 77. Observe that no cities of Asia Minor are here eurolled : the 'peace of Antalkidas' had left them in the hands of the great king (§ 1), until Alexander freed them. Else one might have looked for Phaselis in the list (see No. 73). There are several names wanting which might be expected: e.g. Naxos. It is clear from this document that Diodoros' estimate is true enough (xv. 30) : rois 'AOךvalots els


## 82.

Accounts of the Athenian Commissioners of the Delian Temple funds, from B.C. 877 to B.C. 874.

The 'Sandwich marble,' in the Library of Trinity Coll., Cambridge: where I have ro-read it. See Buickh, C. I.G. 158 ; Elaateh. ii. pp. 78 foll.

Some account has been given on No. 38 of the relations between Athens and Delos. The formation of the Delian confederacy under Athens, and the placing of the common funds in the temple, had tended to subordinate the religious interests of Delos to the political. The transfer of the treasury to Athens in 454 B.c. (No. 24) would still further diminish its prestige : so that amid the troubles of the Peloponnesian war, though the Athenians and the islanders still continued to send their $\theta$ ecopiat, in b. c. 426 the splendour of the festival had drindled almost to nothing (see Thuk, iii. 104). In 426 the Athenians re-established the Delian featival, and the magnificent $\theta$ coupla of Nikias (Plut. Nic. 3) belonged to the second or third celebration. Henceforward the Athenians undertook the direct management of the temple and its treasures. If we are right in concluding from No. 6I that after Agoopotamoi the Athenians were for a time dispossessed, we may hazard the conjecture that their inflivence in Delos was restored (not rithout some opposition, es this inscription testifies) at the formation of the New Athenian Con-
federacy in в.c. 378. The Delian Commissioners were called 'A $\mathbf{A} \phi$ ккरóoves, because in theory they were the deputies of the
 festival pertained from olden times. The great festival took place on the sixth and seventh of Thargelion (May) in the third year of each Olympiad : a lesser festival took place yearly. The financial term dated from one great festival to another, and this inscription accordingly gave the accounts of the four years in which Kalleas, Charisander, Hippodamas, and Sokratides were archons, i.e. в. c. 377-6 to 374-3 (see § 8). But it is observable that none of the payments are accounted for later than Thargelion in Hippodomas' year, i.e. в. c. 374. The following paraphrase will explain the heading § 1 : ' In the name of the gods. Sums called in by the Atheniau Amphiktyons (i.e. Delos-Commissioners) from Kalleas' archonship down to Thargelion in Hippodamas' year (-according to Attic reckoning, but in Delian reckoning. from Epigenes' archonship down to Thargelion in Hippias' rear-) during the term for which each Amphiktyon held office (ris. one rear each) ; their secretaries being Diodoros, son of Olympiodoros of Skambonidæ (third year of the $\pi \in \nu \tau \epsilon-$ inpis),-Idiotes, son of Theogenes of Acharnm, during Charisander's archonship (second year), down to the commencement in Hekatombeon of Hippodamas' archonship-Sosigenes, son of Sosiades of Xypete (first year), during the year of Kalleas' archonship: (the Amphiktyons being) Epigenes, son of Metagencs of Koile, Antimachos, son of Euthynomos of Marathon, Epikrates, son of Menestratos of Pallene.' From this heading we learn (1) that each Amphiktron administered the temple for one year, beginning with the month Hekatombæon, the first month of the Attic civil jear; (2) the receipts for the three first years only are here recorded, the foarth year's receipts being left for the accounte of the next quadriennium; so that (3) only three Amphiktyons are here named, and their three respective secretaries; (4) as these accounts could not be made up till the close of the third year, the secretary of the third year names himself first, as having drawn up the earlier portion of these accounts, § 1-4. The remainder, including the cxpenses of the festival in the fourth year, was drawn ap by the fourth secretary: the account thus completed was then inscribed on this marble.










§ 2. Interest paid by cities on loans borroced from the Temple:-

Muкóvto . . . . . . . . XHHP®
Zúpıo، . . . . . . . . . XXHHH
Tท̇vıo . . . . . . . . . T
Kєîot. . . . . . . . . . [ $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{P} H H H}\right] \mathrm{HP}^{\mathrm{P}} \Delta \Delta$ rFIIIIC
इєрiф८о . . . . . . . . X패
ミíфviol. . . . . . . . . XXXHP $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta I I I I$
'I ${ }^{\text {ña.a. . . . . . . . . . }}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{HHH}$
[חápıo]ı . . . . . . . . XXㄱHHH円 $\Delta \Delta$
Olvaîoı ${ }^{2 \xi}$ 'Iкápov . . . XXXX
Oєp $\mu$ aiol ${ }^{2} \xi$ 'Iкג́pov . . HHH[H
 $\Delta \Delta$ rrrlic.
The cities are all from the neighbouring islands: on loans from temple funds, see No. 46.
§ 3. Interest similarly paid by indiriduals (citizens of Delos and Tenos):-



'ryorגéns $\Delta$ íncos $^{2}$
HHH





${ }^{2}$ T $\Omega$ absunt a lapide, neque quatium racal.

 

§ 4．Other miscellaneous receipts：－
 $\mathrm{HH}[\mathrm{H}]$ 『 $\Delta \Delta \Delta$
Elf $\epsilon \pi \rho \dot{x} x \theta \eta \mu \eta \nu v \theta e ̀[\nu \pi] a \rho a ̀ ~ \Pi v ́ \theta \omega \nu o s ~ \Delta \eta \lambda i ́ o \omega$ ..... XH（？）
 $\tau<\mu \eta{ }^{2} \mathrm{\kappa} \kappa[\phi] d \lambda a t o \nu$ ..... $X{ }^{\text {® }} H H H \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma$
TTXHH［ $\Delta] \Delta$$\chi^{\text {о́rт }} \boldsymbol{\nu}$XXHHHH円 $\Delta \Delta \Delta$rトrト
  ..... HH® $\left.{ }^{2} \Delta\right] \Delta \Delta \Delta \Gamma$ Гト
 
Mirzốv is part of a confiscated estate which was concealed by the offender and afterwards claimed by the magistrates upon information given：see $\$ \S 9,10$ ．＇Evéxcpa are sums recovered by distraint from persons who have neglected to pay a debt proved in court；$\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \in t s$ are rents of houses（oixt $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ）or of lands belonging to the temple（ $\tau \in \mu \in \tau \tilde{\varphi}$ ）．
§ 5．Expenses of the Festival（May，373）：－

 ..... Xㅍ
 ..... $x^{3}$
－＇ipxe日ecúpors ..... T
TX
 ..... HГIIII
ทù̀ Toútcov TXXHHHH $\triangle$ Гトトトト
Dítral［a xpeo］â кaì xpvowrị̂4 mooós $H \Delta \Delta \Gamma$（lost）
－hepie $\Omega$.
＇biters uns abent，fracto lepide．
${ }^{2}$ signa numeralia in boc lapide interdum incertiora aunt． －TEI lapis．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {. . . } \nu \operatorname{\tau \mu }[\eta \bar{\eta}] \text {. . каталд. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . (lost) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Most of $\S 5$ will be clear to anyone who will refer to Thuk． iii． 104 and Plut．Nicias， 3 ；ком 0 市 is＇cost of carriage：＇mev－ т $\eta \kappa 0 \sigma T{ }^{\prime}$ is export duty of two per cent．：the gúda were possibly to make a bridge（like Nikias＇）between Rheneia and Delos，the méta入a were for gilding the horns of the oxen sacrificed．
§ 6．Further sums which ought to have been paid by certain cities as interest due，－a portion only haring been paid（see § 2）：－

 ＜̀т $\tau \omega$ ．

| Keiol | XXXXH $\Delta \triangle$ Г + I |
| :---: | :---: |
| Muкóvioi | HHHH $\triangle \triangle$ |
| Eúpto | XXXXГ ${ }^{\text {HHHH }}$ |
| Eíqutot． |  |
| Tท́viot． | XXHHHH |
| Oepmaiol ḑ＇İ¢dpov | HHHH |
| Пápio، | TTTTX®HHH $\Delta \Delta \Delta$ |
| Oivaion ${ }^{\text {e }}$＇＇Iкápov |  |

§ 7．Arrears of interest onced by cilies which paid no portion of their interest during the four years：－


 $\pi i o v, ~ П u \rho \rho a l \theta o v *$

> Na乡ıot . . . . . . TXXXXPH
> "Avঠptot . . . . TT
> Kapviбtiot . . . TXXHHHH (afler
this comes an erasure of elecen spaces）．
§ 8．Arrears of interest not paid ly individuals：－


 Пирја：$\theta$ ov．
＇Ayd日apxos＇Aplotwvos $\Delta_{\eta}^{\prime}\left[\lambda_{\imath}\right]$ os ．．．．．．．．．HHHH

§ 9．Fines inflicted but not yet paid：：－








In the second year of the quadrienmiun，eight（？）Delians had been fined $10,0 c 0 \mathrm{dr}$ ．each（ $\tau(\mu \eta \mu a)$ ，ass if $\mu$ upended to their．names
 for assaulting the Amphiktyons and idnurging them ont of the temple．One of the names is erased，lemaring seven．Of these，two bear the names of the Delian archons of tie first and fourth years， －Epigenes and Pyrrhsethos．Doubtlewstiuy are the selfsame men． But how could Pyrrhathos be elected arihon at Delos after the sentence of decouyla？Quite well ：fior Delos was not a part of Attic territory or of the confederatiom， $\boldsymbol{r o}$ which alone the $\dot{a} \in t-$ doyla would refer；and what more litimetr than that the patriotic party at Delos should select as their amoiun a man who had even violently challenged the Athenian acounation of the temple？ For the Delians resented it bitterly，mic repeatedly claimed the
restoration of their rights, as the $\Delta \eta \lambda$ caxoi $\lambda$ óyoc of several of the orators (written in defence of the Athenian occupation) prove (see Hyperides, Frag. xiii). How long after the time of Demosthenes the Delians remained deprived of their temple we do not know. Philip and Alexander cannot have refused the petition of the Delians against Athens. In 166 B. C. the Romans made over Delos again to Athens (Polyb. xxx. 18; xxxii. 17; Böckh, Staatsh. i. 541). Its devastation under Mithridates is recorded by Pausau. iii. 23, 2.
§ 10. List of houses dedicated to Apollo:-








. . . . єєо..
These are no doubt confiscated properties. Some of the names are the same as in § 9 . Olkia is a divelling-house, oik building. Nadxeiov is a bronze foundry, for the Delian bronze was famous (Pliny, N. II. xxiv. 2).

## 83.

The Korkrreans, Akarnanians, and Kephallenians join the Alliance: B.C. 375.
Kühler. C. I. A. ii. +9 ; cp. Schäfer. De Sociis Alheriensium, etc., p. 12.















 ò̀]s imnt́as кal roùs $\sigma u \mu \mu a x$. . . . the rest is broken.

This decree dates from the autumn of b.c. 375 , immediately after Timotheos' visit to Korkyra (Xen. Hell. v. 4. 64). The result of this decree was that the names of Korkyra, Kephallenia, and Akarnania were inscribed upon the list (No. 81); and an alliance was made with them, of which the treaty with Korkyra is extant in a mutilated condition C.I. A. ii. pp. 399 foll. I give the form of the oaths, which can be restored without difficulty : -

## Athenian Oath.







v $\mu \mu a ́ x \omega \nu$ ठокй [. . . . . . . . . . . . . тà
8]ó $\gamma \mu a \tau a \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \nu[\mu \mu \dot{\chi} \omega \nu$. . . . . . . $\nu \grave{\eta}$ тò-

 $\mu \dot{\eta}$,$] ràvavtia.$

## Korkyraan Oath.







[ $\lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \theta \in \iota$ т $\left.\bar{\nu} \nu \sigma \nu \mu \mu \dot{\prime}\right] \times \omega \nu[\delta 0] \kappa \hat{\eta}, \kappa[a i] \sigma[\ldots$




vavtla].
The Akarnanians, after the alliance they concladed with Athens at the opening of the Peloponnesian war (Thuk. ii. 68), were among the staunchest of the Athenian allies, with the exception of one town (Thak. ii. 102, Olvidoas dei mote modculous óvtas $\mu$ óvovs 'Axapvávov, cp. i. 111 ). Their personal affection for the Athenian general Phormio is testified by Thuk. ii. 8r foll., 102 foll. ; iii. 7 (cp. No. 118). Not less cordial were their relations with Demosthenes (Thuk. iii. $9+$ foll., 107 foll.).' So on the Athenian side in the Syrakusan expedition (Thuk. vii. 57), kal

 fought for Athens in the Korinthian War (Xen. Hell. iv. 2. 17 ; cp. iv. 6. i foll.). In в.c. 389 Agesilaos forced them into alliance with Sparta (Xen. Hell. iv. 7. 1; Ages. 2. 20). Our inscription records their return to their old allies, and Korkyra and Kephallenia with them.

The following epitaph, found in the Kerameikos, may refer to
 1871, p. 28).





## 84.

Honours to Dionysios I. of Syracuse, from Athens: B. C. 369-388.

Text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. $\mathfrak{g 1}$; qp. id. in the Mitheilungen d. demech. Inse. in Athen., i. Pp. 13 cull.



 civa]• $\quad \pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma a \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ d e ̀ ~ \tau[o u ̀] s ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon[\iota s ~ \epsilon i-~$
 $\rho o \sigma \kappa] a \lambda[\epsilon \sigma] a \nu \tau[a] s$ roùs $\sigma \nu \mu \mu]$ d́ $[0]$ ]s [roùs $\pi$ -
 $\sigma \omega, \gamma] \nu \omega \mu[\eta \nu] \delta[\varepsilon े \sigma] \nu \mu \beta d \lambda \lambda \epsilon[\sigma \theta] a \iota \tau[\eta \hat{\eta} \beta$ ßou入 $\eta$ -


Xovt]a $\kappa[a] i$ roùs víîs toùs $\left[\Delta_{l}\right]$ ovv $\left[\sigma\right.$ iov $\Delta_{l}-$










 עalous aủroùs] кa[i] éкүóvov[s кai фu入îs к-




Dionysios the elder had all along been a faithful, though not very active, ally of Sparta (see Lysias, xix. de bonis Ar. § 20 ; Xen. Hell. v. 1. 26-8; Diod. xv. 23. 47 ; Xen. Hell. vi. 2. 4.33; Diod. xvi. 57). The attempt made by Athens in b.c. 394-3 (No. 71) to win Dionysios to their side had not been at all successful. But after the important congress of B.c. 371 (Xen. Hell. vi. 3), when Athens and Sparta became allied against Thebes, Dionysios was reconciled to Athens (Xen. Hell. vii. 1. 20. 28 ; Diod. xv. 70); and at the Lenea b.c. 367 he gained the first prize for his Tragedy $\lambda$ út $\rho a$ "Eктороs, having previously stood second and third (Clinton, F. H. ad ann.). This decree and the next following make an important addition to our scanty
knowledge of the transactions briefly spoken of by Xen. Hell. vii. 1. 27-28; Diod. xv. 70 (cp. Grote, ch. 79). The second expedition of Epaminondas into the Peloponnese took place in 369 b.c., when the Spartans received assistance not only from the Athenians but also from Dionysios. Probably the crown mentioned in 1.26 was voted at that time. The decree before us was passed in the early summer of b.c. 368. At this same season Ariobarzanes' envoy Philiskos, acting in the name of the great king, on the strength of the peace of Antalbidas, convened the congress at Delphi with a view to a general peace, perhaps at the suggestion of Athens (Grote, ibid.). Little or nothing came of it, as the Spartans stoutly demanded that they should have Messene restored to them. Xenophon names the Athenians and Spartans, and their allies, and the Thebans, as represented at the congress, but says nothing of Dionysios. It is clear however from this decree that he was an important agent in these proceedings. Without beliering the statement of Ephoros (quoted by the Schol. on Aristides, Panall. 177. 20,who confuses Dionysios I. and II.) that Dionysios was in league with the Persians against the liberties of Greece,-which represents the diplomatic gossip of the time,-we may yet believe that he was very willing to enlarge his own influence by acting with the Persians as a mediator between the contending Greek states.

The following points in the decree require comment. Line 5 foll.; Dionysios was sending envoys to the Delphian congress; he also sends envoys and a letter to Athens, recommending peace. The temple referred to is doubtless Delphi. Just as it was a foremost provision of the treaties of 423 and 421 B.c. (Thuk. iv. 118, v. 18) that the Delphian temple should be neutral, so here in the negotiations for a general peace, it seems to be suggested that each state shall contribute to some building now in hand at Delphi, as a pledge of lona fides in the movement for peace. Lines 9-16: the synod of the allies convened at Athens is to consider Dionysios' saggestions, and report thereon to the $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$. The $\beta$ oviń further frames this mpoßoíderua to be submitted to the $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$ without delay, the deputies of the allies and the envors of Dionysios being also inrited to the ${ }^{2} \times \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$. Lines 16 foll.: the Athenians praise Diongsios for his real in
maintaining the provisions of the peace of Antalkidas (f $\hat{\imath} \beta a \sigma t-$ $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega s$ elpíry), and grant him and his sons the freedom of their city (cp. Demosth. p. 161). The decree of the people, approving this $\pi \rho o \beta o u ́ \lambda c u \mu a$, is lost.

## 85.

Honours to Mytilene for fidelity to Athens:
B.C. 369 and 368.

The toxt from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. pp. 400 foll.
§ A. Theeree of the senate and people, в.c. $3^{68:-}$
$[\Theta] \epsilon o l$.
$[\mathrm{Mvr}] \backslash \eta \nu a l \omega \nu$.





 $\ddot{\eta} \kappa о г \tau[\epsilon s] \lambda \epsilon$ -


 $\delta[\bar{\eta} \mu$ -

 б日er $x$ -
 [ $\pi$ -



 r] 0 .

 [ $\sigma_{\mu}$ ] e -

$[$ roîs $M v] \tau \iota \lambda \eta \nu[a / \omega \nu]$ то $[\hat{i s}] \mu e \tau \grave{\alpha}\left[{ }^{[1 \epsilon}\right]$ pott $[a$ (viz. the decree







[каi 'А] ${ }^{\prime}$ 30 єls $\tau$ ] т тpuraveîon els aṽpıov.
§ B. Rider mored by Autolykos:-





§ C. Decree of the year before, appended as orderen ulove:-









. . . . тapà r]oùs öpkous кaì tà [s $\sigma$ то-
45 [ridàs к.т.入.] (the rest is rery imperfect).
Mytilene was one of the first to join the new confederacy in b.c. 378 (see No. 81) ; the particular services referred to in the appended deerree, $\$ \mathrm{C}$, are not known, but probably Lesbian ships had helped Chabrias at the battle of Naxos in в.c. 376, and accompanied Timotheos in his Xgean cruise the following year. Doubtless Mytilene shared the growing hopes of further maritime supremacy for Atheus (Grote, ch. 79): but more than this we cannot say towards connecting our inscription with the history of the time. Paje-Benseler cite the name Hiercetas from coins of Mytilene. The oviredpoc are the deputies of the
cities in the league, sent to the synod at Athens. In § B the mover was perhaps near kinsman of his namesake the envoy.

## 86.

Negotiations between the Athenians and Leukadians:

$$
\text { B.C. } 388 .
$$

The text from Köbler, C. I. A. ii. p. $4 \infty$.
The beginning is lost; the end of a treaty remains:-
5 abas.

Beginning of a fresh decree, dated:-


[s . . . . . . . . єं]үрацца́тєvє, Фí入ıлтоs Eip[є-

10 $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\nu}^{\nu}$ к.т. $\lambda$.
The Leukadians were allied with Sparta in 373 b.c. in the expedition against Korkyra (Xen. Hell. vi. 2, 3, 26). No peace or treaty between the Athenians and Leukadiaus is mentioned by the Historians: but as Zakynthos and Kephallenia were already enrolled in the Athenian alliance (No. 81), possibly Leukas also followed them, especially when, after the battle of Leuktra (в.c. 371), the hopes of Athens rose higher than before (Grote, ch. 79).

## 87. <br> Honours from Athens to 8traton, ling of Bidon : <br> $$
\text { B.C. } 370-360 \text {. }
$$

Found on the Akropolis ; now at Oxford, where I have collated it afreah. The top only in imperfect. Bickb, C. I. G. 8\% ; Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 86.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . . катаб- }
\end{aligned}
$$


 ${ }^{2} s$ т




















 veíov 's aüplov.

## Rider proposed ly Menexenos:-






 $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\iota} \nu a$ катабтท̂бає $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ єlनфорàv


The preamble of the decree, of which only a few words are preserved in lines 1-3, probably dealt with honours voted to Straton for his various services, and his kindnees in helping forward the Athenian envoys, who had come to him at Sidon,
in proceeding yet further to the Persian court ( $\omega s$ $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon a$, line 3). In line 1 the $\Sigma$ though probable is not quite certain, and the letter before it may be $\Lambda$ or $A$ : also, as Straton is throughout called $\delta \Sigma \iota \delta \omega \omega \nu o s \beta$., or $\delta \Sigma \iota \delta \omega i^{\prime} i \omega \nu$, 3. , I prefer construing ís $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda$ éa in its usual sense, and make the subject of $\boldsymbol{\tau} \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ Straton himself. All we know of Straton is from a citation from Theopompos in Athen. 531: Oia rà $\rho$ rov̀s


 $\phi \iota \lambda о т \iota \mu о$ ú $\mu \in \nu=s$ (Nikokles, the son of Eragoras, -see Nos. 72,




 Nikokles were of course only sulject-kings under the Persian government (cp. Flian, Far. Hist. vii. 2). By $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \beta o \lambda a$ (in line 19) are meant merely 'tickets of admission' to public hospitality, which will secure the bearer the favour of the authorities. Kephisodotos (1.30) is doubtless the well-known orator who is named by Xen. Hell. vi. 3. 2; vii. 1. 14; he was one of the oúvósoc in the defence of Leptines. (See Comm. on Dem. adr. Lept., which will also explain the exemption from elogopd and xop $\quad$ lia, etc.) There must always have been a certain number of Sidonian merchants residing at Athens and the Peiræeus: twelve tombstones of Sidonians are published in Kumanudes' Collection of Attic epitaphs. Curtius (ir. 1. 16) speaks of a Sidonian prince Straton who was deposed by Alexander upon his conquest of Phœnicia. It is quite possible that he is the same Straton; nor is this irreconcilable with Theopompos' statement that he died a violent death. In 1.16 the raulal tov̂ $\theta$ cov̂ are meant: see No. 81, § 5, where also we find iк rîv déкa ralártwl. This must have been some special reserve fund for occasional expenses (see Köhler in Hermes, v. 12).
88.

Alliance between Athens and Dionysios I.:
B.C. 868-387.

Text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 52 ; cp. id. in Mittheilungen, i. pp. 13 foll., and preceding decrece Noe. 71, 84

.... s $\pi$ ] purau [clas $\mathfrak{y}$. . . . . .






























 oùs фu入(?)á] ${ }^{2}$ Xovs кal тoù [s takıápXovs• $\langle\mu o ́ \sigma a-$







The restorations are easily made in accordance with asual formulas of treaties. With lines 30 foll., which prescribe the manner of taking the oaths on either side, compare the similar provisions in Thuk. v. 47. The restoration of the last ferr lines is pretty certain as to the general sense, though particular words may be doubtful. The relations between Dionysios and Athens have been described in Nos. 71, 84 : this alliance with Athens (which is nowhere else recorded) was one of the last acts of his life, for he died towards the middle of в.c. 367 .

## 89.

Alliance between Athens and Eparta: B.C. 367.
Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 50 ; comp. 52 c, p. 401.




















An alliance between Athens and Sparta was concluded in в. c. 369 (see Xen. Hellen. vii. I. 1-14; Grote, ch. 79). Köhler, C. 1. A. ii. p. 402, gives conclasive reasons, quite apart from this inscription, to prove that we must supply [Navocye] uovs as the
 tenth prytany (see Köhler, l. c.) would be about midsummer 367 or the last prytany in Nausigenes' year. The decree must therefore refer to some supplementary negotiations about the alliance.
90.

Samos taken and ocoupied by Attic Kleruchs: B.C. 385.

List of Treasures in the Hermon: B.C. 346-6.
Text from C. Curtius, Inechriften und Studien zur Geach. non Samon, Lubeck, 1877. pp. 10 foll. The original is etill at Samoe, built into a farm-yand wall.













 ( $\sigma$ (vios), Пap



For Timotheos' successes, and especially his conquest of Samos, see Isolvates, $\mathbf{x v}$. (de permut.) 107 foll. In spite of the engagement so explicitly given in No. 8 I , the Athenians proceeded to send out Kleruchs: and though Samos was not a member of the Athenian league, but (since the peace of Antalkidas) had been gradualls brought under Persian dominion, yet none the less the Samian a $\lambda \eta \rho o u x i a$ gave great offence to Greece. The first colonists were doubtless sent at once in в. c. 365 , and further detachments followed in $3^{61}$ and $35^{2}$ b.c. (Grote, ch. 79; Curtius, Gr. Gesch. iii. pp. 457, 791). The native Samians appear to have been entirely banished (see No. 135), and so large was the efflux from Athens to Samos, that Demades is quoted by

 of Epikuros wras one of these Samian colonists, and the childhood of the philosopher was spent there: he came to Athens at eighteen (Disig. Laert. x. 1. 1). The temple of Hera at Samos, a temple well known from Herodotos, was comparable with Delphi and Olympia for its collection of works of art. The list, of which
 (' her toilet') in three groups, viz. articles of clothing, articles in ivory or metal, drinking vessels ( $\phi(d \lambda a c)$. The dialect is Attic
 retained the spelling of the previous Samian registers. The heading reveals to us how completely the $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o u x$ la was a microcosm of Athens itself: here are archon, prytanies, поо́єठоon, rapiac, and the other details of the Athenian system. It is evident that one of the raplac for s.c. 346 bas been omitted by accident. The inventory was taken during the first six months of the new rapiac who entered office in July 345. At Atbens it would hare been made in the presence of the Logister ; but here it is before a special sitting of the $\beta$ oudin in the Heræon itself. The list closely resembles the treasure-lists drawn up at Athens after the archonship of Euklid; especially those of Artemis Brauronia of the time of Lykurgos the orator. "E E aनt/s seems to mean ' $a$ fringe of ravelled threads.'

## 91.

Astykrates of Delphi banished by the Amphiktyonic Council; welcomed at Athens: B.C. 363.

Text frum Köller, C. I. A. ii. 54 ; cp. Eirchbofi, Monatsberichte d. Berl. Akad. 1866, p. 196-202.

 aveia]s, in Nıкóбтрato[s $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$. . .





















25 val]. el 8é Tls tı altıâtac 'Aбr[vxpátך кa-

 or eight lines are lost) . . .


30 piov.

Rider propowed by Kratinos:











$\kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i q$ cival bè каil тоí[s] $\mu[\epsilon \tau] a ̀ ~ ' A \sigma t u k-~$













Professor Kirehhoff remarks that this decree is dated about nine months before the battle of Mantinea, or shortly lefore the last march of Epaminondas into Peloponnese. Thebes was at this moment supreme in northern Greece, since the capture of Oropos from Athens in в.c. 366 (Nen. Hell. vii. 4. 1), the destruction of Orchomenos в.c. 364 (Diod. sr. 79), and the crushing defeat of Alexander of Phere (Plut. Pelopid. 35). The Thebans, thus dominant, were not slow to make the Amphiktyonic council subserve their own political purposes, as afterwards in the Sacred War. But in Pholis there was a decided opposition to the supremacy of Thebes; and the Phokians declined to follow Epaminondas in his last expedition (Xen. Hell. vii. 5.4). There-
fore we may adopt Kirchhoff's plausible suggestion, that the persons here welcomed at Athens were citizens of Delphi who were friendly to Athens, and were the leaders of the antiTheban opposition, and had accordingly been banished through Theban influence. Kratinos, who had probably mored the $\pi \rho o \beta o v i \lambda \epsilon v \mu a$, appears to have taken the opportanity of moring an amendment to it when brought before the $\mathbf{e n}^{2} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ la.

## 92.

The Athenians thank Menelaos for helping Timotheos in Chalkidike : B.C. 369-362.

The text is from Kobler, C. I. A. ii. 55 ; cp. Sauppe, Philologne, xix. 247.


$$
5
$$















Rider proposed by the mover:





Menelaos was half-brother of king Philip. He and Archelaos and Arrhidæos were sons of Amyntas III by his mistress Gygæa (Justin, vii. 4, 5, cp. Harpokr. s.e. Mevé入aos). Archelaos was slain by Philip early in his reign, as a probable pretender: Menelaos and the surviving brother fled, and are afterwards found at Olynthos, their presence there being made a pretext for war by Philip (see Justin, viii. 3, 10). It is not known at what time ther first went to Olynthos (Grote, ch. 88), but this inscription proves that Menelaos was in the neighbourhood of Thrace, and co-operating with Timotheos in those successes in Chalkidike and the Macedonian coast which are described by Isokrates (xv. de permut. 111-113). At a later date (b.c. 352, Philipp. i. § 2\%), Demosthenes chides the Athenians for allowing Menelaos to tight their battles for them, i.e. against Philip in Thrace. We know that Timotheos' great difficulty mas money to pay his troops (cp. Grote, ch. 79), so that it is interesting to find Menelaos assisting him with funds. Timotheos failed to take Amphipolis. It is true (1.21) that Menelaos inherited a connexion with Athens: as for Amyntas I cp. Huit. v. 94; for Amyntas III see No. 78. Menelaos is called חe入arós perhaps from his having been appointed governor of that district by his fither Amyntas (so Böhnecke, Demosthenes, Lykurgae, Myperides und illr Zeilalter, p. 232).

## 93.

Counter-revolution in Keos checked by Athens: B.C. 363.
The text is from Kübler, Miutheilungen $n$. arch. Indit. in At.3en, ii. p. $14^{2}$. The marble is at Athens

> ©col.
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$[o u ̛ b] \epsilon \mu \stackrel{̣}{a} \beta o \eta \theta \dot{\eta}-$
[ $\sigma \omega$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . таи̂та ${ }^{2 \mu \mu \pi] є \delta ̊ о \rho к \eta i \sigma \omega ~} \nu-$



Keos had been among the first islands that joined the new Athenian league in 376-375 b. c. (see No. 81). It appears from § I that Cbabrias had received the cities of Julis and Karthza into alliance during his cruise with Phokion, and had restored to Julis certain Athenian partisans who till then were in exile. Plutarch, Phokion 7, speaks of this time, and names Aristophon
the mover of this decree. The Athenians appear to have assisted the Julietæ with money, which now in $3^{63}$ they are in want of, and require the Julietse to pay by the month Skirophorion (June), the last month of Chariklides' year. Other islands in the league were backward in the payment of loans and $\sigma u v d \xi \epsilon t s$, as appears from the mention of the commissioners in § 1 .
§ 2 orders the re-erection at Julis of the terms of agreement settled by Cbabrias when he reorganized the town: the stelæ containing them having been lately destroyed. These ovvồкac and $\delta \rho k o c$ are appended in $\$ \S 4-5$.
§ 3 describes the counter-revolution which had been attempted in opposition to Athenian interests. We are certainly right in conuecting that movement with the naval expedition of Epaminondas, в. c. $3^{63}$, described by Divd. Sic. xv. 78-79, and well discussed by Grote, ch. 79, the object of which was to injure the Athenian maritime influence. On the meaning of $\boldsymbol{\ell}_{\kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \text { ros }}$ nódıs in II. 45-50 see No. 200.
§ 4 recites the covemant and oath entered into by Chabrias and Phokion (representing Athens and the allies) torvards the towns of Keos.
§ 5 gives the corresponding engagement and oath of allegiance on the part of the town of Keos towards Athens and the league. For a commercial treaty with Keos see No. 108.

## 94.

Alliance between Athens, the Arkadians, Acheans, Eleians, and Phliasians, immediately before Mantinea: B.C. 362.

Text frum Köhler, C. I. A. 6. p. 40.3 ; id. in Mithheilungen d. arch. Ince. in Sthew. i. p. 197. The stalt is broken at buttom; but the top is surmounted by a selief repreeenting Zeus enthrosed with thunderbolt; a female figure ( $=$ the ov⿲লmaxia ?) apponchea, lifting her veil, while Athena stande by.

















 ous каl 'A] ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \alpha ́ \delta[$ as к.т.ג.

This is the peace concluded just before the battle of Mantinea, see Xen. ITell. vii. 5. 1-3. Xenophon does not name the Phliasians, but their position was, as hitherto, one of firm fidelity to the Spartan side ; see Xenophon's chapter of praise, ilid. vii. 2. The Athenians sent a contingent of cavalry, which arrived just in time to save Mantinea from the grasp of Epaminondas: ibid. 5. 15-17. The terms of the vow show the deep anriety of Athens and the rest of the anti-Theban alliance. Plutarch assigns the battle to the archonship of Chariklides (в. с. 363-2), see Clinton $F$. $H$. ad annum. It certainly took place at harvest-time : $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s$
 tion proves it to have been early in the autumn of 362 , soon after Molon's archonship began. This accords with Demosthenes, in Pulycl. 1207.

## 95.

Envoys of Tachos king of Egypt at Athens: B.C. 362-361.
Text from Kühler, C. I. A. ii. 60. A mere fragment.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta[\epsilon o l] . \\
& \text { Фаvoк入[ } \bar{\eta} s \\
& \text {. . s }{ }^{2} \gamma \rho a[\mu \mu \dot{d} \tau е v \in v] . \\
& \text { Toîs Taxì }[\pi \rho \ell \sigma \beta \in \sigma \iota \nu] \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left[Z_{\omega}\right] \pi \dot{\sim} \rho \varphi$.
(The decree wohich follored is now lost).
Tachos King of Egypt shared in the general revolt of the Western Satrapies from Persia at the latter end of the reign of Artaxerses II. When that revolt was crushed in 362 b. c. Tachos, in fear of Persia, called in the aid of mercenaries, and secured the assistance of the aged Agesilaos from Sparta (who died on his march homewards to Kyrene in the winter of $361-360$ в.c.), and of Chabrias from Athens. See a good article in Smith's Dict. of Biog. s. v. Tachos; Xen. Ages. ii. 27 foll.; Plut. Ages. $3^{6}$ foll. I follow the chronology of Clinton, F. H. ii, Appendix on the Kings of Sparta (Agesilaos).

## 96.

Relic of Chabrias' Expedition to Egypt : B.C. 362-361 (P).
On a stone (now loat) found somewhere near Memphis: the text in froun BöckhFranz, C. I. G. vol. iii. N'. 4702.

All that the inscription itself reveals is that it is a votive monument to an Egyptian deity, erected by Greek mercenaries some time in the 4 th century b.c. The writing cannot be later. The editors are therefore justified in conjceturing that these were mercenaries in Chabrias' expedition in help of King Tachos (see No. 95). Strabo mentions a Xappíov xápał and a Xappiov áju $\eta$ in the Delta, which donbtless date from this campaign (Strab. pp. 760, 8c3). The metrical lines, of which only a fragment remains, are only restored verdi gratia: the oiкоסomai are the pyramids. Dr. Birch tells me that Távos is Ptah or Pthah (in hieroglyphs Ta-nen or Tatnen) the chief god of Memphis.







бау 'Aцupraios 'Póblos к.r.ג.

The spdreऽa is a table of marble in front of the image to receive gifts and libations: K. F. Hermann, Gottesdiensdiche dltertk. 17. \$ 15.
97.

Alliance between Athens and the Thessalians, against Alezander of Pher甲: B.C. 361-360.

The text is from Köhler, Miltheilungen d. arch. Inst. 1877, pp. 197 foll., ep. p. 291.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta \in o \text { l. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sigma v \mu \mu a x l a$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu \kappa a i$
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 $\lambda[\epsilon] i \nu[\tau]$ oùs




 $\lambda_{\eta}[s]$ bov̂va-





Alexander the tyrant of Pherx (b.c. 368-358). a man of cruel and unscrupulous character, was intent upon enlarging his power at the expense of the autonomous Thessalian towns, The Thessalians accordingly applied to Theles, and the Thebans in repeated campaigns succeeded in checking and finally curbing his ambition, until he was forced to restore the Thessalian towns and content himself within Pheree, becoming a dependant ally of Thebes (Diod. xv. 80 ; Plut. Pelop. 26 foll.). He had previously enjoyed the alliance of Athens (Diod. xv. 71), as our inscription testifies. The death however of Epaminondas in 362 b.c. freed him from fear of Thebes, and he at once manned a flect and proceeded to harass the maritime allies of Athens (Diod. xv. 95 ; Polyæn. vi. 2 ; Dem. in Polycl. 1207-8). These hostilities between Athens and Alezander continned for several years, and the Thessalians, whose freedom be was again assailing, apply to Athens for an alliance against their common enemy. The phrase civac iv rị rerayulvy (fin.) means 'he will
be acting a proper part，＇＇doing his duty＇（cp．Nos．44．l．48， 111．1．63）．
98.

## Amphipolis taken by Philip；the friends of Athens banished：B．C．358－357．

Found at Amphipolis：the text is from Böckh，C．I．G．2008，and（more correctly）Lo Bes，Foyage Archiol．Pt．ii．1418；comp．H．Sauppe，Inscr．Mao． quattuor，Woimar 1847．
$\lambda \omega \nu a$ каі $\Sigma$ траток $\lambda \epsilon-$
a фєо́yect 'A $\mu \phi i \pi 0 \lambda_{l}-$

> 5 เто入ıтécur d̀eıфvyi-
> $\eta \nu$ кaì aỉroùs кaì rov̀s

> toùs és modemious кai
> 10 ขךтоıveì тe日ráraı.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ıó́ќкатor ipòr tồ 'A- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { átas àr } \quad \text { rypáчaı aủr- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\nu$ тà хрэ́mar' aìrov̂ ò $\eta \mu$ -
órıa ไ̆бтш кal aùròs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 23 \text { àєıфuyinr. }
\end{aligned}
$$

What pretext Philip had for attacking Amphipolis in 358 b．c．， after evacuating it in 359，we are not told．Probably during the interval there were two parties within the town，the one desiring a closer union witb Athens as of old，the other leaning
towards Philip: and he was ready enough to take advantage of the faction. At the commencement of his attack envojs were sent to Athens for help, who arrived just as the Athenians were returned from the Eubœean expedition (Dem. Olynth. i. p. 11), and their names were Hierax and Stratokles. Philon must have been another prominent friend of Athens; for upon the capture

 polis, though an Athenian colony (Thuk. iv. 102: B. C. 437), was yet surrounded by Ionic neighbours, and from the first the Attic element in the town was comparatively small (Thuk. iv. 106). The influence of Sparta must have made it still smaller (Demosth. p. 164). The constitution of Amphipolis at this moment was not on the Athenian model : the apoordral suggest an oligarchical system.

$$
99 .
$$

Honours to Athenodoros the Condottiere at Kios:
B.C. 380-356.

Copied by Le Bas in the courtyard of the Metropolitan Church at Ghemin (Kios): entire except on the left. Voyage $A$ rcheol. vol. ini. Pt. V. 1140.

Athenodoros, a citizen of Imbros (Plut. Phok. 18, etc.) and of Athens (Dem. p. 620, etc.), was one of those condotieri, like Charidemos of Oreos and others, whose adventures are a characteristic of Greek history in the 4th century. We hear of him as serving under the Persian satraps (Polymn. v. 21), and
later as the general and kinsman by marriage of Berisades, one of the claimants to the Thracian throne upon the death of Kotys in 360 b.c. (Dem. 624, etc.); in this position he assisted Athens to regain possessiou of the Thracian Cbersonnese, b. c. 358 (Grote, ch. 80 fin.). Isolrates, in an oration $35^{6}$ b.c. (de Pace, 164), speaks of Athenodoros as having 'founded a city' in Thrace. To the same period belongs his encounter with Charidemos narrated by Eneas, Poliork. 24. This was the zenith of his career, and our inscription is of this date : he was then strengthening his position by alliances with neighbouring states. M. Waddington compares the similar alliance (No. 100) between Hermias of Atarneus and the Erythreans. Later on we hear of Alexander imprisoning Athenodoros and others at Sardes, and releasing bim at the request of Phokion (Plat. l.c.; Elian $\boldsymbol{P}$. II. i. 25). We may conjecture Konon (line 2) to have been the son of some admirer of the Athenian admiral, and so named after him.

## 100.

## Hermias of Atarneus; his trea:y with Erythrm:

$$
\text { B.C. } 357 \text { (P). }
$$

The stone is in the British Museam : I have rerised the rext by help of an impreasion. Le Bas-Waddington, PL. V. i536a.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \text { [каlws]. cival be кai "Eppía кal to- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \theta \text { palov]s 'Ep } \mu \text { ia кaì тoís ètaipor- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \theta a \mathrm{al} \text { 8è тò̀]s } \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o v ́ s . ~ \delta \rho \kappa \omega ิ \sigma a l ~ \delta-~
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \nu \text { द̀v oü入oovoi] ais lepoîs tèclot- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ['Epvopaloss к]ai кагà } \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu \text { каі каг̀̀ }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hermias, the cunuch-slave who succeeded to the petty sovereignty of Atarneus and maintained bis independence of the Persian dominion, owes his fame to the friendship of Aristotle, whose unique ode to Virtue was composed in memory of Hermias his benefactor. The facts of his life will be found in any Dictionary: the fullest account is given by Böckh on this inscription (Kleive Schriften, vi. 18j). His league with the Erythrazans may have been in view of the Social war which broke out in 357 b.c. The éraípot are 'comrades' of Hermias who commanded garrisons in torns belonging to his sway. The prospect
 pytna and Priansos treaty, No. 172: the phrase tà is toútwr yeroipera, which answers to that in the Kretan treaty roútwr oi «арпоi, must refer to the offspring of slaves or cattle so bestowed asray. It appears that Erythree rras also independent of Persia: under Alexander and his successors it was equally favoured (see No. 16 $\boldsymbol{q}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ Letter of Antiochos Soter).

## 101.

Decrees of Mylass concerning Maussollos, Satrap of Karia : B.C. 367, 361, 355.

Found at Mylaca; now in the Lourre: the text from Le Bar-Waddingwn, Voyage Archtol. Pt. V. 377-9; cp. Böckh, C. I. G. 2691 c, d, e, and vol. ii. p. 4 -3. The three decrees are on one stone.
A. 39th year of Artaxerxes Mrnemon (в.с. 367 ): 一














 кal тò̀s ${ }^{2} \kappa \epsilon$ lvov $\pi$ devtas.
B. 45th year of Artaxerxes 1 fremon (в.c. 361):-













 15 énérov I[dv]ras.
C. 5th year of Arlazerxes Ochos (B.c. 355):-











 ai tpeís фu入ai, тà Mavíta тov̂ Пaктúw кai Өúqбov




 öal кal aírdy nal roùs $\mathbf{~ z \kappa e l v o u ~ \pi a ́ v t a s . ~}$

'EgatOpánts is a more faithful transcription of the Persian title usually Grecized into varpajns: Maussollos was only ' King' by courtesy (see No. 102). The tpeî фuial are explained by M. Waddington as the three oldest and original Tribes of Mylaea : they formed a sort of comitia curiata, with the formal right of approving the acts of the $\mathbf{z}^{2} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma=(a$. Among the $\pi$ póyovoc of Manssollos (A, line 8) was MıÉbapos $\delta$ Mavaúdou named by Herod. v. is8. For the phrase èv xecpīy rómب (C, line 7) compare Herod. viii. 89: Manitas had resisted with armed force, and had died fighting. The people of Mylasa, who formed part of the Karian satrapy, though enjoying a certain form of independence, were evidently anxious to demonstrate their loyalty to Manssollos. At the same time the facts here recorded betray the existence of a violent and persistent party of opposition to his government.

## 102.

Honours to Maussollos at Frythræ: B.C. 357 (P).
At Erythre (Litri), on the Akropolis: the text from Le Bas-Waddington, Voyage Archeol. Pt. V. 40.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  $\nu \in \tau о \pi] \epsilon \rho\rangle \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ' ${ }^{\rho} \rho \cup-$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| [ $\pi$ ód] ] $\omega$ к кal $\pi \rho \delta ¢ \xi \in \nu 0 \nu$ кal по |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\pi \rho]$ оєठоínv* ráora dè cival do- |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | $\left[{ }^{2 \kappa} \delta \alpha_{\rho}\right] \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \omega ิ \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau a, ~ ' А \rho \tau \epsilon-$ <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Maussollos the satrap of Karia has been immortalized by the tomb reared in his honour by his widow Artemisia. The Mansoleum was discovered by Mr. Newton in 1857, and the most interesting remains of its sculpture are now in the British Museum, including a statue of Maussollos (see Newton, Mislory of Discoreries at Malikarnassos, elc.; Trurels and Discoreries in the Lerent). Maussollos aimed at the subjugation of Ionia, and with that view made an attempt upon Miletos: Lucian, Diul. Infer. 24 ; Polyæn. Straleg. vi. 8. Our decrec reveals that he had purchased the favour of Erythre by some great benefits, doubtless with the same intent. The date is probably в.c. 357, when be ras stirring up Rhodes, Chios, and Byzantion to revolt from Athens in the Social War : see Demosth. de Rhod. lib. 19r, Diod. xvi. 7.

## 103.

The Sooial War ; garrison maintained in Andros: B.C. 358.

```
The text in from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 62; cp. Rangabe, Ant. Hell. 393.
```




```
    \(\mathfrak{y} \Delta\) tózoтos [ \(\Delta\) ]cor \(\lambda\) éovs ' \(A[\gamma \gamma \in \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta]\) -
```





```
    . . .] \(\sigma a \nu \delta \rho o s ~ c i \pi e v ~ \delta \pi \omega s ~[a] \nu ~ d \nu[a r l ? ~\)
    \(\tau] \in[\hat{\omega}] \sigma t \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta[\dot{\eta}] \mu \varphi \tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{\prime} A \theta_{\eta}[\nu a] \kappa \omega \nu[\kappa a-\)
```











```
    oís otpartćtass ro[is \(\mathbf{~ d v}\) "Avofp\%
```





The decree is dated in the gth prytany of Agathokles' year, i. e. about May 356. No wonder that early in the Social War Athens (with the approval of the synod of the league, nard rd
 Andros commanded the Kylilades and Euboca. The difficulty was to maintain the garrison: they were demanding their arrears of pay, and were inclined to levy requisitions upon the Andrians. Following the ductus literarum, I have suggested dvari $\dot{\omega} \sigma t$, i.e. $\dot{d} \beta \lambda a \beta e i s ~ \dot{\omega} \sigma \iota$ Archedemos, one of the ten generals, is selected to see that the garrison is paid out of the - overákets of the islands (see No. 93. § 1).

## 104.

## Athenian Expedition to Eubcea; settlement of the

## Eubcean cities: B.C. 357-358.

The text is from Köhler, in the Míctheilungen d. arak. Inetit. 1877, pp. 209 foll, which is more complete than the text in C.I.A. ii. 64. The original is at Athens.

The beginning is lost: but the date is recovered by the mention of the Archon Agathokles. On the expedition itself see Diod. xvi. 7, and Grote, ch. 86, where all the references will be found. The expedition set forth in the archonship of Kephisodotos ( $35^{8-7}$ B.c.), and this inscription implies that the Thebans had now been expelled from Euboea, and envoys had been interchanged between Athens and Karystos, Eretria, Chalkis, Hestixa respecting the terms of re-entering the Athenian league. By oúveठjoos is meant a 'deputy' representing an allied city at the confederate synod. Menon was also a general in $3^{61}$ в. c., see Demosth. in Polycl. 1210.











 трагтро! . . . . . . . . . Xa . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {'Papyov́ . }}$

 $\Delta \omega \omega \hat{\eta}_{s}$ 'A $\lambda \omega \pi \in \kappa \hat{\eta} \theta \boldsymbol{\sigma}$.

## 105.

Neopolis in Thrace appeals for Athenian protection against Philip: B.C. 356-855.

The stone is at Athens: the text is from Köhler, Hermex, rii. 164 ; C.I. A. ii. 66 (cp. p. 406). The stale is broken at bottom, but surmoonted by a relief (cee Schöne's Griechicchen Reliefs, p. 23. pl. vii. 48); Athens exreading her right hand to a female figure, over whom is inscribed rapeen Virgin (Artemis) of the Thracian Neopolis, see supra, No. sō).
['Ex'] 'Eñivou ap [xovios.
 $\Delta \eta[\mu o \sigma \theta \in ́ v o u s ~ t o v ̂ ~ \Theta c o \xi[t e o v, ~$ $\Delta \iota] o[\sigma \kappa]$ ovpliov rov̂ 'A $\mu \in i \psi[$ [ov.


. . . . . . . . เт $][\nu$. . . of such a deme]
["Eठo] ${ }^{\circ} \in \nu[\hat{\eta}]$ ß
 $\Delta$ собк-

 aya-
 $\gamma^{i} \lambda \lambda$ ovot,
 ${ }^{8} \tau$ -
 resl is lroken, lut the fragments of syllables refer to the making of an alliance and the sending of enroys from Athens for the purpose. The decree closed with a grant of honowrs to the Deopolitan enroys: see the heading.

The Thracian Neopolis had at an carlier date shown loyalty to Athens, B.c. 410 (No. 57). Philip had captared Amphipolis in the first half of 357 ; in the following rear Pydna and Potidæa shared the same fate. Already Philip was preparing to found the city named after himself, with an eye to the gold mines of that region. No ronder that Neopolis turned in
despair to Athens（see Grote，ch． 86 fin．）．Observe that the mover of this decree，Polyeuktos，was the well－known political ally of Demosthenes（Plut．Dem． 23 etc．）．The decree is dated ＇ 9 th prytany of Elpines＇year，＇i．e．early summer of 355 b．c．

## 106.

The Olynthians break with Philip，and make overtures to Athens：B．C． 351.

The text in from Köhler，C．I．A．ii． 105 ：the marble，found on the Akropolis， is entire on top and right only．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { roîs Xa入]кı[ } \delta] \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \tau \omega[\nu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \text { anolher of such a deme]s, } \Phi \text { ai }[2] \text { cт }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { another of such a deme], 'E } \rho[\mu] \text { ı } \pi \pi o s ~ H o ́ p ı o s, ~ \\
& \text { [another of such a deme], 'Aөpviav 'A[ } \rho] a\left[\phi \eta^{\prime}\right] \nu[\text { cos. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [s öpкous к.т.入.] }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is a pity the document is not better preserved：but it is too interesting，even as it is，to be omitted．The Olynthians， and the Chalkidian cities in league with them，having been crusbed，first by Sparta in 379 b．c．，and afterwards by the Athenians under Timotheos in $3^{6+4}$（cp．No．92），had enjoyed by the pleasure of Philip a certain measure of freedom from b．c． 355－4，until it suited Philip＇s convenience to make them in turn his rassals．In 352 they were so alarmed at his change of attitude，that they threw up his alliance and united themselves with Athens．This is described by Demosthenes in Arislocr．p． 656 （a speech delivered between Midsummer and November 352； see E．G．Weber＇s ed．p．ix．foll；and Grote，ch．88）．Our fragment is part of a decree for the swearing of the Olyn－ thians and Chalkidians as allies．The only archon of the time
whose name will fit the lacuna is Theëllos, commonly named Thessalos by the historians (see Rangabé, Antiq. Hellín. ii. p. 543). The names of the ten commissioners who were to go and administer the oaths were enumerated at the head of the decree. Demosthenes (l.c.) speaks of the Olynthians in the autumn of 352 -as already friends and promising to become allies of Athens. In the rst Olynthiac ( $\mathrm{pp} .10-1 \mathrm{I}$ ) it is said that Philip's own conduct had forced them into alliance: this was probably spoken in b.c. 350 , and to this alliance our inscription refers.

## 107.

Honours to a citisen of Apollonia for public eervices :
B.C. 355-854.

The stone is now in the Maseum at Palerno ; it came from Athena. The text is from Köller, C.I. A. ii 70; ep. Böckh, C.I.G. go. It is surnounted by a relief, which in thought to reprement Apollo meated, and Atheas and the nymph Apollonia crowning Lecharea.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ò } \nu \text { be } \gamma \rho a] \mu \mu a r[\langle a] \text { r } \bar{\eta} s \beta[\omega \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} s] d[\nu] a \gamma \rho[\alpha-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ठe т̀̀ } \psi \eta{ }^{\prime} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a \text { к.т.入.]. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Though the decree is not complete we may probably refer the services of Lachares to the first and second campaigns of the

Social War b.c. 357-356. During the same time Philip was strengthening himself in Thrace. Philip did not indeed begin the siege of Methone (line 12) until 353 B.c.; but Amphipolis had been in his hands ever since 358 , and this very year he became master of Pydna and Potidæa. In Dem. Philipp. iii. p. 117, § 26, Methone and Apollonia are named together as





## 108.

Athenian monopoly of ruddle from Keos:
B.C. 350-380.

Found in the Akropolis. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 546; mee Eicckh, Staatsk. ii. p. 350.
§ 1. Fragment of the decree of Karthaa: ...[K]ap0aucuor[4 2] $] \psi[\eta] \phi\left[\sigma\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { al . . }]\end{array}\right.\right.$








 катаАєîva九 . . . . . . т;o]v̂ 'A












The тertjnootin was the harbour duty of 2 p.c. on imports, payable at the Piræeus.


















§ 4. Names of the envoys despatched by Athens to Keos: Olbe
 Фגvev́s, Eùppórvvos Пatavicús. |

These were decrees of three of the towns of Keos, renewing and making more stringent than ever the existing treaty which forbad the export of red-ochre from Keos except only to Athens. The fourth torrn Пovíध $\sigma \sigma a$ is not named, and perhaps it had no mines. Múdios (rulrica, ruddle) was largely used at Athens, both as a drag, and as a pigment in statuary, architecture, painting, and writing. The $\mu$ (גros of Keos was the best, according to Theophrastos, de lap. 51-53. Perhape also the monopoly in importing Keian vermilion enabled Athens to have a monopoly in exporting the various manufactured pigments of which this was an ingredient. Köller's date, determined by the characters, may be trusted.

## 109.

## Alliance of Athens with the kings of Thrace, Pæonis, and Illyria against Philip: B.C. 356.

A broken stele, recently discovered at Athens: the text is from Köhler, C.I.A. Ei pp. 405 foll.; cp. Kumanudes in 'Aөfuauoy, 1876, p. 172.
Diodoros, xvi. 22, mentions the alliance of the three kings






 He does not give their names, nor mention their brothers, nor their alliance with Athens: for these facts we are indebted to the inscription. Coins however of Ling Lyppeos and Ketriporis
 kings, like Potidæa (Dem. Philip. i. p. 50), found Athens but a broken reed to trust to. The news of their submission to his general Parmenion reached Philip at the same time with the news of Alexander's birth, and the victory of his horse at Olympia (Plut. Aler. 3). This decree is dated July 356.















(Here ten or fifteen lines are broken or lost entirely). tò dap[rúpoo-









 [...... $\left.\Theta_{\rho \dot{a}}\right] \sigma \omega \nu\left[E_{\rho}\right]$ xıcé̛s.

For làv 0 é rov èvòcés etc. see No. 131, line 32. Thrason is known from Aschin. in Cles. 138.
§ 2. Next follows the dthenian form of oath:










## 110.

Relations of Leukon, ruler of Pantikapseon, with the Arkadians: B.C. 393-353.

Found at Kertch : beautifully ineaibed Böckh, C. I. G. a103e.

> [ròv इat]úpov Пагтькататtги
> [бreqavஸ̈́al or some similar konour] к.T.A.

Then, as now, a large part of Europe was fed by the harvests of the Crimea and South of Russia. Hence the importance of cities like Olbia and Pantikapæon, and the kingdom of the (Kimmerian) Bosporos, over which Leukon ruled b.c. 393-353. (Grote, ch. 98 ; Clinton's Fasti H. ii. Append. ch. 13, on the kings of Bosporos.) His relations with Athens we shall elsewhere notice (see No. 111). Here the Arbadians, inland people as they were, vote honours to Lenkon, doubtless for favouring them with corn-supplies, and their decree (in its native 生olic) is inscribed at Pantikapæon, whether by command of Leukon, or by Arkadian mercenaries resident there. Cp. Dem. Leptin. pp. 466 foll.

## 111.

Honours to Spartokos and Pærisades, joint kings of Bosporos, and their brother Apollonios: B.C. 347-348.

Lately discovered at Athena. The text is from the 'A0jrauov, 18j-. pp. 152 foll.

$$
\Sigma^{2} \pi a \rho \tau o ́ \kappa \varphi, ~ \Pi a \iota \rho \iota \sigma d \delta \eta,
$$

'A $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \ell \varphi, \Lambda \in \check{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu 0 s \pi a \iota \sigma \ell$. (Space of some eight lines racant).
 ìni rîs Aly


















































35 à] $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ à





$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{v} \pi]_{\eta \rho \in[\sigma \iota] \omega ि \nu \dot{\omega} \nu}^{\nu} \nu \lambda d \beta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a[\tau \in \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta}]-
\end{aligned}
$$


§ 2. Rider proposed by P . . . s: П . . . . . .




Already, on No. 110, we have noticed the importance to the Greeks of the corn-supplies of the Crimea, and have referred to the accounts of the Kingdom of Bosporos in Clinton, F. H. ii. app. ch. 13; Grote, ch. 98 ; and above all Böckh, C. I. G. vol. ii. pp. 80 foll. The Kingdom, called by the Greeks Bosporos, had its capital at Pantikapron (Kertch), and it extended on the W. to Theodosia, which remained independent until it was annexed by Leukon I. (Dem. Lept. 467), his father Satyros I. having died while besieging it. (Harpokr. s. v. ©eodocla.) Nympheon, once a tributary ally of Athens, situated between Bosporos and Theodosia, passed into the Bosporan dominion before the end of the Peloponnesian War, Gylon, the maternal grandfather of Demosthenes, being at the time a leading resident engaged in the corn trade (.Eschin. in Ctes. 171). On the E. of the strait the Bosporan kings possessed towns like Phanagoria and Hermanassa, and beld sway over the various barbarous tribes as far as the neightwourhood of Caucasus. Satyros, who succeeded his father Spartokos II., reigned 407-393 B.c. He was on very friendly terms with Athens (line 23; see Isokrates, Trapezil. 57); and this friendship was carried still further by his son Leukon, apparently the greatest ruler of the dynasty, 393-353 B.c. (cp. Strabo, p. 309, 310). His favours are mentioned by Dem. in Lept. 467 , and also the honours he received at Athens, ilid. 466. The speech against Leptines was spoken b.c. 355. Our decree is dated in the early summer of 346 , in the arohonship of Themistolles, and repeatedly mentions the previous honours voted to Leakon. The mover is Androtion, against whom Demosthenes wrote a speech in B.c. 355. The 'sons of Leukon'
are Spartokos, Perisades, Apollonios; the last being omitted by Androtion, and only included in the amendment (§ 2). Spartokos and Pærisades succeeded their father, reigning jointly, as this inscription proves. Diodoros, xvi. 52, says that Spartokos died after five years of sole reign (in 348), and was succeeded by his brother: this is refuted by our inscription, although we may concede that his reign was short, and that Pærisades soon became sole king. The amodécial (1. 43) are to advance the requisite money out of the military fund pro tem., the sum being considerable, 2000 dr ., and more than the raulas rov̂ $8 \tilde{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ ov had in hand. The name of one of the envoys ( 1.50 ) is connected with the city Theodosia. It appears that the envoys of Spartokos and Pærisades had come to request payment of a sum the Athenians owed them, perhaps for corn (1l. 53 foll.), and to enlist at Athens sailors to man the king's ships (ixrlpefias). The
 third brother, Apollonios (ll. 66 foll.), is not otherwise known : it is clear that he was not associated in the government.

## 112.

Renewal of alliance with Mytilene: B.C. 847-346.
Found on the Akropolis: the toxt in from Waddington, in Eermea, iv. p. 426; Eähler, C. I. A. ii. 109.


 dтever' Өєóф
5 тet' ET'фалоs 'Avtiowplove 'E[. . . . . s]








d\&cos [ . . . .] y九ү

It is remarked by A. Schäfer (Demosth. und seine Zeit, i. 434 fol.) how neglectful the Athenians were,-in spite of the warnings of Demosthenes,-in maintaining their ascendancy in the Fgean and the islands. The coast of Asia Minor was of course in the hands of satraps or of native princes dependent on the Persians. Idrieus, brother and successor of Maussolos in Karia, had seized Chios, Kos, and Rhodes (Dem. de Pace, p. 63). Lesbos also, which had remained faithfol to Athens during the Social War, and is named as an ally in B.c. $35^{2}$ (Dem. in dristocr. p. 667), was now in the hands of 'tyrants,' who were finally expelled by Alexander (No. 125), but whose existence meanwhile implied the suppression of the democracy and of the Athenian interest. Kammes of Mytilene is expressly called 'enemy of Athens' (Dem. adv. Baot. p. 1019; a speech spoken in the very

 ${ }^{\text {ex }} \mathrm{X}$ Opós ${ }^{\text {éctıv. It }}$ seems that Phædros later in the year had expelled Kammes, upon which the restored democracy renew their friendship with Athens. Envoys came from Mytilene, supported by a letter from Phædros, and by the testimony of the ' Treasurer of the Paralos.' This last was an office of some distinction (Demosth. in Mid. p. 570), for, as the two state ships Salaminia and Paralos (like our Royal Yachts) were fitted out, not by the $\lambda$ ecroupyia of individuals, but at the state expense, the rauias had the management of the sums voted for the purpose.

## 113.

Encroachments of Philip upon his neighbours; Arybbas the expelled king of the Molossi received at Athens: B.C. 343 (P).

Found in 1840 on the Akropulia. The rext is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 115 ; cp. Rangabe, Ant. Ielleniques, 388 ; A. schäfer. Demouthenes und seine Zeil, ii. 397 foll.
§ 1. The leginning is lost; this is part of a tpoßoúdeuna :-




$i$ ßouncưougav kal toùs $\sigma$ т-
10 partyoùs roùs àel otparn-
yoûdtas кal éd̀ tis ă $\lambda \lambda 10$ -










râcìval èv daкротólcı, els


$25 \Delta \Delta \Delta$ ठрахщàs ìк т têv катà $\psi \eta$. фíquara $\mu \in \rho \iota \zeta \rho \mu \dot{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \nu \bar{\psi}$



30 кal roùs $\mu \in \tau$ ' 'Apúßßov ท̈коע-


 $\nu$ 'Apú $\beta$ Bas $\lambda$ é $\gamma \in$ c.
§ 2. Decree of the people approring the xpoßoúnerpa :-


$[r] \iota s^{\prime} A \rho u ́ \beta \beta a[\nu] \beta[\iota a i] \psi \theta[a v \alpha-$
$\tau] థ$ атоктеlyn $\dot{\eta}$ т $\tau \hat{\nu} \pi[a<\delta]-$

ràs aùràs т $\tau \omega[\rho]$ las aif[ $\pi \in \rho$



द̀ кail rov̀s $\sigma$ тpart
$\nu$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma[\hat{\omega}] \sigma \iota$ ö $\pi \omega s$ 'A $\rho[\dot{\nu} \beta \beta a]$ -




(Underneath the three crowns is a fragment of relief, representing a four-horsed chariot, with a winged Victory alighting wpon it).

Alketas king of the Molossi, who joined the new Athenian alliance in 378 b.c. (see No. 81), left two sons, Neoptolemos and Arybbas (see Pausan. i. 11 , 1 ; Plut. Pyrrl. 1 ; Drorsen, Hellenismus, table iii.). The mention of Neoptolemos' name in No. 81 together with his father's would show that he was the elder son, and associated already in the kingdom by his father. On the death of Alketas however the succession was disputed, and we find Arybbas and Neoptolemos dividing the kingdom between them, Arybbas being married to his brother's danghter Troas. Neoptolemos died early (certainly before 357 b.c.) learing a son and daughter, Alexander and Olympias; these were brought up in the house of their uncle Arylbas, who now reigned alone. Anxious to extend his influence, Arybbas secured Philip of Macedon for his niece's hand, and so gave Philip an opportunity of aggression which he was not slow to use (Jostin. vii. 6). First of all he insisted on taking the child Alexander under his own charge (Justin. viii. 6), an interference referred to by Demosthenes (Ol. i. p. 13, and Schol.), -and as soon as the youth was twenty years of age Arybbas was expelled and Alexander made king as Philip's puppet. This happened probably in 343 or 342 b.c. Arybbas fled with his two sons, Alketas and Wialides, the latter being afterwards the father of the famous king Pyrrhos. Our inscription shows that Arybbas was welcomed at Athens, on the strength of the friendship of Athens with his father Alketas (No. 81) and grandfather Tharypas. The exiled ling takes the opportunity of recording at the foot
of the slab his victories at the games. On the provision about the $\pi$ putárets in 1.14 foll. 800 No. 28.

## 114.

Struggle between Athens and Philip for the Thracian Chersonnese; Envoys from Elaius at Athens: B.C. 841-840.
Found at the Propylem The toxt is from Cartius, Hermea, iv. 407; Köhler, C.I. A. i. 116
i roîs' 'Eגalourloss rà aùrà ă $\pi$ [ep]
covalous $2 \pi i$ ठeixvon el[s rd $\pi \rho \nu]$ -
raveíon els aüploy.

It was essential to the safety of Athens to retain her hold upon the Hellespont, and so secure her corn-supply from the Euxine. The Chersonnese had been an ancient possession of Athens from the days of Miltiades; and in 3.53 B.c., after the capture of Sestos by Chares, and again under Diopeithes in 343 B. C., fresh Kleruchs were eent out (Grote, ch. 87 and 90 ; Schäfer, Dem. n. a. Zeit. i. 402 ; ii. 421). These are the 'Atppaior iv Xeppoviry of our decree. We are told in the Argument of Dem. de Cherson. that all the towns in Chersonnese (except Kardia, which was in the hands of Philip) received the settlers with good grace-glad perhape of any help against Macedon. As
however Diopeithes was not supported with money from Athens, and had to pay and feed his troops as he could, there might naturally be complaints made to Athens against him and his kleruchs. This decree enjoins that Chares shall take care that the interests of Elaius shall be respected in the same way as had been provided for the other towns of the Chersonnese. Chares was afterwards replaced by Phokion in the relief of Byzantion (Grote, ch. 90 ; Schäfer, ibid. ii. p. 475). On the circumstances connected with this decree see the speeches of Demosthenes, de Cherson., and Philippic iii.

## 115.

Honcurs from Athens to a Byzantine citisen : B.C. 340 ( $P$ ).
Found on the Akropolis. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. ing.
§ 1. Conclusion of $\pi \rho \circ \beta o v i \lambda \in v \mu a:-$
. . . [eival $\delta$ è $\kappa$ -


a] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ aOóv.
§ 2. Rider moved in the assembly:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { drךs 'A } \theta \eta \nu[\text {. . . . . . . . . . . } \epsilon]-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ' } \mathrm{A} \pi \in \lambda \lambda \eta ̂ s \mathbf{Z} \omega[\pi \cup ́ \rho o v ~ B u \zeta \alpha ́ \nu \tau] \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { v̀'A } \theta \eta v a l(\omega \nu \text { [kal roîs orpa]- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \eta^{\prime} \mu \varphi \in i \nu a\left[\iota^{\prime} A \pi \in \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu \mathbf{Z}_{\omega \pi}\right] \text {. } \\
& \text { ч́pov Buऽd[vTเov } \pi \rho \delta \delta \leqslant \nu 0] \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { v то仑े 'A } \theta \eta v[a l \omega v \text { av่гठv каl] }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { द aùrov̂ то[ús тe orparøyo- }
\end{aligned}
$$

> as ка[i] ग̀̀े [ $\beta_{0}$ ou入̀̀v кal тò̀]-
> s $\pi \rho v t a v[$ cis oltuees ầ $\lambda$ -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma] \nu \nu \pi[\rho] a ́ \tau[\tau \omega \sigma \iota \text { oí ầ } \delta \text { ঠ́nта. }
\end{aligned}
$$

> p]okєvโav [ròv ypauнатєa
> r] $̀ \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ката̀ $\pi$ [ритаveíà каl

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda] \eta[s] \text { ठov̂va[ı rò̀ taulav ro]- }
\end{aligned}
$$

The decree has lost its date，but it very probably refers to the defence of Byzantion against Philip．

## 116.

Tenedos rewarded（for help at Byzantion P）：B．C． 840.
Two fragmente found on the Akrypolis．The toxt is from Köhler，C．I．A． ii． 117 ．


 ［name of his father and his deme．Ka］ג入ıcparns Xapozizov［ ．．．



 seems to be lost between the first fragment and the second．）［．．．．
 $\mu a] \tau a \quad \delta \sigma[a$



 ．．．．．．｜．．．．．€］кaì els tìv $\mathbf{2 v \times u ́ x}[\lambda \iota o \nu$
 ऽeotat aùroùs els r［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．｜．．．．］raûra lws















The archonship of Theophrastos, B.c. 340-339, is memorable as the year in which Athens finally declared war with Philip, and, ly following up with arms the successful diplomacy of Demosthenes, saved Byzantion and the Cbersonnese. In this decree, mutilated as it is, we find the people of Tenedos, and Aratos (their general?), and also their deputy at the confederate synod ( $\sigma$ vé $\delta$ pos), are crowned and praised for help given ( $\beta o \eta \eta \theta e c a$ ) and money lent. Tenedos is to be exempt from tribute (oívtałis) for the whole of next year, and her loan is to be repaid. Köhler is probably right in referring these services of the Tenedians to the defence of Byzantion. On the importance of Tenedos (i.e. Besika bay) in connesion with the corn-ships from the Euxine cp. Demosth. p. 217.

## 117.

Honours to one Bularchos who was Taklapxos in the Chæronee campaign: B.C. 339-338.
Found on the Akropolis: the taxt is from Köller, C. I. A. ii 562 ; mee Kirchhoff, Nonather. d. Berl. Akad. 18\$3, pp. 9 foll.
§ 1. End of decree of the Bount :






§ 2. A decree of the Tribe, inscribed an the same stone:








Within crowns at the foot:


The restoration of these fragments is due to Kirchhoff, who got his clue from the following dedication upon a statue-base


 raflapxot see No. 19. Bularchos had fought in those earlier engagements which preceded the decisive battle: Dem. de Cor.

 This was about Feb. $33^{8}$ в. c.; see Schäfer, Demoshenes, etc. ii. p. 528.

## 118.

Honours to Akarnanians who had fought on the Athenian side at Chsoronea: B.C. 338-337.

Found at the Propylea in 1852 . The teast in fren Kithler. C. I. A. ii. 121 ; coceap. Meier, Commentatio Epigraph. ii. pt. 2: Voleon, Vonatsber. L. Bert. Aked. 1856, p. 115 : Schïfer, Demoth. w. seise Zeit, iii. p. 46.


























. . . . . . . . . . . . . .]o [. т]ap' 'AӨךvaio[v] кaì ràs cloфорàs













The fidelity of the Akarnanians to Athens has been deacribed on No. 83: Livy (xxxiii. 16), speaks of the 'fides insita genti.' There is little doubt that the occasion referred to in the words
 the battle of Chæronea, which was fought in August $33^{8}$ в.c. The heading of our decree is partly restored from that of C.I.A. ii. 122 : it is dated May 337. Diodoros, xvii. 3, speaks of rois is $\xi$
 -Pbilip immediately after Chæronea having subdued Akarnania and banished the partisans of Athens. Among these were the brothers Karphinas and Phormion, whose grandfather Phormion had received the Athenian citizenship. When we remember the strong affection of the Akarnanians for the Athenian general Phormion in the Peloponnesian War (Thak. iii. 7), we conclude with confidence that the great-grandfather of the brothers had become the guest-friend of the famous general, and had named his son after him.

## 118.

Athenian Dikasts' tickets: 4th century B.C.
Three amall broase plates, in inch thick. Expoeed in the case among the other Bronzes in the Britiah Musenm.

a. An owl currounded by an olive wreath, with the letters AOA $={ }^{\circ} \mathrm{AO}$ (micos) . Published in the Bulldin de Correopondance Hellenigme, vol ii. 1878 : p. 536.
(2) $\mathbb{\square}$ EINIAE「. $\Delta \in \iota \nu$ las | 'Alaceús.
(a) ANAIEY乏
(6).(C)
a. Owl within alive wreath. b. Double owl. a. Gorgore's bead. Publiabed by Bickh, C. I. G. N•. 208 ; Bullatin, Bbid. p. 536.
(3)

E. 'ApXilioxos Фaגŋpcús.
a. An imperfect ctamp.

For a fuller discussion of these tableta, the reader is referred to Dumont, Reoue Archéol. 1868, p. 140; C. Curtius, Rhein.

Museum, 1876, p. 283; where other tablets of the kind are given. See also Scholiast on Aristoph. Plut. 277 ; Schömann, Opusc. i. 203 foll., Altische Process, 127 ; K. F. Hermann, Gr. Staatsalterth. $1^{5}$. § 134, 11. Every vear the nine arehons, assisted by a secretary, selected by lot (from among all citizens who applied) 600 from each tribe, who were thereupon drafted into ten divisions of 500 each, the odd 1000 standing in reserve to fill up vacancies. Each received a tablet ( $\pi \iota \nu a ́ k i o v$ ) stamped with his name, with the number of his division (expressed by the letters $A$ to $I$, so that the $\pi \iota \nu \alpha к ı a ~ w e r e ~ o f t e n ~ c a l l e d ~ \gamma p a ́ \mu \mu a \tau a), ~$ and with the owl or gorgoneion which served, so to speak, as the seal of the Athenian republic. This $\pi \iota \nu \alpha \kappa c o z$ is not to be confused with the $\sigma \dot{j} \mu \beta 0 \lambda o v$ or voucher which the dikast received apon being impanelled to try a case, and which qualified him to receive his $\tau \rho \iota \omega$ 自o ${ }^{2} o \nu$. Nor have the letters anything to do with the letters by which the various courts were marked. Dikasts of different divisions might be impanelled together to try the same case; and the number of dikasts impanelled raried greatly according to the nature of the case, only the number was generally an odd one. Of the three $\pi$ wáxta printed above, the first two belonged to the third division, the last to the fifth. They were found in tombs; it was perhaps usual to bary a dikast's $\pi$ ıváxıov with him : and this lends a grim force to the



## PARTV.

## FROM CH ARRONEA TO THE DEATH

## OF ALEXANDER.

B. C. $33^{8-323 .}$
120.

Peace of Demades; Alkimachos the Macedonian, honoured at Athens : B.C. 837-336.

Fragment foumd in the Akropolis: it was eurmounted by a relief, which etill reveale the figure of Athena seated on the left. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 123 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\Theta] \subset[o \text { i. }]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \text { Kapto [тратоs 'A }
\end{aligned}
$$

 The names of Chærestratos' father and deme are restored from other decrees of this date (cp. No. 121, and C. 1. A. 125 foll.). It is probable that this stele (the international importance of which is indicated by the nature of the relief) contained the grant of citizenship to Alkimachos and Antipater, Philip's generals, which was probably carried by Demade: : see Harpokr. e.c.


 p. 30. Alkimachos was the brother of Lysimachos, see Droysen, Hellenismus, i. 1. p. 201.

## 121.

Honours to some one who befriended the Athenians at the Court of Philip: B.C. 887-386.

Found on the Akropolis. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 124; cp. Veleen, Rhein. Mus. xi. 598.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\Theta] \in o[i] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\nu \delta]$ lovidoos $\delta e x$ árns $\pi \rho v[$ Tavelas, in
$\Delta] \eta[\mu d \delta \eta s \quad \Delta \eta \mu \epsilon]$ ov Пatavicè [s cixev.
10 . . . . . . . . . . . . $] \delta \rho \rho[\nu$. $] r[\ldots . .$.
25 axp]à[s] кaтà тòv עó~оע.

With sufficient certainty the name of Demades is restored as the mover of the resolution, which grants apokevia to a Macedonian who had assisted Demades in negotiating the peace with Philip.

## 122.

Honours to Aristomachos of Argos for his services to Athens : B.C. $335(P)$.

Found on the Akropolin. The teat is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 161; cp. id. in Hermes, v. pp. 3 foll.
§ 1. (The beginning is lost:) recital of the services of Aristomachos' father in the Korinthian xar:-[8vं]-
$\nu a \mu \iota \nu$ a $\pi o \sigma[r] \in \lambda \lambda o[\mu \hat{\prime} \nu] \eta s \quad \sigma \tau \rho a r[\iota a ̂ s . . .$.







§ 2. Next comes a recital of the services of Arislomachos himeself:[ $2 \pi$ etoìे]











 коий̀ каi i8ía] 'A A



It is a pity the document is imperfect, as it might have cleared up our knowledge of the obscure history of the times referred to. In § 1 Aristomachos' father is said to have rendered service to an Athenian force sent into Argolis to help the Argives in resisting a common enemy. This no doult
refers to the Korinthian war n.c. 394 foll. (Xen. Hell. iv. 2, 17); to which period Curtius assigns the brilliant engagement at

 ^aкe $\delta a \mu \mu_{0}$ lovs $2 \nu(\kappa \eta \sigma a \nu)$, a victory which made much noise at the time, though the philo-Lakonian Xenophon omits it (Curtius, Gr. Gesch. iii. ${ }^{4}, 192$ ). His father had also contribated to the rebuilding of the Athenian walls in 393 B.c., a work which was helped on not only by the crews of Pharnabazos but by the


§ 2. deals with Aristomachos himself. He had sympathised with those aspirations for liberty which were expressed at Athens, Argos, and elsewhere, upon Philip's death (Diodor. xvii. 3), or perhaps during Alexander's Illyrian expedition at the time of the Theban rising (ilid. 8). At the final conclusion of peace, when Alexander visited Korinth, Aristomachos had used all his influence and wealth on behalf of Athens.

## 123.

Alexander in Ionia: Summer of 334 B.C.
On two of the anterstones from the temple of Athena Polias at Priene, the fragmente of which are now in the British Museum.


т $\omega \nu$ ठ $\sigma 0 \iota \mu \bar{\nu} \nu$ cis $\kappa \ldots$
(Here a dozen lines or more are hopelessly matilated).





$\phi^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot$.
This edict was issued by Alexander after the reduction of the Greek cities on the coast. Priene was among the cities which submitted without a blow, and therefore might expect some favours (cp. No. 124). Naulochon is named by Pling, N. II. v. 29 ; it was a small port at the mouth of the Mrander (Le BasWaddington, Toyage Arch. Pt. 5, No. 186).

## 124.

## Alexander in Ionis: Summer of 834 B.C.

On a large block from the Temple at Priene: now tn the gallery of the Britich Museum.

<br>àvéOךкє тঠ̀ עадे<br>

After the victory of Granikos, on his march southwards, Alexander seems to have spent some little time at Ephesos, where he found the Artemision (burned down on the night he was born) had nearly finished rebuilding. Strabo repeats a



 $\theta$ eois deratínata кaraбкєva̧ew. We may suppose that Alexander risited Priene also, and found the Prienians less scrupulous or less wealthy (cp. Droysen, Hell. i. 1. 202).
125.

Revolutions at Fresos: B.C. 83s-301.
Fragments of a block of marble inseribed on three sideo- $A$ (front), $B$ (side), and $C$ (back). The upper parte of $A$ and $C$ are wanting. Published by Conse, Reive auf der Insel Leshos, pp. 35 foll.: Sauppe, Commentatic de duabue incer. Leab.; Caver, Delretke, No. 123 ; but far mare accurataly by Kirchhofi, in Droyeen's Eid. leniemus, 15 -s, vol. ii. $2, \mathrm{pp} .363$ foll. I have followed Kirehbof, verifying his texth and in part improving it by help of a number of impresimes made by Mr. Nowton at Leaboe, where the marbles remain.
[§ 1. Inseribed upon the lost mpper half of $\mathbf{A}$ was a 'Law against the Tyrants,'-vónos кarà rồ rupávvewr,-oftem referred to in the following documents.]
[§ 2. Probably there seas also here a record of the Judgment upon
 lines 130 foll.]
§ 3. Judgment delicered apon the tyrant Agonippos (the beginning is lost):

## A.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . тоіs $\pi 0 \lambda[1] o p к \eta \theta \&[\nu t a s$







каs кal tals Ouyarépas ov





















 бar.
§ 4 Docree refusing restoration to Heroidas and Agesinenes, deacendants of the earlier Tyrants:









（The rest is lost，the bottom of A being broken）．
§ 5．A second judgment delitered upon Lgonippos（the beginning is lost from the bottom of A）：

## B．

таре $] \lambda \in \tau[0] ~ \tau \grave{d}[8 \pi \lambda a \mathrm{\kappa al}$

$\left.\lambda_{l}\right]$ os паш $\mathbf{\alpha}$ аи，таі［s

$\theta$ ］oyatépas $\sigma 0 \lambda \lambda a \beta$［む̀
ci］$p \xi \in$ els tàv［akp］óno－


so кoбlots $\sigma$ rarîpas，тa［ $\nu$ ］



к］al ovyкartкavoe

к］plvvar $\mu$ ѐv aùròv
［к］риттặ 廿афloct［ка－
r］à tàv bıcappaфà̀ $\tau[\hat{\omega}$

$60 \mathrm{k}]$ al rois vómots＇［al 8k
к］е катаұафьの市




［x］ecporovías，rlva



к]ail ovvaropous tà $[\nu]$
70 $\pi$ óduv 8éка, oltıves
[b] $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ' $A \pi[\delta \lambda \lambda \omega-$
ข]a イúxeเov [नvva-

l 8 ¢] xє ...
(Here the stone is broken, and there is a lacuna of several lines).



d́pXo] ]rt каl $\beta$ aOoév[ $\tau$ -




[ $\pi a]$ рà тois vóноเs каl
тà 8ixara 8ıкаکóv-
85 тєббt (sic) тà èvavtia• ơ-
$\mu \nu v \nu 82$ rois $\pi 0 \lambda$ lt[ats]
tois 8 unḑovtas.
[ $\nu$ ]al вıкd́ $\sigma \sigma \omega$ ràv [8lкал


$\mu$ ]ous, rà ote bula iк [фıло-
$\pi]$ ovias is ápıбтa ка $[$ i
8]ıкаíтата (sic), каі тна́-
[ $\sigma$ ] $\omega$, aiкe катаүv̂̀, $\delta \rho \theta \hat{\omega}[s]$

vai $\mu$ м̀ $\Delta$ la каl "A入ıо
§ 6. Rescript of King Philip Arrkidaos (в. с. 323-317) reaffirming the judgments against the Tyrants delivered under Alexander:
$\Phi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{1} \pi \omega^{\circ}$

ben xplocets al apete[[]]-


[¿]v кardyow фuỳ̀v фev-


§ 7. Letter of king Antigonos (Momophthalmos, B. c. 306-301) concerning the sons of Lgonippos:

105 Прótavis Me入ldopos*
Bafilè̀s 'Avtlyovos
'Epeot $\omega \nu$ Tî $\beta$ ou入 $\hat{p}$
 тарєүใขоуто тро̀s $\eta_{-}$-
$110 \mu a ̂ s$ ol $\pi a \rho$ ' ن̣ $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \ell[\sigma]$ -

$\phi \alpha \mu \in \nu 0 \iota \tau \dot{\tau} \nu \delta \hat{\eta}_{\mu} \mu \nu$
 $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ '̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta े \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \nu ~(' \gamma \rho[d]-$

$[\pi] o v$ vî̀v, $\psi \eta{ }^{\prime} \phi \stackrel{\sigma \mu \alpha}{ } \tau \in \pi[0-$ $\eta \quad \eta] a \sigma \theta a \iota \&$ d̀ $\nu \ell \gamma \nu \omega \sigma a[\nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̄] \nu$, каi aútov̀s $\mathbf{a} \pi \epsilon[\sigma-$ tanktval?]
(Here is a consideralle lacuna, something being broken from the bottom of B , and the upper half of C ).
C.
$\qquad$
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 'A ${ }^{\prime}$.
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\notin p \rho \rho \omega \sigma \theta c$.
§8. Final decree of the Eresians reaffirming the precions mactments against the Tyrants and their families:




vшע . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] кaì rais ypaфais































On the general political movements of this period,-the growth of oligarchies and tyrannies in the Xgean under the Persian influence, the factions fomented by Philip, the motive and the results of Alexander's edict recalling the exiles,-the reader is referred to the notes on Nos. 112, 126, and 131. As to Eresos, it joined the new Athenian Confederacy in 378 b. c. (No. 81), and no doabt remained democratic antil the Social War in B. c. 357. Then followed a time during which, under Persian influence, Eresos was in the hands of 'tyrants.' We gather from lines 37, $3^{8}$, and $13^{8}$ that three of these earlier tyrants were brothers named Hermon, Heroos, and Apollodoros, who perhape succeeded
each other in power: for 'A 8\&́pov, and lines 37 foll. are equivalent to 'Hputza rov̂ Teptıxஸ̂pos
 a $\omega \dot{\nu} \in \epsilon$ os, ${ }^{\text {'Hpáctos being }}$ Wolic patronymics). That Apollodoros was tyrant last of the three explains the circumstance that his children are classed with grandchildren of the other two (lines 137-139): indeed they may have been too young in 324 B.c. to join personally in the application referred to in \& 4 , where they are not named; although they were virtually included in that application (line 138). It does not appear in what way this dynasty came to an end; probably by a revolution, in which perhaps Theophrastos the philosopher had a hand (see Plut. ado.
 also Non posse suav. vivi sec. Ep. : Өєофphorov кal Фeiolov roès
 was for a time restored, another tyrant soon appeared in Eurysilaos (lines 130, 134), a man of a different family. Following Droysen's reconstruction of the history, we find that Earysilaos must have been expelled and the democracy restored by the time of the battle of Granikos, 334 B.c. In the Demosthenic oration, De fad. Alex. p. 213 (spoken B.c. 333), Alexander is taunted with his inconsistency in maintaining tyrants in Messenia, and expelling them from Eresos.

The liberation by Alexander in 334 B.c. was shortlived; for in 333 the Persian admiral Memnon (Arrian, ii. 1, 1) sailed against Lesbos to detach the towns from Alexander. Memnon's siege of Eresos is referred to in lines 1, 2. The subject of airo $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{d} \lambda \eta \sigma e$, etc. is 'Ay'uvintos. This man seized the opportunity to escape to the Persian lines, and then by the help of Memnon made himself tyrant of Eresos. All this, and his other iniquities, form the subject of § 3. He was of course violently anti-Macedonian, and destroys an altar of Zeus Philippios, erected in honour of Alexander's father. After a short but cruel reign, he flies to Alexander with a lying version of his late proceedings. How be was received we are not told; but the Eresians formally declare him an outlaw (lines 1-32). The גaïotal or גnoral are the mercenary troops left behind by Memnon.

The date of $\S 4$ is just after the recall of the exiles in s.c. 324 Heroidas and Agesimenes, grandsons of the former tyranta, Herseos
and Hermon, had appealed to Alexander to be allowed the benefit of this edict. Many such applicants flocked to Alexander at Babylon (cp. Diod. xvii. 113). The Eresians, by permission of Alexander, refused them retarn,-though the decree is here broken off. It was moved by Hagnodamos (line 33).
§ 5 belongs to the same date as § 4. It records a second trial of Agonippos' case. He too had claimed restoration under the edict of recall. But Alexander had dealt with him as with other

 the Eresians, deciding this time not only by their native laws
 roùs vómots (lines 37 foll.), re-affirm their condemnation of Agonippos.
§ 6 informs us that some of the exiles already named, after failing to obtain restoration under Alexander, applied in vain to his successor Philip Arrhidæos (b.c. 323-317) to reverse the prerious decisions given above.
§ 7. The sons of Agonippos (who is now dead) being banished from Eresos, had applied to King Antigonos (b.c. 306-301), who had written a letter in their favour to the Eresians (lines 114 foll.). The Eresians had replied with a decree (line 116), which they had forwarded to Antigonos (lines 109 foll.). To their decree the king replies in this letter, in which no doubt he gives his consent to the perpetual banishment of the sons of Agonippos.
§ 8 is a final decree of the Rresian people, based on a прозоi$\lambda$ елиа (line 123), reciting and re-affirming their previous decisions and enactments against 'the tyrants;' both those members of their families who had once lived in the city (oikn $\theta$ entenv lines 149, 156), and their children who had always lived in exile. It should be remembered that restoration from exile meant not coly recovery of political status, and return to native soil, but also the recovery of lands and property confiscated.

## 126.

Revolutions at Chios in the time of Alecander:

$$
\text { B. C. } 882 \text { (P). }
$$

Inscription found at Chion; published by Kirchhoff, Monatcb. d. Berlin. 4 kad. 1863, p. 265 ; Sauppe, Commentatio do duabus inseriptionibue Lesbiacts, p. 30.
§ 1. Decree for the restoration and decoration of the statue of Philitos the tyramaicide:

 кóvos т̂̂s Фı入ltov, тồ ãoктelvavtos










$\nu$ ठè aùroîs tò̀ катд̀ $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu a$ тацlav. $\delta \pi \omega \omega$ sè каӨapòs

 roùs àyoparónous.

Line 5 : 'that the erection of the statue was a protest against themselves.' Line 12 : 8caoto入ipy, 'specification;' cf. Polyb. xvi. 14; xxi. 1. Line 13: apxurtктcov, 'the contractor.'
§ 2. Additional resolution, moved by the same person, that the monthly treasures of the state-funds shall supply the commissioners of the market with money for the decoration of the statue:
'E









. . . . . . $\pi \omega \lambda$ ô̂vtes tàs むעàs $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \tau \iota ~ . ~ . ~ . ~$

The decline of Athenian influence in the Figean, and the consequent extension of Persian dominion, favoured the growth of oligarchies and tyrannies in the islands (see Nos. 112, 125, 131). It has been remarked (see No. 131), that Philip impartially assisted any faction which promised to further Macedonian interests : and herein Alexander followed his example. The consequence was a perpetual series of revolutions throughout the cities of the Ægean, which supplied Aristotle with abundance of material for his political speculations, and explains at once the importance and the wisdom of Alexander's recall of the exiles in 334 в. c. As to Chios, we know that in $351-0$ b.c. it was under an oligarchy (Dem. de Rhod. lib. p. 196). Then the government seems to have passed into the hands of a tyrant supported by Persia, like Agonippos at Eresos (No. 125). It is at this point in the succession of events that our inscription begins to afford us information. Aided probably by Pbilip, the $8 \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ effected a revolution, the tyrant being assassinated by Philitos. Then, in 333 b.c., Memnon with the Persian fleet effects a counter-revolution and restores the oligarchs (Arrian, ii. 1. 1), who deface the statue of the trrannicide. Lastly, in $33^{2}$ B. C., the exiled $\delta{ }_{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ made a violent effort to return, in which they were effectually assisted by Hegelochos and Amphoteros, the Macedonian commanders. The Persian garrison was expelled, and the leaders of the oligarchy were banished to Egypt, to the island of Elephantine (Arrias, iii. 2, 3-7; Curtius, iii. 1. 3).

## 187.

Seuthes king of the Odryse communicates with Athens: B.C. 831-380.

Found near the theatre at Athens. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. p. 412. A hove the honding is a reliof: a man approches Athena, holding a patera; behind him are two horses (ep. Druysen, Halen. i. 1, p. 392 n.).


```
        \(\Theta \in o[i]\).
```







```
    pó \(\theta \in[0 s\) 'A \(\lambda a]\) !cús'
```






The date is Jane 330 b.c., Alerander having defeated Darius at Arbela in Octoler 331. Meanwhile the effect of his absence was seen in various morements in the direction of Greek liberty. (压schin. in Ctes. 164 foll. deseribes the hopes of the antiMacedonian party at that time.) The chief rising was under Agis in the Peloponnese in the spring of 330 в. C ., which was promptly crushed by Antipater in one decisive battle in Arkadia. Antipater was however hampered in reaching Peloponnese by the critical state of N. Greece. In Thrace Memnon the Macedonian commander had revolted, and Zopyrion had rashly invaded Scythia and met with disaster. Our inseription shows that the Odryse shared in the movement, and were acting in concert with the rising in Greece proper. Seathes sends his son Rebulas to Athens, and perhaps to other Greek states. Although welcomed at Athens, as this inscription proves, he was not able to effect anything. The Athenians took no part in the revolt, and Demosthenes himself, while warmly sympathising with the
movement, did not counsel more decided action (Diod. xvii. 62, 63 ; Droysen, Hell. i. 1. 392 ; Q. Curtius, x. 1. 43, seems to refer to these events, but he is out in his chronology, see Schäfer, Demosth. iii. 183).

## 128.

Administration of Iykurgos; building of the Theatre and Stadion: B.C. 830-329.

Found ou the Akropolis : the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 176; compare Velsen in Archäol. Zeitung (Anreige), 1859, p. 70*.







 . . . . .] Ẻ̉wvข





$15 \delta] \rho a x \mu a ̀ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ v v v[z \pi] c[\delta \ell \delta] \omega[\kappa \in v]$
 каі то仑̂ $\theta \in \alpha ́ \tau \rho o v ~ т о и ิ ~ П а \nu a \theta \eta[\nu a i ̈]-~-~$ кov̂ xinca Scứm каl taûta



 фаvஸ̂नal aijò[v $\theta a \lambda] \lambda o(\hat{v})$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi[d \nu \varphi]$
civolas Їvera ग̂̀s cls ròv





```
    lvкт\eta\sigma!\nu \gamma\etâs кal o[l]к[l]as каl
30 \sigmaт\rhoatev́\epsilon\sigma0al aưrd̀\nu \tauàs
    \sigmaт\rhoattàs каì ràs eloфopàs
    \epsilonl\sigma\phi<́\rho\epsilon\iota\nu \mu\epsilon\tauà 'A0\etaval\omega\nu.
```



```
    \tauдे\nu \gamma\rhoа\mu\muат\ellа т\etaिs \betaov\lambda\etâs ка[i]
```



```
    \alpha\nua\gamma\rhoaф\età\nu r\etâs \sigmaт\etá\lambda\eta[s \deltaovi]va[l
    \tauд̀v \taua\mulav \tauov̂ ठ %'\mu[ov . .]
```



```
    \phi(\sigma\muата d\nuа^ь\sigmaко\mu\epsilon[[\nu\omega\nu т\varphiे]
40 ठ\etaं\muц.
```

The financial administrations of Lykurgos began in mid-
 years, until midsummer 326. His public services are recounted by Plutarch, Vit. X. Oratt., Comp. No. 145. Of his public works the chief were the repair of the great Theatre, and the building of the Panathenaic Stadion. In 1. 17, the stone-cutter ought to
 Ll. 15 foll.: Seîyos is a cart and pair, for the hauling of marble and the carting away of earth. Els ròv módepoy, l. 14, may surprise us: for what war were the Athenians likely to engage in? It was well known to Alexander however that Athens was only submissive to him under compulsion, and No. 127 significantly shows the feelings of the time. Two great works of Lykurgos himself were the rebuilding of the Athenian fortifications and the erection of an arsenal ( $\sigma \kappa$ cuot $\eta^{\prime} \times \eta$ ). Line 29 foll. : his burthens, if he resided at Athens, were to be those of a citizen and not those of a metoel.

## 129.

Dedication at Olympia by one of Alerander's quartermasters: B.C. 330-320.

A atatro-bace recently excarated at Olympia : the ceart in from Dittenberger, Archaol. Zeikung, 18j9, p. 139. A fragment of a cimilar bace in adiced aleo ib. 1879, p. 209.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{5} \text { Xepoováбtos àve } \theta_{\eta \kappa \epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

This statue is mentioned by Pausanias, vi. 16, 4: toriкєע

 remarks of Droysen, Hellemismus, i. 2, p. 383, where, speaking of the materials anciently available for the history of Alexander, he says: ' We may say much the same (as of the log-book of Nearchos and the 'Eфท川epiocs $\beta a \sigma i \lambda c i o c)$ of what is told us concerning Alexander's $\beta$ ŋ川atıotal (steppers). There could not fail to be a full staff of quartermasters in Alexander's army, who had among other duties to arrange each day's march, to direct the route of the several columns, to mark out the place of encampment, etc. The memoranda drawn up by these officers in the execution of their duties yielded an exact information concerning the distances and routes traversed, which was of the highest importance to the geographical stadies of the following

 again, of 'Diognetus et Bæton itinerum ejus mensores' (Plin. N. H. vi. 21, 61), or else 'A 1 First Book is cited a note about Syria, and from the Third a

 though Diogenes does not say so, in Alerander's army. It is worth observing that Patrolles, governor of Babylon under Seleukos I, the explorer of the Caspian sea, asserted in his work on geography that Alexander's officers drew up a summary account ( $2 \pi t \delta \rho \rho \mu d \delta \eta \nu)$ of the countries they traversed, which
 expert hands to be edited (Strabo, ii. 69).'

## 130.

## Alezander＇s recall of the Exiles：consequent disorders at Kalymna：B．C．824－323（P）．

A marble found at Ineos：the text from Böckh，C．I．G． 2671.
§ 1．The Iasians，having sent fire citisens to act as dikasts at Ralymna，and learning on their return of their successful labowrs， and the honour they have gained for themselves and their cily， acknowledge the honorary decree of Kalymna and compliment the cikasts：




 ［ $\dot{\sim} \pi \delta$ ．．］
 ［то仑 ．．］
 $\sigma$（iñov］
 ［то仑̂
 ［ol］



 roùs àтобта入évtas xpvô̂ бтeфdvy кa入oxàyälas















［els］זд трита⿱㇒⿺𠃊̂ov．
In the heading we shoold expect＇$\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ «рvтd́vec $\omega$, ＇bat not all the men are $\pi \rho u t d y c t s$, as some have appointed deputies in

§ 2．Next follows a copy of the decree of the Kalymnian peopte， which the dikasts had brought back woith them：








 тоүрафєเซầ ठıкầ cls rò ठıкабтท́pıov［ $\pi \lambda \epsilon]$ órwy ．．．．





$45 \delta_{1}$ ］каनтíp




















Line 39 foll. [\&]]axootây or [rp]cakootây. At least 250 suits between citizens of Kalymna had been entered, and the anthorities were at a loss how to deal with them. For such a state of things pointed to something within measurable distance of civil war, and the more urgent the need for a prompt decision, the more difficult it was to try the suits: for how could any dikastery command respect for its decisions, when the whole citizen body was rent into factions? Accordingly foreign dikasts are sent for, दevucòv 8ıxaotipiov. This was an expedient often resorted to in scech cases, as is proved by the numerous inscriptions similar in character and date to the present, which have been found in all parts of Greece and the Higean. The docoment before $n$ is an interesting specimen of a well-known class. Nearly all the disputes at Kalymna were successfully settled by compromise and arbitration (11. 40 foll.); and the ten which were finally tried were settled without suspicion of guilty influence from the party who insisted on carrying the matter into court (àvepiӨcurol ṛ̂ rò кpìval $\langle\lambda о \mu\langle\nu \varphi$, if Böckh's restoration is correct). The basis of settlement adopted by the five dikaets was the 'edict of the king' and the standing laws of Kalymna (ll. 44 foll.): we cannot be wrong in taking the 8ućrpapua to be Alezander's edict for the recall of the Exiles (see on No. 125).

## 131.

## Return of the Exiles at Mytilene by Alexander's edict : B.C. 324.

A marble slab at St. Therapia, Mytilene : Böckh, C. I. G. 2166, and addenda, pp. 1022-1023. I have revised the text with the help of four excellent paper im. preserions brought by Mr. Newton from Mytilene.
§ 1. With a view to concord twenty commissioners to be elected, ten from among the restored exiles, ten from the other citizens:-. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . a-
$\qquad$ [入ทицата? . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ктท́мата [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . s $\boldsymbol{\text { тробть. }}$

5 [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . то]ứтшע $\mu \grave{~ є l \sigma a-~}$ [ $\gamma$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ă à $]$ a ăpxa $\mu \eta \delta є t a ~$ [ . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\mu$ ? ${ }^{\text {as кal } \text { кols лє- }}$ [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . та]is åpxats, al кe 10 [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\gamma є \gamma \rho a] \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, ~ ш ̈ s ~ к є ~ \mu \eta \delta-~$













25

§ 2. Certain points which the commissioners shall consider, and report upon to the assembly for setllement :-Kal $\pi \epsilon \rho \frac{\chi \rho \eta \mu d}{}{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$



 30 [ $\pi \in \rho \grave{\imath}$ тоv̂ кv
 [ $\kappa u ́ p ı a \delta ~ \delta \sigma \sigma a \kappa \epsilon \psi \eta \phi \imath] \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$.
§ 3. If the decree of the people prove to be defective in its pro-


§ 4. After the report of the commission and the consequent decree,










 катєฝ $\theta$ óvt $\omega \nu$ ].
§ 5. Provision for the inscribing and publication of the present


The letters are beautifully inscribed $\sigma$ rox $\quad \eta \delta \delta{ }^{\prime}$. The restorations, which I have chiefly taken from Böckh, are merely suggestions as to the probable sequence of construction and meaning. The dialect is 届olic, but not purely so. Some forms are peculiar:
 beirnv l. 38 is oirecw. We may understand scaypapd l. 15 of the Edict of Alexander (see No. 125, §5), and 8ca入vole $\sigma \sigma l .23$ refers to decisions which he had given in special cases of difficulty on which he had been consulted by envoys.

When Alexander sent Nikanor to Olympia, in July 324 B.c., to proclaim the restoration of all exiles throughout Greece, he was not influenced by a merely selfish policy. His father Philip had with much impartiality aided either or any faction in the Greek states which would help him in his policy of aggression; and therefore the first to benefit by Alexander's edict would be the banished enemies of Macedonian interests. Large numbers of them had enlisted in the Persian service; but now the victories of Alezander left them without home or object, and they were finding their way back to become a source of disquiet throughout Greece. The edict was therefore a wise exercise of despotic porver, in the interests of peace. It was obeyed by all except the FItolians (who feared the vengeance of the exiles of (Eniadae), and the Athenians, who, having occupied Samos with keruchs in $365-35^{2}$ в. c., were loth to lose their possessions (see No. 90). How much excitement and disturbance was caused br the return of the exiles is shown by the crowd of envors
 oixov $\mu$ evns (Diod. xvii. 113 ; Arrian, vii. 19). For the troubles at Kalymna and Eresos see Nos. 130 and 125 . The present fragment shows us how matters gradually settled down at Mytilene : see Droysen, Hellen. i. 2. p. 291.

## 132.

Honours to Gorgos and Minnion of Iasos: B.C. 323 (?).
Found at Chios, whither it must have been taken from Iasos as ballast. The rext is from Böckh, C. I. G. 26 ;2.





өa入á $\sigma \sigma \eta s$ ठcalex $\theta$ évtes



10 apocópinv cis ròv aci xpúrur.



Concerning Gorgos and his brother see No. 135. The ' little sea' is the sinus Lasius, a wild and gloomy inlet, which was probably valuable for its fishing : see Athen. p. 105 e : and

 In line 9, èrróvors mast be meant for ${ }^{2}$ к̌yóvors; but see No. 148.

## PART VI．

## FROM THE DEATH OF ALEXANDER TO THE GAULISH INVASION．

B．C．323－278．

## 133.

List of Allies in the Lamian War：B．C．323－322．
Discovered at Athens：the text from Köhler，C．I．A．ii． 184

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {. . . . . . . } \Gamma \\
& \text {. . . . . }[\Theta \epsilon \sigma] \sigma a \lambda \hat{\omega}_{1} \text {. } \Delta \\
& \text {. . . . . . } \omega \omega] \nu \\
& \text {. . . ['Axaı } \hat{\omega}_{\nu} \Phi \theta \omega \omega[\tau \hat{\omega} \nu[1]
\end{aligned}
$$

> . . . $\omega \nu$ \| ' $A \mu \beta \rho a \kappa \iota \omega \tau[\hat{\omega} \nu$.
> - . . ãò Өрạ́кฑs каĭ
．．［Olr］al̂ע кaì Ma入ıé $\omega \nu$ кai
10．．［A入vऽ］al $\omega \nu$ каì $\Delta о \lambda o ́ \pi \omega \nu \Gamma$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {. . . [o]v кai Kєфa入 } \eta \text { rías III }
\end{aligned}
$$

As soon as Alexander＇s death was known for certain，Athens stood forth as the champion of Greek freedom，and the result was the Lamian war．It is hard to see what this inscription can be，except a list of the states which joined the Athenian league against Macedon，the numerals appended to the names indicating the number of votes each state was to have in the federal synod．No． 147 is in honour of Timosthenes the delegate of Karystos．See Diod．xviii．II：Alta入oì $\mu<\lambda \nu$ oîv ä̃aytcs





 สєрì＇Apuataîov．．．．тヘ̂̀ r＇＇I


 aкгท̀̀ катоькойдтєs. Comp. Droysen, Hellen. ii. 1. p. 56, who suggests $\left[\Phi \lambda_{i}\right] a \sigma i \omega \nu$ from Pamsan. i. 25. 4.

## 134.

Lamian War; operations of the Athenian fleet under Euetion: B.C. 323-322.

Found at Athens. The text from E. Curtias de portubus Alicenarum, p. 46 ; Rangabe, $\Delta n$. Hell. $44^{1}$; Köhler, C. L. A. ii. 270.

$$
[\boldsymbol{\Theta}] \in \circ[l] .
$$









10 $\lambda$ ]os 'A












. . . . . . . . тараууеl $\lambda a \sigma$. . .
Two $\mu$ trouxo, Nikander of Ilium Novum and Polyzelos of Ephesos, are honoured 'in the tenth prytany of Nikokles' $=$ June 301, for their loyalty and munificence to Athens. (§ 1)

They had paid their tax duly for the building of the new docks and the marine arsenal, (\$2) contributing towards ' the ten talent fund' from Themistokles' year to Kephisodoros (в.с. 347-323);
 in Plut. Phocion. 23) they had assisted in the equipment of the fleet which under Euetion met with two defeats (Diod. xviii. 15; Droysen, Hellen. ii. 1. p. 69). The old docks had been destroyed by Lysander (Lysias, contra Agorat. xiii. 46; contra Nicom. xxx. 22). Their reconstruction began at the time of Demosthenes' first entrance into public life, and was finished under the administration of Lykurgos. The new arsenal for naval stores ( $\sigma \kappa \in v o \theta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta, \delta \pi \lambda o \theta \eta_{\kappa} \kappa \eta$ ), built by the architect Philon for the same great administrator, was one of the most famous buildings of antiquity (Strabo, p. 395; Cic. de oratore i. 14) : it was destroyed by Sulla (Plut. Sulla 14). One may doubt whether the 'ten talent fund' was a separate reserve fund like rà otка rd́avia in Nos. $8 \mathrm{I}, \S 5$ and 87 ; or whether it was a yearly sum of ten talents raised during those trwenty-four years towards the erection of the arsenal and docks.

## 135.

Samian Decree in honour of Gorgos and Minnion for their assistance both before and after the restoration in B.C. 322.

[^6]
${ }_{15} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ б $\sigma$ тф


s, $8 \pi \omega$ ol катоико̂̀res $\Sigma a \mu l \omega[\nu]$








 каì $\delta \mu o l \eta$ каl dotoîs кaì èкरóvots,

 vos кail àvaypá廿at els rò $\gamma$ févos $8 \hat{a}$ $\nu \lambda \alpha \chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, каOórt каi roùs ă $\lambda \lambda$ ou-


 rpáyat els $\sigma \tau_{\eta} \lambda_{\eta \nu} \lambda_{1} \theta i ́ r \eta \nu$ каil $\sigma$ rin-
 $\mu$ lav üォŋретท̂бal.
These tro Iasians are rewarded for various services rendered to the Samians: cp. No. 132. Gorgos was in the service of Alexander as $\dot{\text { o }} \pi \lambda о ф$ údaf (Athen. p. $53^{8} \mathrm{~d}$ ), and was the author of a work on mines (Stralo, p. 700 ). He had (1) used his influence with Alexander to procure the restoration of the Samians; (2) he was at Babylon when so many envoys flocked thither, in the spring of 323 B.c., to thank Alexander for his edict of recall, and in the name of the Samians he too had presented a crown to the ling; (3) he had bespoken the aid of the people of Iasos for the Samians on their retarn; (4) both Gorgos and his brother promise to continue their friendship for the future. See
 are groups of $10,100,1000$ families respectivels, and are subdivisions of the $\phi v \lambda \eta$.

## 136.

Nikokreon, son of Pnytagoras, king of Kypros: about 320 B.C.

A statue hace from Argon: published by Ross, Archaiol. Aufsätze, ii. p. 662 ; Le Bes-Waddington, Voyage 4 rch. ii. 122.







Among the varions 'kings' who ruled at Salamis in Kypros, pasing tribute to the Persian king, yet with independent authority, and claiming descent from the heroes Teukros, Telamon, and Eak:s, the best known to us is Evagoras, the friend of Konon, see No. 72 : and compare Grote, ch. 76. It was his son and successor Nikokles for whom Isokrates wrote the panegyric upon Evagoras (see on No. 87). Nikokles appears to have been succeeded by Pnytagoras, who may have been his son, and who was certainly in power in 350 b.c. (Diod. xvi. 46). His submission to Persia secured him in his princedom until Alexander's expedition, when all Kypros passed into Alexander's hands, and Pnytagoras assisted at the siege of Tyre. Pnytagoras seems to have died away from home, and his son Nikokreon succeeded him before 331 b. c. (Plut. Alex. 29). Later on Nikokreon cast in his lot with Ptolemy, and in return for his services was rewarded with the chief command of the island (Diod. xix. 59, 62, 79 ; and Droysen, Hellen. passim). To the Egyptian kings Kypros was especially valuable as supplying precisely what Egypt lacked, viz. wood and metal in abundance for shipbuilding.

 tombea was a famous festival at Argos, at which the prize for the chief athlete was a shield : so that this victory was commonly



## 137.

Athenian Captives liberated by Eurylochos of Kydonia in Krete: B.C. 819 (P).
Found at Athens, but now loat again. Böckh, C.I.G.96; Kähler, C. I. A. ii. 193.





r]ovot oi È̀pv入óxov Kı . . . [кaì]






каì кoıveî кaì ioíq тoîs [dфıкvov]-





$\epsilon \mu[\omega]$ cis Tìv loíav, $\sigma$ v . .
Demades was put to death early in $3^{18} 8$ b.c, and in the form
 is not known to occur before 319 b.c. This would fix the date. These Athenian captives-sold into Krete and redeemed by Eurylochos-were probably fighting as mercenaries on the Persian side at Granikos. The fragment of a very similar decree occurs in C.I. A. ii. 194, where another benefactor is praised for his kindness in Asia to [roîs otparev] ouévots 'AOךva[imy], and for redeeming and restoring Athenians captured at what is called
 A good many Athenians were taken captive, and envoys from Athens reached Alexander at Gordion, sent to negotiate their release: but Alexander retained them until after the battle of Issos, when a second embassy was more successful with him at Tyre (Arrian, iii. 6).

## 138.

Decree of the city of Nesos in honour of a benefactor (the war between Perdikkas and Antipater): B.C. 818 ( $P$ ).

Found at Neeos: published partly by Böckh, C.I.G. 2166 e: completely by
 Droysen, Hellonienus, ii. 2. p. 374
§ 1. The beginning is lost: . . [ $\beta$ acilèेs] 'A入 $\epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho o[s$













[îтov $\pi \in \rho \ell]$ râs els Kúmpov otparelas кai
[oùк dגíya]s daтáras cis $\mu$ ккрòv $\sigma v v$ dyay-











[dлl• каi] т











 $\left.\gamma^{\prime} \tau\right]$ aıs $\tau[\iota \hat{q}]$, кaì $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\ell} \nu \tau 0 s$ aùt $\omega$ ' $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi[a-$


 45 vaypá廿ac dè tois тарíais тоis $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ 'Hpa$\kappa \lambda \in l \tau \omega$ тd $\psi d \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ els $\sigma t d \lambda \lambda_{\text {a }} \lambda_{t} \theta_{\imath} \nu a[\nu]$






§ 11. On the narrow side of the slab is a snpplementary decree, broken at the beginning: . . . . . . . . . . .
. . . . . . dapos $^{\text {'E }}$.
. . . od́reto[s e-
ime $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ кuplq ${ }^{2} \kappa[\lambda-$
$\eta \sigma l] a^{\cdot}$ rais $\delta \omega[\rho-$
$s$ cai]s maía [ıs
rail]s $\delta \in \delta o \mu c ́[\nu-$
a]<s $\Theta_{\epsilon \rho \sigma i \pi} \pi[\varphi$
$\dot{\nu}] \pi \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega}[8] d \mu \omega \kappa[a-$
i] èкyovoto $\delta$ -
10 [lape $]$ ขqvels T -
[ $\partial v \pi]$ dита хро́v-
[ Ov ], кадגлє $\boldsymbol{\delta} \delta$ -

$\left[\begin{array}{ll}i d t\end{array}\right] \mu \mu \in \nu a \iota[k-$
15 art]aítd• $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon$
[ap]Xоขть п $\rho \circ \theta$ -

> [品] Topı єinaı $\mu$ -
> [ $\mathfrak{\eta}] \tau \in \boldsymbol{e} \pi \iota \mu \eta \nu\langle[0-$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \epsilon] \kappa \ell \tau T S \dot{\eta} \dot{\rho} \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \omega-
\end{aligned}
$$

> [ $\epsilon / \sigma] a \gamma d \gamma \eta$ गे
> $[\epsilon \pi t] \mu \eta_{\nu}[l]$ os $\epsilon l \sigma-$
> 25 [ $\left.\epsilon \nu_{\ell}^{\ell} \gamma \kappa\right]$ n, ăкvpd $\tau-$
> $[\epsilon \ell(\sigma] \tau \omega$ кal $\delta \phi \epsilon-$
> [ $\lambda]$ दтш еєкабто-
> [s oratî] $\rho a s$ т $\rho$ -
> [lak]oolois l $\rho$ -
> $30[\mathrm{ois} \mathrm{r}]{ }_{\varphi}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \sigma[\kappa] \lambda a \pi-$

> [c]ls т̀̀ $\mu$ тávтa
> 35 [xp]óvov каl ? ${ }^{2} \nu-$
> $\chi \chi]<\sigma[\theta] \omega$ ? $\tau \hat{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\circ} \mu-$

> [ $\mu$ ov' rà 8'] '̇ұафに
> $40[\sigma \mu \ell \nu a \pi \rho o \sigma] a \nu a-$
> [rp]á廿at tois z-

> [s r]als ord $\lambda \lambda a-$
> [is rais ì] $\pi$ < $\rho[$ r-
> 45 [ $\hat{\omega} \Theta_{\epsilon \rho \sigma}\left(\pi \pi \omega^{*} \tau \dot{\jmath}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega \text { d raplas ?]. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Nesos or Nasos was the largest of the group of islands between Lesbos and the coast called＇Exaróvinoo，and is thas described





 Nesos was the largest of the group, and is named in No. 47, 0 , among the tribataries of Athens. Thersippos is honoured by the Nasiotex for using his influence with the Macedonian court and princes on behalf of the city : and we may therefore identify him with the trusted envoy who conveyed Alexander's reply to Dareios after the battle of Issos (Arrian, ii. 14, 4). The decree was passed before b.c. 317, when Philip Arrhidmos was murdered by Olympias, for the two princes Arrhidsocs and Alexander IV are spoken of all through as of $\beta a \sigma \lambda_{\eta \epsilon}$. § 1 . The recital of Thersippos' services began with Alexander's lifetime, and went on in § 2 to speak of the troublous times that followed. § 3 deals with the time when Antipater and Krateros hastened to Asia and the Hellespont to oppose Perdikkas in 321 b.c.; Antipater claimed to be, and is here spoken of as, the true guardian of the royal princes, as against the treacherous Perdikkas. § 4. Kleitos the Macedonian admiral, whom Perdikkas had left with the fleet in charge of the EEgean in 321 b.c. (Justin, xiii. 6, 16), took the side of Antipater later in the year, and together with Antigonos radquished Hagnon the Teian, Perdilkas' admiral, near Kypros: see Droysen, Hell. ii. 1. p. 135; and No. 166. § 5. This famine is not otherwise known; the supplies were obtained from 'the satraps' in the neighbourhood who had stores in reserve. § 6.
 78. § 7. On the death of Antipater, Polysperchon was left as guardian of the princes, and sent an announcement of his anthority to Asia. §8. Arrhabeos is the 'Arrhidseos' of Diodoros and the historians, who was entrusted with the conveyance of Alerander's corpee to Egypt. The name is probably corrupted in the MSS. (Droysen, ilid. ii. 1. p. 13). In the partition of Triparadisos he was made satrap of Hellespontine Phrygia. § 9.
 narrow eacape is meant by owolyros we do not know. 'Marble from Therma' means Thessalian marble: Therma was merged, soom after this, in Kassander's new city Thessalonita. Pornopia is acother name for the site of the temple of Apollo Smintheas in the island: the stele is to be erected anywhere between the town and the temple (Strabo, pp. 613, 618).

## 139.

Honours to Demetrios Phaloreus: B.C. 317-807.
Found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 584.

$$
[\Theta] \in o L
$$


[̀े $\Delta \eta \mu \eta ́ \tau \rho]$ ıos Фа



 ov єls $\mu \in \rho i \delta]$ as $\delta \iota \in \in \lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon{ }^{\prime} A \theta_{\eta} \nu a l o v[s ~ к а l ~ \pi \alpha-~$



 . . . . ., ка]i ṽ $\sigma \tau \in \rho_{\llcorner }^{r} 0 \nu . .$.

The decree is from the deme Æxoneis, and therefore has less political significance than a decree of the state. The struggle between Polysperchon and Kassander which followed upon the death of the regent Antipater, b.c. 320 , may be read in Grote's last volume (ch. 96) or Droysen's Hell. ii. I. A garrison had beld Munychia ever since the end of the Lamian war, which resulted in the exile of the democratic partisans. The garrison was now commanded by Nikanor in the interests of Kassander, and Polysperchon finding that at Athens and elsewhere the prevailing oligarchies were on the side of Kassander, proclaimed restoration to all exiles and return to democratic institutions. In 319 he sent his son Alexander to Athens to assist the restored democrats by force of arms; the result was the condemnation and death of Phokion. Demetrios Phalereus his colleague had meantime left the city, and probably took refuge with Nikanor, who in the meantime (not without the connivance of Phokion) had seized the Pireeus also. Thus the upper city was all demucratic, and the Piræeus the rallying place of the oligarchy. To this bisection of the state the inscription refers,
$\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta \ell \nu \tau \omega \nu$, etc. In 318 Kassander joined Nikanor in Piræeus, and the result was an oligarchical reaction, and a compromise between the tro parties described by Diod. xviii. 74; we learn from the inscription that Demetrios Phalereus was a chief agent in persuading the democrats to a reconciliation. But in truth they were glad on any terms to be reunited to the Pireeus, without which Athens was not itself. And Athens was fed from imported corn (1. 9, oitov eloivyaye). The word used by Diodoros for Demetrios' office (ibid.) is $\mathbf{2} \pi \mu \mu e \lambda \eta r r^{\prime} s: ~ K a s s a n d e r ~$


 xii. 13, 9), either of which will just fill the lacuna: soe Droysen, l.c. p. 234.

## 140.

## Honours at Athens to Asander, nephew of Asander the

 satrap of Karia: B.C. 314-313.From the Akropolis; now in the Britieh Museum. See Greek Inceriptions in the B. M. xir ; Kühler, C. I. A. ii. 234 ; Droyen, Helleniemme, ii. 2. p. 25.
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[ $\rho$ elas?]...
Agathon, father of this younger Asander, was brother of Asander satrap of Karia, and of Parmenion. In the autumn of 315 B.c., Kassander being master of Athens and most of Greece, and leagued with Ptolemy and Seleukos against Anti-gonos,-twenty Athenian ships under Aristoteles sailed into the甭gean and joining the fleet of Seleukos wrested Lemnos from Antigonos. Thereupon Dioskorides, the admiral of Antigonos, sailed to Lemmos and expelled Aristoteles, destroying most of his ships. It was at this juncture that young Asander was despatched by Seleukos to Athens, where the victorious fleet of Dioskorides might arrive at any moment in the Piræeus (Diod. xix. 68).

## 141.

Struggle between Kassander and Demetrios for the supremacy in Greece: B.C. 313-307.

Fragment found the theropolis : the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 166 ; comp. Hermes, v. 346.
 19, 27), nephem of Antigonos, sent by him in 313 b. C., roùs
 Boeotia, and Phokis from Kassander: Chalkis had been Kas-
sander's headquarters. Athens was 'liberated' by Demetrios in person b. c. 307. The invasion of Attita by Kassander was in 303 в. с.

## 142.

Carthaginian Envoys at Athens: B.C. 810 (P).

## The taxt from Kahler, C. I. A. ii. 235 .

The beginning is lost : . . . . . © кanf́ral








 $\eta_{\mu}^{\prime} \mu$.

These names are well known. Synalos was a Carthaginian commander in charge of Minoa in Sicily in 357 B. C. (Plut. Dion, 25). Bodmoakas ( = Bod-melkarth) is the Bop $A_{\text {xas, }}$ Boa$\mu \lambda_{\text {кas, }}$ Bov $\mu \lambda_{\text {кas }}$ of Greek historians, or Bomilkar, who was executed in 308 в. c. for attempting to make himself tyrant of Carthage. The reader is referred to the 97 th chapter of Grote's history for the story of Agathokles' career, and his expedition against Carthage from Aug. B.c. 310 to winter of 307. It is quite possible that Carthaginian envoys should have bee: sc nt to Athens in 310 , —or even earlier, while this struggle with Agathokles was still going on in Sicily,-to secure Athenian help. The Cassandrian oligarchy which then ruled Athens receives the envoys with courtesy. It may have been to counterwork this movement that Agathokles secured in 308 the alliance of Ophellas of Kyrene, who, through his wife Euthydike, enlisted the Athenians on the other side: кail spos $\mu>\nu$ 'AOqualous sepl

 rìv orpareiav (Diod. xx. 40).

## 143.

Honours to Oxythemis Athens, the courtier of Demetrios: B.C. 307-305.

Found on the Akropolis: the teat from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 243.
The beginning is broken: . . . . . . ovs modt-
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[^7]I 2

 is $\sigma \omega$ rnplav.

Oxythemis the courtier of Demetrios is well known from Diodoros xxi., and Athen. xiii. p. 578 ; xiv. 614 ; and vi. 253 :







 Our inscription is earlier than B. c. 301, when Antigonos was slain at Ipsos: this appears from the mention of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta_{\Omega} \tau \lambda \epsilon \hat{\omega} y$. The captive inteis may indicate a date very soon after the liberation of Athens b. c. 307, supposing them to have been captured during the siege of Munychia or Megara.

## 144.

Honours at Megars to Neon, a general of Demetrios: about 307-806 B.C.

Found at Megara by M. Rangabe : the text from Rang., Antip. B-llin. No. 698 ; comp. Le Bat-Waddington, Voyage Arch. pt. ii. 31, 32.
ка каĭ єỉvolas tâs els tòv dâuov tò̀ Mєya-
$10 \nu$ elpávq кaì кard̀ үây кal кarà өd入arбav.





Eìta入îvos 'O $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ óфpodos.
Megara was ' liberated' by Demetrios, like Athens, in 307 b.c. (Diod. xx. 46 ; Plut. Dem. 9). Neon is known from Diod. xx. 52 as commanding under Demetrios in the victory off Kypros.

## 145.

Original of the famous Decree of Stratokles in honour of Lykurgos the Orator: B.C. 307.

Two fragments found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 240; cp. C. Curtius, Philologw, xxiv. pp. 83 foll.

Frag. A:


^uкó\$
 5 av..]
The copy of this decree in Platarch's Lires of the Ten Orators is found to be not exact, but abridged and paraphrased by the writer. The absence of the usual formulx in the above heading makes it probable that we have here a duplicate of the official $\psi$ ńфıбua. Between Frag. $A$ and Frag. $B$ there came the statement of the amount of public moneys administered by him. Some broken lines at the beginning of Frag. $B$ seem to refer to the building of the docks (vecíouxol). Next, by the help of Plutarch's abridgement, we are able to restore as follows:
. . . . . . rìr $\begin{aligned} \text { è } \sigma \text { - }\end{aligned}$
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Lyturgos bad been dead some seventeen years when he received these honours. Stratokles the mover was notorious for the excessive adulation he poured upon Demetrios as the 'liberator' of Athens. Liberty with Stratokles meant the forms of democracy surviving under the patronage of Macedonian conquerors; and it is painful to find these well-deserved honours to a great patriot of the last generation, made a means of indirect compliment to Demetrios. Comp. No. 128.

## 146.

Honcurs to an Envoy who has returned from Antigonce: B.C. 307 (December).

Brolten stold with ornamental pediment, found on the Akropolis: the text from Kühler. C. I. A. ii. 238 : cp. Hermes, v. 349.

## Limes 1-10:

```
    [E\pil 'Ava\xiıкрárovs] dpXortos 2\pil [r-
    \etaेs . . . llos \pi\ell\mu]\pir\etas \pi\rhoviave[{-
    as, f! . . . . a\sigma]avo\pióv[\pio]v ח[0]\tau\alpha-
```



```
    5 [... {\sigmaта\muévo]v` \<к^\lambda\eta[\sigma]ia кv-
```



```
    [. . . . . . . . .]vov 'A[Xa]pvè̀s
```



```
    [\delta \delta\epsilonîva \Lambdaevкo]vocùs ci\piev v-
```


sent from Athens to Antigonos, who is named below as $\beta$ aroleús)...


 $\nu[\eta \tau a l$ к.т. $\lambda$.

Demetrios appeared in the Piræeus in June 307, and deposed the Kassandrian oligarchy, 'liberating Athens' during that summer. Early in 306 he defeated Ptolemy, and the title of 'King' was assumed by Antigonos, Lysimachos, Seleukos, and Ptolemy. Directly after the 'liberation,' Athenian envoys had been sent to Antigonos in Syria (Diod. xx. 46) ; and Droysen supposes the person here honoured to be Aristodemos the Milesian, the friend of Demetrios, who had accompanied the Athenian envoys to Antigonos (Hellenismus, ii. 2. p. 118).

## 147.

Honours to Timosthenes, deputy of Karystos in the Confederate Synod at Athens, during the Lamian War: B.C 306-305.

Found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 249.

s $\pi$ ] putavelas.









$\omega \nu$ каi Kap $[\nu] \sigma \tau[1]$ ots.
(Here six lines are too much broken to be recocered). . . . . . . [ [zv Tथ̂ $\kappa$ ]-




Compare No. 133 ; Karystos alone of the Euboean cities joined the leagre. See Droysen, Hellenismus, ii. 1. p. 56.

## 148.

## Honours to Demarchos for assisting the Restoration of the native Samians: B.C. 306-803.

Found at Samos: the tert is from C. Cartius, Inechniften u. Studien zur Gevch. ron Samos, pp. 27 foll.

















入eî́Oal $\delta^{\prime}$ बírov̂ кaì ràs ovvapxias d-











$\mu \in \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a, \tau \partial \nu \quad$ рраццатєa $\tau[\hat{\eta} s \beta o v]-$



After the battle of Krannon, в.c. 322, Perdikkas restored the Samians to their country, and expelled the Attic settlers (see Nos. 90, 136). During their long exile Demarchos had befriended them. After their restoration too he had used his influence in their favour. For Phila, the noble daughter of Antipater, having first been bestowed upon Krateros, in reward for his services in the Lamian war, had been married again, on her husband's death, to the young Demetrios (Poliorketes); and Demarchos the Lykian had been appointed her body-gnard at the time of our inscription, and secured her favour for the Samians. Phila would not be styled $\beta a \sigma \Lambda_{l \sigma \sigma a}$ before 306, and the decree probably belongs to the years 304-3, when Demetrios was besieging Rhodes. At this time Phila seems to have resided in Kilikia (Diod. xx. 83; but see Droysen, Hellen. ii. 2. 258). The ovvapxlal signified a committee of the magistrates of a town, which prepared measures for the $\beta$ ou $\lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ (cp. Arist. Pol. iv. 14). By $\mu \in \tau \grave{~ t a ̀ ~} \beta a \sigma c \lambda \iota x \alpha$ is meant ' next after envoys from the lings (Demetrios and Antigonos).' On the Samian restora-




## 149.

Rescripts of Antigonos concerning the Incorporation of the people of Lebedos with the Teians: B.C. 306-301.

[^8]The beginning is lost, which perlaps gave the reasons and cir-
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 $\beta$ ^ллстта]!.
B. Second Letter of Antigonos to the Teians.



























The restorations are mainly from Le Bas: but I hare made some important changes of my own. There remain several difficulties which I cannot explain.

The date falls between в. с. 306, when Antigonos assumed the diadem, and the battle of Ipsos. History nowhere records this transfer of Lebedos to Teos, and we may suppose that the defeat of Ipsos cut short the plan, and prevented its execation. If so, it was these intended colonists from Lebedos to Teos whom Lysimachos in 295 B.c. incorporated (together with the Kolophonians) at Ephesos (Puusan. i. 9, 8). Lebedos was nemrly depopulated: see Strabo, p. 643, and Horace Epistles, i. 1 I. 7, 'Scis Lebedus quid sit, etc.' The motive for such transfers of population was usually rogal ambition, and we may detect in the present rescripts indications of unwillingness on the part of the subjects, and a desire to throw upon the monarch all the expense of the migration. A few notes are sdided on the meaning of the several paragraphs.
§ 1 . The Lebedian delegate at the meeting of the Iomian league is to be treated in all respects as a Teian. § 2. Erery Lebedian is to receive at Teos a building-aite equal to the premises he has left behind him. Directions for the temporary housing of the new-comers, until they have built their awn
houses. Roofing is to be supplied them gratis. It will also appear, from $\S \S 13-14$, that the Teians were to pay the Lebedians the valuation of the houses they had left. It is clear from the expressions in $\S 2$ that great changes were contemplated in the laying out of Teos afresh. § 3. Provision for a buryingground. §4. Municipal debts of the town of Lebedos to be acknowledged by the newly constituted city of Teos. § 5 . Similarly all grants of proxenia etc. made by Lebedos to be now transferred to Teos. \& 6. Contracts and claims between Lebedians and Lebedians, or between Teians and Teians, to be decided within two years according to their laws, and the Direc-
 Teians and Lebedians to be decided according to a covenant ( $\sigma v \nu \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa \eta=\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \beta o \lambda a$ ) between the two populations, which shall regulate the procedure in such cases. If any demur to the terms of the $\sigma 0 v \theta \dot{\eta} \times \eta$, then the terms of the covenant shall be
 which are not left to the discretion of the $\sigma v v \theta \eta \kappa o y p d \phi o$. . As the debts have been long standing, and the accumulated interest is very large, no debtor who offers payment without incurring a suit is to be called upon to pay as interest more than twice the original capital; nor any debtor more than thrice. Creditors must claim their debts within a year, or they lapse. Absentee creditors may claim even after that time: they may summon their debtor from his home, if he refuses to appear (cp. Dem. in Timoth. 1190, xporkतmecis àmò rîs olklas, oủ yd̀ jiv фavepós), and, if the debtor be a magistrate, from his official residence. § 8. A new code of laws is to be drawn up by three commissioners (cp. No. 47, p. 79). In the meantime the laws of Kos are to be made the law of the land. §9. Lebedians or Teians who have already served in liturgics are to be exempt in the new city. But all Lebedians are for three years to be exempt, until they have settled down: likewise Teians who intend to migrate and settle in 'the Chersonnese' are to be exempt for three years. This Chersonnese is the large peninsula in which Erythre stands. Strabo, p. 644, says that the Teians had territory there; xpiv $8^{\circ}$
 the isthmus too was partly possessed liy them, rò $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ yà $\nu$ vórıov

 provisions:' ii. 15.3; iii. 17. 11. The xpurovs or gold stater $=a$ little over fifteen shillings. The envoys as well of Lebedos as Teos request that a portion of the tribute may be reserved ( (\}alpetional), as a fund from which loans may be made to merchants, to encourage the import of corn. Antigonos grants the favour, but reluctantly: 'he has always discouraged this practice in his cities, not wishing to have them involved in debt to him for tribute, and so to feel themselves less free; personally it makes no difference to him: the vessel collecting his tribute was on the point of calling at Teos; and he thought they might rely on the neighbouring territory for sufficient supplies.' § ir. The custom-house is to be placed near the harbour, so that importers need not be obliged to bring their goods into the town, but might export them again at once, if they heard the market was bad. Probably the market was at a little distance from the harbour, and the custom-house is to be as near the harbour as possible. Agricultural produce is to pay exportduty. § 12. Appointment of Commissioners ( $\} \xi \in \tau a \sigma r a l ?$ ) to regalate the details of the migration.
B. Second letter of Antigonos to the Teians. § 13. The Teians are to pay the Lebedians the valuation of the houses they have left behind: the money to be furnished by the six hundred wealthiest citizens as registered for the payment of 'liturgies.' Toîs apocuпорifactv are Lebedians who have already been parchasing materials for building at Teos, out of their own capital. § 14. Valuers to be procured at once from Kos. The $\alpha \pi \psi^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{-}$ фlots is the 'abrogation' of the existing laws.

## 150.

Honours at Ephesos to a loyal commander of Demetrios: B.C. 302-1.

On a block of marble brought by Mr. Wood from Epheson: wee his Dicoveriee at Ephesus (Appendix); now in the Britich Museom, where I have collated it The ends of the lines are imperfect, being inecribed an another block, whioh is loet.

[^9]












 orìv . . . . .

I take Archestratos to have been a general of Demetrios, who at the time of Prepelaos' conquests, b.c. 302 (Diod. xx. 107), stood firm to the cause of Antigonos and Demetrios and did good service against Lysimachos and Prepelaos. Diodoros (ibid.) says that at this time two generals of Antigonos went over to Lysimachos, by name Dokimos and Phœnix: Archeetratos remained staunch. Diodoros adds that Prepelaos failed to capture Klazomenæ and Erythræ, because of $\lambda^{\lambda} \theta$ ovions кaгd $\theta d \lambda a r t a \nu \quad \beta o \eta \theta \in i a s$, and that be contented himself with ravaging their territory. Our inscription refers to the relief of Klazomenæ, effected by this very Archestrates. He is also thanked for having protected the corn-vessels on their way to Ephesos. He was therefore in command of a fleer and Ephesos was just then helpless, Prepelaos having burned their fleet in their harbour (iLid.). The decree was probably drawn up shortly before the battle of Ipsos, when Demetrics expelled from Ephesos the garrison of Prepelaos, perhaps being accompanied by this very Archestratos (ibid. 111).

## 151.

Alliance between Demetrios and Seleukos: B.C. 300.

Found at Epheeos by Mr. Wood, and published by him in his Discoveries at Ephenue (Appendix) : now in the British Museum, where I have collated it.






















This relates to the sudden turn which the fortunes of Demetrios took, so soon after the defeat at Ipsos, when the hand of his duughter Stratonike was sought by Seleukos. This alliance was especially welcomed at Ephesos, which was all through these years a loyal supporter of Demetrios. (Plut. Dem. 31 ; Drossen, Mell. ii. 2. 238 foll.) Line 17 : the Essenes, or chief priests of the Ephesian Artemis, are often named in this connexion.

## 152.

Iretter from Iysimachos to the Samians, respecting their dispute with Priene: B.C. 800-290.

From Samos : now at the Aehmolean Museum, Oxford, where I have re-reed it. Published by Böckh, C.I. G. 2256.
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I have improved on the text as deciphered by Chandler and by Röhl (Solede Epigr. 1876, p. 7): where the reading is doubtful, a mark (?) is added. The restorations, which partly differ from Böckh, are merely to represent my idea of the general context. The dispute here dealt with by Lysimachos is of considerable historical interest. From an early period Samos (like Rhodes, No. 181, notes,) had been possessed of a strip of territory on the mainland,-a Perea-which was of value from its fertility. The Samians however were inclined to extend their Perea by encroaching on the territory of Priene. The Prienians challenged their claim to three districts called ' H Baturpis Xipa, ro фpoúptov id K\&plov кa入oúmevov, and the land round the fort called $\dot{\eta} \Delta \rho v o v j \sigma a$. Our inscription mentions the earliest known episode in the quarrel: Lygdamis the tyrant of Naxos, -the friend of Peisistratos (Herod. i. 61, 64) and Polykrates (Polyren. Strat. i. 23)-seems to have occupied the disputed region by force, (perhaps after assisting Polykrates in becoming tyrant of Samos,) and then to have reinstated the Prienians in possession. No Samian remained except as a mérouos, under the protection of Priene (? 532 b.c.). The neat episode is related by Plotarch (Qu. Gra. 20) to explain the Prienian proverb $\delta$ rapà $\delta$ put oróros. The Samians (perhaps after Polykrates' death 522 B.c.) attacked the Prienians, and were defeated with the loss of 1000 men (cp. xuious of line 32).
 this:-) the Milesians in alliance with Samos inflicted on Priene a blondy defeat aapà rìv кalouptuŋv boûv (cp. $\Delta \rho v o i ̂ \sigma \sigma a ~$ named abore). It was then that Bias the sage went as envoy to Samos and made favourable terms for his countrymen. In 440 в.c. Thakydides (i. 115) says Eaplots каì Mi入ŋбiocs mbic-
 were allied with Priene) appealed to Athens. We may be sure that the origin of the quarrel was the same as before, and that Priene was again reinstated. A series of documents relating to the later history of the dispote was inscribed on the walls of the temple of Achena Polias at Priene: these are now in the British
exm and will shortly be published all together. They are inlly given by Böckl, C.I. G. 2905, and Le Bas-Wadton, Voyage Arch. Pt. V. 188-194. We find that Rhodes sthan once was called in to arbitrate as an $\xi_{\kappa \kappa \lambda \lambda \eta \tau o s} \pi \delta \lambda \lambda c s$. orbos II and Ptolemy Philadelphos both are named as ng made awards. Manlius the unscrupulous proconsul F, Inxviii. 35-39), who with ten commissioners had the Iation of the affairs of Asia after the Galatian war (b.c. -188), a awarded the disputed land to Samos: but his award afterwards overruled by the senate upon an appeal from ne. This letter of Lysimachos (B.c. 301-281) shows that after the victory at Ipsos ( 301 b.c.) had made him master lost of Asia Minor, the Samians had applied to him about - chims, and had received encouragement from him. Lysi108 was stern, but not unjust : and in this letter he appears olitely inform the Samians that, as the question has been ened (which he regrets), he is obliged to dismiss their claim atimetos. Such disputes about territory were very common een the Greek cities : cf. Nos. 156, 200.

## 153.

ECnours to an ambassador sent to Kassander from Athens: B.C. 299.

Marble found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C.I. A. ii. 297.
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\begin{aligned}
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 pXous $\Delta \Delta$ ठpaxpads.

After the defeat of Ipeos, Demetrios lost for a while his ascendancy in Greece, and Kassander resumed his former influence. At Athens there set in a strong reaction against Demetrios, and a genuine disgast at the servility of which Stratokles had been the spokesman. A stand was made for real liberty (see Droysen, Hell. ii. 2. p. 240 foll.), and Kassander's menacing morements were repelled (Pausan. i. 26, 3):


 $\pi \delta \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$. Our inscription probably relates to these events. Observe the name of the фu入̀े xpuraveiovoa: in B.c. 307 two tribes, Antigonis and Demetrias, were added to the ten of Kleisthenes, the demes being redistribated. The change was a convenient one, as now in ordinary years the twelve prytanies corresponded to the twelve months. Thus here the 2ist day of the second prytany coincides with the 21 st of the second month: 8eadin viбrépa $=$ bexd́rl $\phi \theta$ irortos (Droysen, Hell. ii. 2. 120). The totrtús was a division of the $\phi v \lambda \eta$, one third: the officers of Poseidippos' tribe are to find the money for the inscription, out of the funds of the trive.
154.

Peace between Athens and Demetrios,-end of the 'four-years' War: B.C. 295-4.

Found on the Alropolin: the toxt from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 300.
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[тò àvd $\lambda \omega \mu a$ ]. -

On the relations of Demetrios with Athens at this time see note on Nos. 153, 160; and Drorsen, IIellen. ii. 2. 254. The reaction against him had ripened into a 'foar years' war,' which was now at an end: Lachares the tyrant had fled, and the Athenians were reduced to utter famine by Demetrios (Plut.



 ecription was one of these $\pi \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\beta}$ ecs.

## 155.

Honours at Athens to Bithys the Courtier of King Lysimachos: B.C. 298-295 or 287-281.

Two fragments found on the Akropolis: the tert from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 320.
The beginning is broken:-

















 $\sigma \in \iota \Delta$ ठ $\rho a \chi \mu$ ás.

Bithys is known to us from the gossip of Athenæos (pp. 246 and 614) as a retainer of Lysimachos. This decree must date either from the 'four years' war' against Demetrios, B. C. 298295 (see No. 154); or between the expulsion of Demetrios' garrison from the Museion in 287 and Lysimachos' death in 281. I prefer the former date. Dering the war with Demetrios, the Athenians had been in correspondence with Lysimachos.

## 156.

Lamia arbitrates between Athens and the Bcootian Ieague : B.O. 889 or 888.
Found on the Atropoliz: the teat from Kohler, C. I. 4. iii. 308.






$\pi \in \kappa \hat{\eta} \theta \in \nu$ каl $\sigma v[\nu] \pi \rho \delta \in \delta \rho \circ \iota^{\circ}$








For the date of the archon Thersilochos see Köhler, ad loc. The circumstances of this arbitration are unknown: probably it concerned some dispute about frontier. One remembers that Oropos sometimes belonged to Boootia and sometimes to Attita


 $\$ 6$, and 200. The town of Lamia became memorable in the struggle of b.c. 323 , see Nos. 133, 134.

## 157.

Honours to Audoleon king of Pwonia for arinting the Athenians to expel Demetrioe garrison: B.C. 286-286.

Found on the Akropolin: the teart from Köller, C.I. 4. iin. 312.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi] a(\nu) \delta \text { ioviठos } \delta[\omega] \delta \in \kappa a ́ r \eta s \pi \rho v[\tau a] \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

 dxov Пaıavtè̀[s] žpa[me]dreve[v].
 8аs, $\pi \ell \mu \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$ каі $\epsilon(l) \kappa о \sigma \tau[\epsilon] \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} s[\pi p]$ -




















 ooiovs Maxebovlas toís ioioc[s]




is te tìv тov̂ Пetpautus com [ठウ̀ ]-



Aibentóvta Пarphov Пaiova [d]-
petîs $l v \in \kappa a$ кal evivolas tîs є[l]-




On the relations between Athens and Demetrios see Nos 153 154, 160 . In $2: 58$ e.c. Demetrios, not content with the poserssion of Macedonia, nor considering the dangeroes power of Pyrrhos, made rast preparations for recorering the Asiatic kingdon of his fatber. The result was a conlition of Seleatos, Ptolemy. and Lysimachos against him. The Athenians acired the oppor tunity of rising against Demetrios' garrison, and under command of Olrmpindoros they recovered the Museion (Pausan. i. 26): then, afruid of Nemetrios' vengeance, ròr Múpipen is Marcborios

 They also secured the aid of Spartotos IV, king of Bosporon Na. 159, and of Audoleon, ting of Preonia. It was Pyrrbos who saved them : in the summer of 287 s .c. be made a compact with Demetrios at Athens, whereby the upper city (rò dorr) was declared free. Pirzeus still remained under Demetrion garrioon. but this also ther are boping to recover (line 32, oweppin cis $\tau$


## 158.

Honours at Athens to an offcer of King Audoleon:
B.C. 286-285.

Found on the Akropolis: the tarie from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 313, and p. 415.


















. . . . . . каi $\sigma] \tau \in ф а \nu ̄ ̄ \sigma[a t ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ v ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~$
Decree passed the same day as that in honour of Audoleon, No. 157, q. r.

## 159.

Honours to Epartokos IV, ruler of Bosporos, in connezion with the recovery of the Museion: B.C. 286-285.

On two fragmanta, one of which in still at Athens, the other (containing the latter portion) is in the British Mrneum. The text from Köhler, C.I. A. ii. 31 t; Greek Inecriptions in the B. M. Iv.
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'O 8 innos.

Compare the decree in honour of king Audoleon, which refers to the same events : compare also Nos. 110, 111 . The reader is again referred to the last chapter of Grote's History, for an account of the Bosporian kingdom. Agyrrhios is no doubt the grandson of the well-known orator of that name: his father Kallimedon also, 'the crab,' was a well-known demagogue (see Schäfer, Dem. u. seine Zeit, iii. p. 335 ; and Athenæos, p. 340). On the statues at Athens to the ancestors of Spartokos see Demosth. Leptin. p. 466 ; Dinarchos, adv. Dem. 43.

## 160.

Honours to Philippides the comic poet, for using his interest with Lysimachos, and for other services to Athens: B.C. 284.

Found in the Dionywiac theatre at Athens: the tert from Köhler, C.J. A. ii. 314 ; cp. Dittenberger in Hermes, ii. 286 foll.

п]puravelas, ci Navaquévns Navaıứbov Xia入ap[rè̀-





${ }^{2}$ CL. \&upcuirv, v. 51 : sic mpius a pro c. Cp. No. 157, 1. 36.










































The reaction at Athens against Demetrios (see Nos. 153 foll.) soon reached the point of open hostility, in what was styled the
 see the decree in honour of Demochares, in Plutarch's Lives of the $X$ orators). This inscription is dated $\boldsymbol{2} \pi \boldsymbol{i}$ Eition apxoyros, who is later than the recognized lists carry us, but his year is fixed by help of other inscriptions (see Köhler, ad loc.). § 1 . The person honoured is Philippides, a distinguished poet of the New Comedy, and a great friend of Lysimachos, with whom he made merry over Stratokles and the other Demetrios-worshippers at Athens (Plut. Demetr. 12, 26). § 2. On the procession with the peplos on the last day of the Panathenæa, 28th Hekatombæon, the reader is referred to Schömann, Gr. Alterth. ii. 447. This was the crowning feature of the festival, the peplos being spread upon a mast and yard-arm (iซrós, кєpala) like the sail of a ship. From looth Lysimachos and Ptolemy Athens had received help in money also to resist Demetrios (see Plutarch, l.c.). § 3. A large proportion of the slain at Ipsos were Athenian followers of Demetrios; this furthered the reaction against him, in which both patriots like Demochares, and Macedonizers like Lachares, were united against the crest-fallen Stratokles. §4. Antigonos Gonatas is meant. §5. On the death of Kassander in 297 в.c. Lachares, till then in coalition with Demochares' party, sought oppiortunity for his orn designs, and in 296 made himself tyrant. In the spring of 295 Dernetrios entered Athens (No. 154), and restoring the democratic forms which had been discontinued by Lachares, placed a garrison in Pireeus. An ineffectual attempt to expel that garrison in 293 b.c. led him to plant a second garrison on the Museion hill, thus tightening his grasp upon the upper city itself. From this moment it became the passion. ate ambition of Athens to expel the garrisons and restore 'liberty:' this was achieved in 287 в.c. (see Nos. 157 foll.). § 6. Iseos appears to have been archon the year before Euthias. On the historical references in this decree see Droysen, Mell. ii. 2. pp. 240-300.

## 161.

Inroad of the Gauls,-their repulse from Delphi : B. C. 278.
Found at Athens: the toxt from Köhler, C. I. 1. ii. 323 ; comp. Wachemuth, Sybor's Historische Zetlechrift, x. 1863,1 foll.














 [ $\mathrm{a} \nu$. . The rest is lost.]

The repulse of Brennos and the Gauls from Delphi in the early summer of 278 в.c. is one of the most interesting events of post-Alexandrian history. Their migratory hordes, having reached the western coasts of Europe, streamed back eastward and southward, and a century before this had swept away the J:truscan empire and deluged Rome itself. In time Italy became ciosed against them, and the battle of Sentinum, b. c. 295, gave a new direction to their course. Illyria, Pmonia, and the plain of the Danule now were inundated, and a horde under Brennos in 278 в. c., after ravaging Macedonia, forced, like Xerres, the Pase of Thermopylx, and proceeded to sack Delphi. In vain did Boeotia, A thens, Megara, the Phokians and Lolrians, and especially the 厌tolians, send their best troope to oppose them;-Kydias, a young Athenian (the son probably of the mover of this decree), ubove all died bravely (Pausan. x. 21,3) in this battle of the Pase. At Delphi a final check awaited them, which, though
ascribed by the Greeks to the personal intervention of the gods, was due to their own fierce patriotism and the difficult nature of the locality. The Gauls, now a disordered multitude, retired northwards, and either passed into Asia, joining the hordes moving eastward under Leonnorios and Lutarios,-to be finally checked by the Pergamene kings, and to be known afterwards as the 'Galatians,'-or became mercenaries of the various kings in Asia and Europe. The date of our decree is after midsummer, 277 b. c. The reader is referred to Pausan. x. 20 foll.; Droysen, Hell. ii. 2. 340 foll. ; Bishop Lightfoot's Galatians, introd.

## 158.

Lamia arbitrates between Athens and the Bosotian Ireague: B.O. 289 or 288.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Kohler, C. I. A. iil 308.




5 vтavelas' $\langle\kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma l a$ кupla- т $\hat{\omega}[\nu \pi \rho o \neq \delta \rho \omega \nu]$










For the date of the archon Thersilochos see Köhler, ad Loc. The circumstances of this arbitration are unknown: probably it concerned some dispute about frontier. One remembers that Oropos sometimes belonged to Boootia and sometimes to Attika


 $\$ 6$, and 200. The town of Lamis became memorable in the struggle of в.c. $\mathbf{3 2}^{23}$, see Nos. 133, 134.

## 157.

Honours to Audoleon king of Pæonia for mainting the Athenians to expel Demetrios' garrieon : B.C. 286-286.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C.I. A. in. 312.

П]a(ע)ठıovioos $\delta[\omega] \delta \in \kappa \alpha ́ r \eta s ~ \pi \rho v[\tau a]$ -






$\mu] \hat{\eta} \lambda o v$ 'A入alè̀s каl $\sigma \quad \mu \pi \rho o ́ \epsilon \delta \rho[0]-$





$15 \omega \nu$ रpelas тарех $\delta \mu \in \nu[s]$ каi $\sigma v[\nu]$ -



is $\gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu$ évoss cỉvox ${ }^{2} \mu a \sigma t$




¿avrì̀ каi тоîs áфикрочне́so [1]-


 ooiovs Makeסoplas toîs ioion[s]




is тe ग̀̀v тov̂ Пetpartws com [ठخ̀ ]-



Aizentouta Matphov Пaiova [d]-
petîs lvera кaì eỉvolas tîs ¢[l]-




On the relations betiveen Athens and Demetrios see Nos. 153 154, 160. In 288 в.c. Demetrios, not content with the possession of Macedonia, nor considering the dangerous power of Pyrrhos, made vast preparations for recovering the Asiatic lingdom of his fatber. The result was a coalition of Seleukos, Ptolemy. and Lysimachos against him. The Athenians seized the opportunity of rising against Demetrios' garrison, and under command of Olympiodoros they recovered the Museion (Pausan. i. 26): then, afraid of Demetrios' vengeance, tòv Пúpojov is Maxєסonlas

 They also secured the aid of Spartokos IV, king of Bosporow. No. 159, and of Audoleon, king of Preonia. It was Pyrrhos who saved them : in the summer of 287 b.c. he made a compact with Demetrios at Athens, whereby the upper city ( $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }}$ ãov) was declared free. Piraeus still remained under Demetrios' garrison but this also they are hoping to recover (line 32, ovvepyôv cis re


## 158.

Honours at Athens to an officer of King Audoleon:
B. C. 286-285.

Found on the Akropolis: the tari from Köhler, C.I. A. ii. 313, and p. 415.





['Hyŋ́mov]os 'A ${ }^{\prime}$





$\nu$ паракал $\omega \sigma \iota \nu$, $\sigma v \nu \eta \eta^{\rho}[\gamma \eta \sigma]$ є $\nu$ de каi $[\epsilon l s$







Decree passed the same day as that in honour of Audoleon, No. 157, q. r.

## 159.

Honours to Spartokos IV, ruler of Bosporos, in connezion with the recovery of the Museion: B.C. 286-285.

On two fragreate, one of which is atill at Athens, the other (containing the Latter portion) is in the British Mmeom. The text from Köhler, C.I. A. ii. 311 ; Greek Inecriptiens in the B. M. Iv.
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 tal кail l8iq 'A $\theta_{\eta}$ [valwiv roîs apucoorpévoss]























 vov $\Delta l o \nu v \sigma[\omega \nu]$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \mu c \gamma d \lambda \omega v$ тр




















$$
\text { ‘O } \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} .
$$

Compare the decree in honour of king Audoleon, which refers to the same events : compare also Nos. 110, 111 . The reader is again referred to the last chapter of Grote's History, for an account of the Bosporian kingdom. Agyrrhios is no doubt the grandson of the well-known orator of that name: his father Kallimedon also, 'the crab,' was a well-known demagogue (see Schäfer, Dem. u. seine Zeit, iii. p. 335; and Athenæos, p. 340). On the statues at Athens to the ancestors of Spartokos see Demosth. Leptin. p. 466 ; Dinarchos, adv. Dem. 43.

## 160.

Honours to Philippides the comic poet, for using his interest with Lysimachos, and for other services to Athens: B.C. 284.

Found in the Dionysiac theatre at Athens: the text from Köhler, C.J. A. ii. 314; cp. Dittenberger in Hermee, ii. 286 foll.







${ }^{1}$ CL. \&upaîv, v. 51 : sic mpius a pro e. Cp. No. 157, 1. 36.







































[ $\kappa \in \nu$. . . . oì $]$ ] $\nu$ vimevavtion $\pi \rho \hat{f}[s$ к.т. $\lambda$.

The reaction at Athens against Demetrios (see Nos. 153 foll.) soon reached the point of open hostility, in what was styled the 'Four Years' War' against him, в. с. 298-295 ( $\delta$ тєтраєтѝs $\pi \delta \delta \lambda є \mu о \varsigma$, see the decree in honour of Demochares, in Plutarch's Lives of the $X$ orators). This inscription is dated $\quad$ e $\pi i$ Ei日lov apXovtos, who is later than the recognized lists carry us, but his year is fixed by help of other inscriptions (see Köhler, ad loc.). § I. The person honoured is Philippides, a distinguished poet of the New Comedy, and a great friend of Lysimachos, with whom he made merry over Stratokles and the other Demetrios-worshippers at Athens (Plut. Demetr. 12, 26). § 2. On the procession with the peplos on the last day of the Panathenæa, 28th Hekatombæon, the reader is referred to Schömann, Gr. Alterth. ii. 447. This was the crowning feature of the festival, the peplos being spread upon a mast and yard-arm (iซrós, $\kappa \in \rho a l a$ ) like the sail of a ship. From hoth Lysimachos and Ptolemy Athens had received help in money also to resist Demetrios (see Plutarch, l.c.). § 3. A large proportion of the slain at Ipsos were Athenian followers of Demetrios; this furthered the reaction against him, in which both patriots like Demochares, and Macedonizers like Lachares, were united against the crest-fallen Stratokles. §4. Antigonos Gonatas is meant. §5. On the death of Kassander in 297 B.c. Lachares, till then in coalition with Demochares' party, sought opportunity for his own designs, and in 296 made himself tyrant. In the spring of 295 Demetrios entered Athens (No. 154), and restoring the democratic forms which had been discontinued by Lachares, placed a garrison in Piræeus. An ineffectual attempt to expel that garrison in 293 b.c. led him to plant a second garrison on the Museion hill, thus tightening his grasp upon the upper city itself. From this moment it became the passionate ambition of Athens to expel the garrisons and restore 'liberty:' this was achieved in 287 B.c. (see Nos. 157 foll.). § 6. Iseos appears to have been archon the year before Euthias. On the historical references in this decree see Droysen, Mell. ii. 2. pp. 240-300.

## 161.

Inroad of the Gauls,-their repulse from Delphi : B.C. 278.
Found at Athens: the toxt from Köhler, C.I.1. ii. 323 ; comp. Wachamuth, Syber's Historische Zeituchrift, x. 1863, 1 foll.














 [ $\mathrm{a} \nu \mathrm{L}$. . The rest is lost.]

The repulse of Brennos and the Gauls from Delphi in the early summer of 278 b.c. is one of the most interesting events of post-Alexandrian history. Their migratory hordes, having reached the western coasts of Europe, streamed beck eastward and southward, and a century before this had swept away the j:truscan empire and deluged Rome itself. In time Italy became ciosed against them, and the battle of Sentinum, b. c. 295, gave a uew direction to their course. Illyria, Pronia, and the plain of the Danube now were inundated, and a horde under Brennos in 278 в. c., after ravaging Macedonia, forced, like Xerxes, the Pass of Thermopyla, and proceeded to sack Delphi. In vain did Boeotia, Athens, Megara, the Phokians and Lobrians, and especially the Atolians, send their best troope to oppose them;-Kydias, a young Athenian (the son probably of the mover of this decree), ubove all died bravely (Pausan. x. 21,3) in this battle of the Pass. At Delphi a final check awaited them, which, though
ascribed by the Greeks to the personal intervention of the gods, was due to their own fierce patriotism and the difficult nature of the locality. The Gauls, now a disordered multitude, retired northwards, and either passed into Asia, joining the hordes moving eastward under Leonnorios and Lutarios,-to be finally checked by the Pergamene kings, and to be known afterwards as the 'Galatians,' -or became mercenaries of the various kings in Asia and Europe. The date of our decree is after midsummer, 277 b. c. The reader is referred to Pausan. x. 20 foll.; Droysen, IIell. ii. 2. 340 foll. ; Bishop Lightfoot's Galatians, introd.

## PART VII.

## PYRRHOS TO FLAMININUS.

B. c. 280-197.

In making this division we are following the natural guidance of events. A different era now begins. The dream of an united empire embracing all Alexander's conquests has gone for ever. The royal line has long become extinct, and the men who fought under Alexauder have passed away. After the tremendous conflicts of the Diadochi the Hellenic world settles down into a new and permanent order. History is now concerned with the dynasties and institutions of the Ptolemies, the Seleukiden, the Pergamene kings. Macedon assumes her final position under Antigonos Gonatas: and the rise of the Achman league, and the development of the Etolian league, replace in point of interest the old civic system of Greece. Athens indeed has still a history, and the Spartan monarchy developes new features; while Rhodes maintains her independence, and rises to the highest importance in the Levant. But the names of Hiero II and Pyrrbos remind us of the increasing porrer of Rome, and mark out this period as introductory to the absorption of Greece in the Roman dominion.

## 162.

Pyrrhos against Rome: B.C. 280-279 (P).
On a brooze plate excarated at Dodona, and cold by stealth from M. Karapasoa' collection : recovered by him at Berlin, and publiabed in Arch. Zeifung, 1878, p. 115.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [Baoucì]s Múpjop[s кal] }
\end{aligned}
$$

Before starting for Italy Pyrrhos consulted the Dodona



 érewev. Afterwards in 273 b.c. (Pausan. i. 13. 2) he dedicated some of the spoils of his Macedonian conquest to Zeus at Dodona. Our inscription probably refers to the first campaigu in Italy. Naios was the proper title of Zeus at Dodona: cp. Dem. in Midiam, p. 531 (see $3^{\text {rd }}$ excursus in Buttmann's ed.); Böckh, C. I. G. 2908, and Karapanos, Dodone et ses ruines, passim.

## 163.

Tarentine dedication at Athens: B.C. 280-279 (P).
On a small architrave dimeovered at Athens. Published by Rangabed, Antiq. Hellen. No. 1158.

M. Rangabe is perhaps right in connecting this dedication with the dubious victory of Heraklea. Tarentum ('Lacedæmonium Tarentum') was hardly likely to send a dedication to Athens, except at a time when the two cities were drawn together by the influence of Pyrrhos, the champion of both. Comp. Thuk. vi. 44, 104 ; vii. 1 ; viii. 91 ; and No. 157.

## 164.

Letter of Antiochoe Soter to the Ionian Erythre :

$$
\text { B.C. } 278 \text { (P). }
$$

Found among the ruine of Erythro, and now in the Smyrna Mucoum : the toxt from E. Curtius in Monatder d. Berl. Akad. 1875, p. 554.























 25 [крî]



 30 [. . . . . . . . . . є, тарака入ои̂ $\mu \in \nu$ bè каì ì $\mu a ̂ s ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu c u ́ o \nu-~$

The remaining seven lines are too muck broken to be reproduced: the letler exds with ${ }^{\prime}(\beta) \rho$ pi $\omega \sigma \theta$.

See notes on No. 165 (Sigean inscr.). The Greek cities took advantage of the difficulties of Antiochos to claim an independence which, as this letter proves, they had lost under Seleukos. The Gauls, whose introduction into Asia had at first brought universal consternation (Droysen, Hell. iii. 1. p. 195), were probably ready to take service on the side of the cities against the king. Antiochos for the present has to make every concession (Droysen, ib. p. 254 foll.) : Erythre is allowed her old autonomy (No. 100), and no longer pays the Gaulish war-tax (rề els rà ranaruà ovvayou( $\nu \omega \nu)$. Lines 11, 12 : 'with all the means in my power to advance the interests of the city.'

## 165.

Prayers and thanksgivings at Norum Hium, for the prosperity of Antiochos Soter: B.C. 278 ( P ).

> Found at Sigeum, and hence called 'The Sigean inecription:' now in the Library of Trinity Coll., Camb., where I have re-read it. Böckh, C. I.G. 3595 ; Rose, Inecriptionee Grecea, Appendix.
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. .] $\nu \sigma \mu \beta a \iota \nu$. .
The eponymi are the 'priest of the month,' who celebrated the
 the Athenian official so named. Novum Ilium (Hissarlik) claimed to be the veritable site of Priam's Troy; and in spite of the sober judgment of antiquity (Strabo, 601-2), the claim was allowed by Xerxes (Hdt. vii. 43), perhaps by Mindaros (Xen. Hell. i. 1. 4), by Alexander (Strabo, 593, cp. Diod. xviii. 4), and others. Dr. Schliemann believes himself to have settled the question in its favour, on the strength of his famous excavations.

In Alexander's time it was a mere fortified post (cp. Xen. Hell. iii. 1. 16), only occupied upon occasions, and with a temple of Athena scantily maintained. Alexander intended to revive the place, and build a city there: but death cut short his designs, and the new city was the creation of Lysimachos (Strabo, l.c.). Droysen (iii. 1. 253 foll.) shows that Antiochos, upon his accession in 280 b.c., found his dominions endangered on every side (see line 5). After a short campaign he concluded peace with Nikomedes ling of Bithynia, and with Antigonos Gonatas (line 6). No. 164 shows how tenderly he had to deal with the Greek cities on the coast to secure their loyalty. With Ptolemy Philadelphos, who had invaded Syria, he also made peace upon the strength of a successful engagement (lines 6 foll.). At the time of this decree he has hastened back to Asia Minor ( $2 \pi l$ t $d \delta \in \epsilon$ rov̂ Taúpov, line 12), to confront the Gauls, who having been invited into Asia by Nikomedes for his private ends, had now become the common terror of all alike. The date and place of the battle are unknown, but the victory (due to the sixteen elephants of Antiochos) was a decisive one, and broke the terror of the Gauls. The victor received the title of $\Sigma \omega \tau \eta$ ' which is used in line $3^{8}$ as a mere epithet. The 'queen' is Stratonike, daughter of Demetrios Poliorketes and Phila (No. 151), and $d \delta e \lambda \phi \eta^{\prime}$ is a mere title of honour. The union indeed was incestuous, for Antiochos was her stepson: but it was not a marriage with a literal adee $\bar{\phi} \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$, like that of Ptolemy Philadelphos with Arsinoe (No. 172; Droysen, iii. 1. 267n). Apollo was the divine progenitor of the Seleukid dynasty (Justin, xv. 4; No. 174); and the god is figured on their coins.

## 166.

## Statue of Antigonos Gonatas at Olympia: soon after B.C. 276.

Statue-base found at Olympia in the recent excarations: publinhed by Ditienberger, Archäal. Zeiexng, 1877, p. 38.

This statue is mentioned by Pausan. vi. 15, $4: \Delta \eta \mu \eta \eta_{\tau} \rho$ ov $\delta<$


Bu̧auticy övtas. It was his victory over the Gauls at Lysimacheia in 276 b.c. which earned him the gratitude of Byzantion (Justin, xuv. I-2). The Gauls, settled in Thrace, were a continual danger to Byzantion (Livy, xxxviii. 16): their head-quarters were at Tyla (Polyb. iv. 46).

## 167.

Honours to Phædros, for his services to Athens, and the services of his Grandfather and Father during the previous half-century: decreed about 272 B.C.

Found at A theas: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 331.
§ 1 . The commencement is lost; the probonlewma soas mored by Lyandros: it began by rehearsing the services of Phedros the


Thymochares. § 2. [Өvuo-














 Phadros. § 5. кaì aù-

















 боvтє入є́бal (erasure . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .)


¿avtò̀ (erasure . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .)






(four lines erased . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .)







































8§§11. Rider proposed by Lyander, the mover of the foregoing decree: $\Lambda u ́ a r \delta p o s ~ A v \sigma เ d \delta o v ~ ' A \nu а ф \lambda u ́ \sigma т ь o s ~ c i t e v o ~ d y a-~$
[ $\theta$ ]єî




 s eikóvos oibe кехєєpotóvŋutal• Oupoxáp-

ミфク́ттا०s.


Honorary decrees of this kind became exceedingly common in later Greece; growing more and more wordy, and seldom possessing historical importance. Exceptions however may be found in the honorary decrees appended by Plutarch to his Lives of the $X$ orators (cp. No. 145), and the present inscription.

It falls into three portions, as it rehearses the services of Phædros the elder, § I; Thymochares, §§ 2-4; and Phwdros himself, $\S \S 5$ to end ; § 11 is a rider to the foregoing: $\S 1$ referred to the services of Phædros the elder in the Lamian War (Strabo, p. 446 ; cp. Diod. xviii. II ; Schäfer, Dem. und 8. Zeit, iii. p. 335). § 2 , for this sea-fight, b.c. $\mathbf{3 2 1}^{21}$, cp. the Nesiote decree, No. 138, § 4. § 3, Glauketas was an admiral of Antigonos: Athens was still in the hands of Kassander (cp. No. 140; Droysen, Hell. ii. 2. p. 18). §4, on the help sent to Kassander at Oreos see Diod. xix. 75; Droysen, ib. p. 32. § 5, Droysen refers this to a rising against Lachares in the spring of 295 B.c.; and he makes Nikias archon B.c. 296-5; ib. pp. 253, 395. § 6, Droysen, ib. p. 395. § 7, Ptolemy Soter abdicated in 285 в.c., and died in 283: the relations of Athens with Demetrios, Lysimachos, and Ptolemy are sketched in No. 160. § 8, Kimon's year is not known : the 'troublous times' were when Pyrrhos invaded the Peloponnese in 272 b.c., and Athens was aspiring to throw off the yoke of Antigonos (Justin, xxv. 4; Droysen, iii. 1. 209). We need not follow the other details. The erased passages referred to Demetrios and his son Antigonos; and were obliterated b.c. 200, by way of defiance to Philip V in the Macedonian War: see Livy, xxxi. 44.

## 168.

Hiero II, king of Eyracuse: 272-216.
On a marble bace fuand at Syracuce in 1734 : the text from C. I. G. 5368.


[^10]illi genus sordidum atque adeo pudibundum fuit:' Justin, xxiii. 4 ; cp. Pausan. vi. 12, 2. This base is thought to have supported a tripod. The splendid buildings of Hiero formed a marked feature of his reign : it is characteristic of him to use the verb
 people with himself.

## 169.

The Chremonidean War: B.C. 266-263.
Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 332 ; op. Raggebs, Antiq. Hell. 453. This inscription is a copy of the original, which was ordered to be engraved on bronze (line 43).

$$
\Theta \in \circ[]
$$

 [ $\rho$ ]utaveias





ot ol £катє́ $\rho \omega \nu$ фи







































The Chremonidean War ( $\delta$ र $\rho \in \mu \omega \nu(\delta \epsilon \cos \pi o ̈ \lambda \epsilon \mu$ оs, Athen. p. 250) -so named after Chremonides the Athenian leader, a favourite and pupil of Zeno the Stoic (Diog. Laert. vii. 17)—was the last and noblest effort of Athens to recover her freedom. The scanty notices of it have been combined by Niebuhr (Ueber den Chremonideischen Krieg, in his Kleine hislorische unl philologische Schriften, $1^{\text {th }}$ Samml. 1828, pp. 451 foll.), aud by Droysen, (Hell. iii. $\mathbf{1}$, pp. 225 foll.). The loci classici are Pausan. iii. 6; Justin, xxvi. 2; and the account of Philemon's death, the poet of the New Comedy, in 压lian, Frag. 11. The decree appeals to the memories of the Persian war ; but the inspiration of the Chremonidean war was derived from the teaching of Zeno, and marks a recovery of selfcontrol and self-respect at Athens which is in grateful contrast to the days of Demetrios' 'liberation' (Nos. 143 foll.). The allies of Athens are (1) Sparta under King Areus, who had ere this fought against Macedon, (2) Ptolemy Philadelphos, who was apprehensive of the growing power of Antigonos, and whose father had
similarly opposed Demetrios, (3) the Achsans, whose league had been revived upon the death of Demetrios, (4) the Arbadian towns of Tegea, Mantinea, Orchomenos, Phialeia (or Phigaleia). and Kaphye, which (like the Achmans) were now dependent upon Sparta, (5) some of the Kretan towns, like Gortyna, which was on intimate terms with Areus and Sparta (Plat. Pyrrk. 27, 29, 32). The סíve $\delta \rho o c$ are the deputies of the Spartan allies at the confederate synod. The end of this war was that Athens, left to herself, surrendered at last to Antigonos, who garrisoned the Museion, Munychia, Piræens, Salamis and Sunion. After some years indeed be evacuated the Museion, and so left the upper city free; but the other forts still kept the city in check until the death of Demetrios II, in 229 b. c. (see No. 181).

$$
170 .
$$

Chremonidean War; contributions for war expenses:
B. C. 268-263.

Found at Athens in several fragments : the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 334.
The heading:- Tapias orpartw[rıкîv]




 . . atos Teגє
The year of Diomedon is not known ; perhaps it was the next after Peithidemos (No. 169). The name of the tribe Antigonis or Demetrias has been erased in line 3, out of hatred to Macedon.

The decree:-
${ }^{*} E \delta o \xi \in \nu \tau \varphi \hat{\varphi} \delta \tilde{\eta}_{\mu} \varphi^{*}$














 $\lambda_{l} \theta(\nu]$ -







 $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ тov̂ $\begin{array}{r}\eta \\ \mu\end{array} \boldsymbol{v}^{-}$
Here there follows, in two columns, a list of contributors, with the sums they gave. The stone is imperfect, but there remain some 80 payments: only two are the minimum of 50 drachmas, nine are 100 drachmas; the rest are the maximum 200.

## 171.

Decree of the Arkadian League in honour of Phylarchos the historian : B.C. 251 (P).
Published by P. Foucart in Lo Bav, Voyage Archiol. Pt. ii. 3+0 a ; cupied by hinn in 1868.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Oqós• Túx } \eta^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

> 'Apкádov каі toîs
> Muplots Фú入apxov
> 5 Avoıxpátous 'A $\begin{aligned} & \eta \\ & \text { raîov }\end{aligned}$

> cival 'Apкáo $\omega \nu \nu$ пd́vt $\omega \nu$
> aủrò̀ кaì $\gamma$ évos.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ı0 Teyeâtal }
\end{aligned}
$$

> Kuvoúfios
> Tyoкрútys

| ＇Apıotokpát ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Ka入入ıк入ضेS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Níxapxos | イaфávps |
| Eevorei0ns | ［ $\Sigma$ ］aìs |
| 15 Дapoкратіаа | Saís |
| Maıvádıos | ＇OpXorteviol |
| ＇Aylas | Eiycitwo |
| Eijectronizas | ＇A ${ }^{\text {evídtas }}$ |
|  | Márфı入os |
| 20 \etpeâtal | Mavalulas |
| ${ }^{\text {＇I }}$ \％$\pi$ las | Kad入ias |
| 「d8woos |  |
| Meyadorodital |  |
| ＇Apíctov | ${ }^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \times \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ |
| 25 Bajuas | Aloxúrns |
| ＇ApXéчıos | $\Delta \mathrm{a} \mathrm{\mu d} \mathrm{\gamma} \mathrm{\eta tos}$ |
| ＇Atpeбtibas | Прб¢ероs |
| 「opyéas | ${ }^{\text {＇Hpains }}$ |
| $\Sigma \mu l \nu \theta_{\text {cs }}$ |  |
| 30 Плeıбтífos | Eılas |
| Níkes | Єєо́тонтоя |
| MáapXos | ＇Aylas |
| Пoduxáp ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Mavtıvjs | Oedtovílor |
| 35 Фaîpos | Modéas |
| Fâxos | ＇A入¢ ${ }^{\text {cias }}$ |
| Eibaulbas | ＇Exias |
| Dalotpatos | Mavgavias |
| Xapeioas | Núxios |

Ten Arkadian cantons are included，the following six being onitted：－Phigaleia，Psophis，Kynætha，Kaphyæ，Pheneos， Stymphalos．The list indecd was subject to variation，accord－ ing as Achæa or Sparta，Macedon or Etolia，brought this or that town under their influence（comp．the list in No．169）． Droysen（Hellen．iii．2，pp． 131 fol．）inclines to place this decree soon after the＇liberation＇of Megalopolis in 251 b．c．by the assassination of Aristodemos（Polyb．x．22）．It is likely that the Arkadian League was then revived，and deputies convened at Tegea．The recipient of the honour is no doubt Phylarchos
the historian, whose partiality for the Arkadians is severely censured by Polybios (ii. 56). The inscription would therefore have somewhat of the nature of a manifesto. It also reveals something of the constitution of the League: it has (i) its $\beta$ ou入n' of deputies ( 8 a $\mu$ iopyou) from each town, varying in number according to the population; (2) an $\tilde{e}^{2} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ of 10,000 called $\mathrm{Oi} \mu \nu \rho i o$ (Xen. Hell. vii. 1. 38, etc.). As neither the writing nor the dialect of the decree are Arkadian, but resemble the Attic forms, M. Foucart concludes that Phylarchos was himself present, and personally directed the erection of the inscription.

## 172.

Treaty between Hierapytna and Priansion in Krete:
B. C. 250-200 (P).

The original is in the Marble-room at Oxford, where I have re-read it. Published by Böckh, C. I. G. 2556 : cp. Röhl. Schede Epigr. p. 8.

$$
[\Theta] \text { còs ára } \theta[o ́ s] .
$$













Probably the ' previous alliance' between Gortyn, Hierapstna, and Priansion is that published by Bergmann (De inser. Cret. Berl. 1860).

$$
\text { § 2. 'I } 1 \text { pa }
$$








The citizens of the one town are to have all the rights of citizenship in the other; provided they are ${ }^{\prime} \mu \phi u \lambda o n$, i.e. native Kretans, and not adoptive citizens.
§3. ${ }^{2 \xi \in ́ \sigma \tau \omega}$ ठt $\tau \bar{\varphi}$




A Priansian citizeu living at Hierapytna, and vice versa, is to pay, not a $\mu$ erolkcoy, but the ordinary tares payable by citizens.

> §4. cl ber ri







Piracy and internecine war abound in Krete: provision is made therefore for the safe bestowal of goods or crops by Hierapytnians at Priansion (and vice rersa); such goods to be brought in and out of port without toll; but if exported for sale, must pay duty. Cp. No. 100.
§5. кaтà тaủrà ot

 $\mu \in ́ v o s . \quad$ Right of $\mathbf{~} \pi \pi w o \mu i a$ is interchanged.




 hospitality to be granted to envoys: if not granted, the fellowcitizens of the neglectful K $\delta \sigma \mu \mathrm{oc}$ must pay ten staters to the envoys.








Early in the 3rd century the old Doric institutions lost their rigour, and assumed a more democratical character, less different from the rest of Greece; hence the mention of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \boldsymbol{l}$.









Provision is made for the periodical rehearsing of the treaty. The ' $\Upsilon \pi \in \rho \beta$ ©ía are an unknown festival.




 $\tau \omega$ тầ $\pi o ̈ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$.

Kouròv óckaनtípıov is a court empanelled from both cities.










 $\nu i$.

The Kosmi of this year shall bring before a joint-court those private suits which had been pending since the interruption of commercium juris dandi repetendique (кocvodikiov); they shall carry them through ( $81 \epsilon \xi a \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha \nu$ ) during their year of office, and give sureties for this within one month from the day this treaty is concluded. Kocvo8ixtov may refer only to Hierapytna and Priansion : but if it be the same which Polybios (xxiii. 15) speaks of as existing b.c. 184 between all the Kretan cities, then it must have been revived again.








 matter decreed in the Treaty alluded to in $\S$ I. In case of such arbitration failing, the Kosmi are to appoint ( $\sigma$ ravvt $\sigma \theta \omega \nu$ ) an
 крıти́คเov). Cp. No. ${ }^{156}$.










ठıкаiшv $\gamma \ell$ үраптта.

Krete, though inheriting a legendary renown (Thuk. i. 4), and though regarded as the model of Doric institutions (see Plato and Aristotle passim), yet in historical times lived apart from Hellenic interests. Our scanty information reveals a long series of fierce struggles between the Kretan towns (350-200 B. c.), until the Kretans appear everywhere as hired mercenaries, and the island itself-long a hornet's-nest of piracy-was reduced by Metellus in 68-7 b. c. into a Roman province. The chief references for its history are :-Diodoros, xvi. 61 foll., where Phalækos assists Knossos against Lyttos, and then perishes in besieging Kydonia, в.c. 339-8; Strabo (pp. 477-82) speaks of the relations of Kydonia, Gortyn, and Knossos. In 220 b.c. Knossos and Gortyn combined (Polyb. ir. 53) to subdue all the rest of Krete, and destroyed Lyttos. In 216 b. c. the Kretans, wearying awhile of internecine war, invited Philip V to undertale the general protection of the island (Polyb. vii. 14). In 184 b. c. Appius visiting Krete as envoy for Rome against Philip, found Gortyn oppressing Knossos, and endeavoured to restore peace to the island (Polyb. xxiii. 15). These internal struggles are reflected in the large series of Kretan treatyinscriptions, of which the present is one. We have Treatics between the Latii and Olus, Hierapytna and certain kleruchs, Mierapytna and Priunsion (the present one); between Ciorlyn, IIierapytna, and Priansion (Bergmann, De inecr. Cret. Berl. 1860); between Dreros, Knossos, and Milatos against Lyttos (Dethier, Dreros und Kretische Studien, Vienna 18.59); hetween Hierapy/na and Lyttos (Naber in Mrnemosyne, i. p. 105); between Lyttus and Olus (IIernes, iv. 266). The exact date of these documents is doubtful: some of them must be earlier than 220 в. c ., when Lyttos was annihilated. But Böckh, Höck (Krela) and others place some of them much earlier, and some later than this. The writing of the Oxford marble would suit the earlier rather than the latter half of the 3 rd century b. c.

## 173.

## Record of the Eastern Campaigns of Ptolemy Euergetes:

B.C. 247-222.

This document, known as the Marmor 4 dulitanum, ham a curions hiotory. It was inscribed upon a marble alab, eet up at Adule, a port of Abyminis on the Red Sea, which we may therefore conclude dated fron the reign of Euergetee I. The marble was in post-Christian times employed to embellish a monument (coosisting of a marble throne) erected by an Ethiopian king to commemorato hie victorice. The whole monument was seen and described by Kommal Indopleastes, who in his Topographia Christiana, published 4.D. 545, has given both the inseription of Ptolemy and the inscription of the Ethiopian king upon the chariot iteolf, in the belief that both are parts of the same document. With the later inscription we are not concerned. The original monument has disappeared : we have conly the evidently careful tranacript made by Kommas. I give the text after Böckh, C.I.G. 5127.






















 тацิิ้ . . . .

Lines I foll. Ptolemy Euergetes is styled 'son of Ptolemy (Philadelphos) and Arsinoe.' Which Arsinoe is meant? For Philadelphos put away Arsinoe the daughter of Lysimachos and mother of Euergetes, to marry his own sister Arsinoe. It is clear Euergetes means to name the latter, his step-mother. For Philadelphos and Arsinoe are 'children of Ptolemy (Lagi) and queen Berenike,' each of whom are given the title $\theta$ eòs $\sigma \omega \dot{T} \eta \rho$. Arsinoe the wife of Lagos was a concubine of Philip of Macedon; so that the Ptolemies claimed an ambiguous descent from the reputed ancestors of the Macedonian royal family, Herakles and Deianira the daughter of Dionysos (see Q. Curt. ix. 8, 22 ; Paus. i. 6, 2 ; Thuk. ii. 99) : $a \pi \delta$ marpós and $a \pi \delta ̀ \mu \eta \tau \rho \delta \delta_{s}$ refer to these divine parents of the race. Lines 6 foll. : Theokritos (xvii. 76 foll.) similarly recounts the dominions of Philadelphos, which were inherited by Euergetes (line 5); but he adds Arabia and Æthiopia. The conquests recorded in lines 17 foll. are referred to
 Daniel, xi. 7-9. The spoils of Euergetes were immense: see No. 179. It was because of his restoration of images and other valuables to the shrines of Egypt that Ptolemy earned his title of Euergetes. In the last two lines $\delta \stackrel{a}{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho v x \theta \in \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi o \tau a \mu \omega ̂ \nu$ is understood by Droysen (Hellen. iii. i. p. 383) of the canals on the lower Euphrates and Tigris (Strabo, pp. 740-1). Through these canals Euergetes despatched troops to Arabia.

## 174.

## Iasos and its liberties under the Seleukide:

B.C. 281-248 (P).

On a broken steld in the Britiah Museum : unpublished.












We have seen on No. 164 what sacrifices Antiochos Soter made to secure the allegiance of the Greek cities. The freedom of Ionia was yet further guaranteed by Antiochos Theos (Joseph. Antiq. xii. 3. 2, as illustrated by Droysen, Hell. iii. 1. p. 330). See what the Smyrns inscription says of Seleukos II and Antiochos Theos (Nu. 176, line 10). The $\pi a r \ell \rho \omega \nu$ of line 3 are Seleukos I and Antiochos Suter. Iasos likewise owed its autonomy to the embarrassments of the Seleukid kingdom. The Seleukidæ claimed descent from Apollo (Justin, IV. 4), and placed his effigy on their coins: cp. No. 175. If the monarch referred to is Antiochos II ('Theos,' b.c. 261-246), he seems to have been urging Iasos and the maritime cities to union and loyalty to himself, as against Egyptian aggression. If from
 great,' в.c. 223-187), then the situation is an analogous one, but the enemies in view will be the Romans. The royal message is enforced by an oracular response from Branchidæ.

## 175.

Dedications of Seleukos II in the temple of Apollo Didymmos at Branchidæ near Miletos: B.C. 246 ( $P$ ).

[^11][^12]
## 176.

## Alliance between Smyrna and Magneaia ad Sipylum in the interects of seleukos II : B.C. 243 ( P ).

On a large alab frmm Smyrna now in the Marble-room, Onford, where I have verified and in come minute pointe improved the teat as given by Böch, C.I.G. 3137.
§ I. Decree of the people of Sinyrna comcerwing the propased
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§ II. The terms of the alliance; Magnesia is to become absorbed into Smyrna, to form one body politic: 'Exi iepícos 'Hymolov,
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 T̂̀ arâ̂̃. 1
§ III. Decree concerning the surrender and incorporation of the garrison at Palamagnesia: "E $\delta o \xi \in \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \delta \tilde{\eta}_{\mu}^{\prime} \varphi, \sigma \tau \rho a r \eta \gamma \omega \bar{\nu}$



















































No sooner had Seleukos II succeeded to the throne, upon the murder of Antiochos and Bereuike, than Ptolemy Euergetes proceeded to invade Syria to avenge his sister's death. Seleukos, who was then in Asia Minor, hastened across the Tauros to oppose him (lines 1-2). He was however defeated, and had to retreat, while Ptolemy overran the eastern dominions of Seleukos, and in 243 B. C., after a brilliant campaign, returned to Egypt with immense spoils (see Nos. 173, 179 ; Justin, xxvii. 1, 2 ; Appian. Syr. 65). Meantime Ptolemy's fleet had sailed round the cosst of Asia Minor, and had attached most of the Greek cities to the Egyptian cause. Karia and Lykia, Ephesos, Samos, and Kos were already Egyptian dependencies : and now most of the other states followed them. Magnesia ad Sipylum was one such, and Smyrna in remaining loyal to Seleukos had braved considerable danger (lines $1-6,89$ foll.). Seleukos must have remained in Asia Minor until Ptolemy's return to Egjpt. Then he again crossed the Tauros, b.c. 243 (lines 12 foll.). At the same moment a reaction in favour of Seleukos set in among the

Greek cities (Justin, xxvii. 1), and our inscription sets forth the manner in which Magnesia is reconciled to Seleakos. By $\mathbf{\Sigma c}$ devols (line 2, etc.) is meant the region of North Syria, which included the four cities of Antioch, Seleukeia, Laodikeia, and Apameia (Strabo, pp. 749-756). Lines 9 foll.: on the temple to
 8kfaodar='requesting them to allow.' Lines 14 foll.: Magnesia is to be simply absorbed in the body politic of Smyrna. It appears that many of the original inhabitants of Magnesia (ol
 and their lands occupied by settlers who belonged to the armies of the Macedonian conquerors. Alexander the Great had settled soldiers there (lines 100, 101, cp. Droysen, Hell. i. 2, p. 291) and Antiochos Theos had done the same (line 100, cp. Droysen, iii. 1. p. 32). The military character of these colonists is seen by the terms employed to describe them in $\S$ ii : of ${ }^{2} \mu$ Mayungiq
 nesia, including both the horse and foot soldiers resident in the city and those now serving in the field, together with the rest of the inhabitants' (see the remarks of Droysen, iii. r. p. 70).

The terms of the alliance are given in $\S$ ii. The Magnesians are to be merged in Smyrna : the laws and coinage of Smyrna are to serve for both, and any who desire to quit Magnesia and take up their dwelling at Smyrna are to be encouraged by the gift of a house (lines 54 foll.). These prorisions resemble those respecting Lebedos and Teos (No. 149). The $\mathbf{1 \xi \text { graoral were }}$ probably analogous to the Attic doyıбтai. cis סúvauıv cival tì̀ aút $\omega \bar{\nu}$, line $39,=$ 'to the uttermost of their power:' cp. \&к心̀ cival.
§ iii is a decree respecting the garrison at Palæmagnesia, a fort near Magnesia. It was held by Timon, an officer of Seleukos, with a detachment of the royal phalanx (lines 103 foll.), assisted by a force of Persians under Omanes. The treaty with Magnesia itself (§ ii) granted citizenship at Smyrua only to free Greek colonists (line 74): but here Omanes and his Persians receive the same with the rest, on condition of the absolute surrender of the fort to Smyrna. The districts of land ( $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o l$ ) apportioned to the soldiers by Alexander and Antiochos Theos respectively, are to be retained with their privileges unimpaired
(lines 100 foll.): and a hope is expressed that the ejected inhabitants of Magnesia, who had occupied a district of land near to Palæmagnesia, might similarly be incorporated with Smyrna, and retain their lands without tithe or tax (lines 101 foll.). Those of the garrison who have no allotment are promised land (line 102).
 This inscription does more than illustrate the war between Seleukos and Ptolemy; it shows us the working of the system of colonization under the Macedonian monarchs. It also reveals the weakness of the Seleukidæ; their empire was unwieldy in size, and comprised very varied nationalities, so that a strong central control was impossible. Thus the soldier population of Magnesia, and even the soldiers at the fort-Timon with the detachment of the phalanx, Omanes and his Persians-went over to Ptolemy at his first expedition. When in 243 b.c. the tide of feeling runs the other way, they are reconciled to Seleukos, but this treaty reveals what strong securities Smyrna had to take for their permanent loyalty.

## 177.

Statue of Attalos I; defeat of the Gauls and Antiochos Hierax : about B.C. 240-230.

A atatuo-bace fimm Pergamon, recently recovered: Humann, Die Ergebniece otc., p. 83 ; cp. Böckh, C. I. G. 3535.

## Baбut́a "Atradov


 каi 'Avtloxov $\mu$ גхаs хapı $\sigma$.


This inscription ras one of several written upon a number of blocks forming the base upon which had once stood a aeries of bronze statues in honour of Attalos I and Eumenes II. The first grand victory of Attalos I is also commemorated in No. 180: he had a like success when Antiochos Hierax (line 4) had enlisted the Gauls in his struggle with his brother Seleukos II about the
succession to the Syrian throne. Pliny speaks of these bronze groups and statues ( $N . H$. xxxiv. 84: 'plures artifices fecere Attali et Eumenis adversus Gallos prelia, Isigonus, Pyromachus, Stratonicus, Antigonus'). For indeed Attalos and Eumenes defeated them over and over again : (Livy, xuxviii. 17, 'Attalus eos rex sepe fudit fugavitque'). Our inscription appears to have stood beneath the central statue of the series, which was named Baouta "Artalov. Epigenes and his fellow commanders are otherwise unknown. But in the artist's name we recognize either the Antigonos or the Isigonos mentioned by Pliny.

## 178.

## Bolations between Keos and the AFtolian League:

B.C. 240-220.

> On the antce of the temple of Apollo at Karthsea : the text from Böckh, C. I. G. $235^{\circ}$; Lo Bat-Waddington, Voyage Arch. Pt. iv. 1763.










The date is fixed by the reference to the Amphiltyonic assenibly. It was about from 240 to 220 B.c. that the 压tolians assumed the sole management of the Delphic temple, and constituted themselves the sole members of the assembly, excluding all delegates (iєpouvduovєs) from other states (see Böch on C.I.G. 1694, who compares Polyb. iv. 25). It is observable how frankly the 不tolians avow their robber-instincts (cp. Polyb. xvii. 4-5; No. 31). As for Kens, it had a considerable trade (see No. 108), and was therefore glad to be secure from Etolian pirates. Keos was colonized from Naupaktos by Keos son of Apollo, according to legend; and Naupaktos was now Etolian. The four towns of Keos have one united constitution (ovvoucor ${ }^{\circ} \dot{o}^{\prime}$ ).
179.

Deoree of Egyptian prients in honour of Ptolemy Fuergetes: November 7th, B.C. 239.

Found at Tanic, in the Dolta : the toxt from M. Weacher, Rerue Areh. xiv. 1866, pp. 49 foll. ; the reat of the inscription is unpublinhed.


























 15 каl $2 \boldsymbol{1}$













The reader is referred to the notes on No. 173, which deals with the same campaign of Ptolemy Euergetes (lines 10, II). Syria, Phoenikia, Kypros (line 17) were among the domains he inherited from his father (see No. 173). The famous Rosetta stone (C.I.G. 4697), now in the British Museum, is a decree in honour of Ptolemy Epiphanes dated March 27, в. c. 196, resembling this one, but of less historical importance.

## 180.

## Victory of Attalos I over the Gauls near Pergamon :

 circa B.C. 235.On a block of marble discovered in the excavations on the citadel of Pergamon in 1879; Humann, Ergebnisec d. 4 uagrab. zu Pergamon, 1880, p. 80.
 [ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau 0]$ ùs Г Гa入áras $\mu d \chi \eta$.
This victory took place not long after the accession of Attalos

 And so Polybios, xviii. 24 (41)-followed by Livy, xxxiii. 21 : ôs

 $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ{ }^{\ell}$ tpy $\omega \nu$ (i.e. Attalos I was the founder of the greatness of


 iv. 20 ; Droysen, Hellen. iii. 2. pp. 9 foll.) The battle appears to have been fought near Pergamon, on the lanks of the Kailos.

It broke the power of the Gauls, who were becoming as terrible as they had been fifty years before (No. 165) ; and though it did not relieve Attalos or his successor Eumenes from the necessity of further victories over them, yet it was felt to be a decisive event. Attalos erected a monument upon the Akropolis at Athens to commemorate his victory; Pausan. i. 4. 6: Пepya-
 apòs 「a入d́ras t'xovoa (see Brunn, I doni di Attalo in the Annali dell'Instit. 1870, p. 292, who has identified a number of sculptures as belonging to this monument). The 'dying gladiator' is probably part of a group in which a Pergamene sculptor immortalized this event. And the recent excavations of the Germans have recovered the reliefs from the grand altar erected by Eumenes to Zeus and to Athens Nikephoros in gratitude for the same successes: the subject is the struggle of the gods and giants (see Humann's work, l.c.; Pausan. v. 13. 5).

## 181.

Death of Demetrios II, and liberation of Athens through Eurykleides, Diogenes, Aratos: B.C. 229-228.
Fragment found in the Peireess : the text from Köhler, C.I. A. ii. 379.


















Diogenes (line 13) was the officer of Demetrios II, who commanded the Macedonian garrison at Athens. Upon the death of his master, he surrendered the forts to the Athenians; and such was the enthusiasm of the people at this act, that Diogenes was hailed as benefactor and hero. He is named in an epigram of

 в.c., if not before, he was honoured with a $\tau \ell \mu \in \nu o s$ and a festival, rà $\Delta l o y t y \in c a$. Tò $\Delta l o y e v e c o \nu$ was the name of the gymnasium which formed the centre of what may be called the academic life of Athens under the empire : and $\Delta$ coyevous Eiepretov is inscribed upon one of the priests' thrones from the Dionysiac Theatre (C. I. A. iii. 299 ; Köhler, Hermes, vii. pp. 3 foll.). Pausanias (ii. 8), and Plutarch (Arat. 34), make the liberation of Athens to be entirely the work of Aratos. But, as Köhler suggests, the 1000 talents given to Diogenes were probably to enable him to pay off his garrison; and if Aratos had really been the chief mover in the affair, then Athens (like Argos and Corinth) would have joined the Acbæan League. The nameless benefactor of our decree had subscribed, inter alia, to the crown presented to Diogenes and his soldiery. Lines I-9 refer to the Chremonidean War: No. 170.

## 182.

Collision between Rhodes and king Philip: B.C. 201.

> A tall elab from Iason, now in the Britiah Muceum; where I have read it. The upper portion in published by Le Beo-Waddington, Voyage Srch. Pt. v. 25!.
lasian dating:

 $\Psi \eta \phi \ell \sigma \mu a r a$ тарà 'Pobi $\omega \nu$.
A. Decree of the Rhodians, in reply to a remonstrance from Iasos supported by a letter of king Pkilip:
























B. Rhodian decree, sending two envoys rith friendly assurances to Iasos:











C. Rhodian decree, sending encoys and asourances of peace to [king Philip?]:





(Here the stone is defaced by long woear for the space of nearly thirty lines.)
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For some time before the actual outbreak of the war in 201 b.c. the relations between Philip and the Rhodians had been very uneasy. They knew that he had caused the burning of their fleet, and had urged the Kretans to make war upon them (Polyb. xiii. 4). Nevertheless the Rhodians maintained a formal friendship with Philip, having nothing whatever to gain from war. But when Philip began to march southwards, when, in spite of the representations of Rhodian enroys, be treated Kios with the utmost barbarity-they delayed no longer to declare Philip an enemy, and sent envoys to Rome 'nuntiantes Asix quoque civitates sollicitari' (Livy, xxxi. 2). Philip pursued his course by marching into Karia, where Rhodes had become possessed of a valuable strip of coast, the Rhodian Perca (Strabo, xiv. p. 651).

This region he took possession of, and put garrisons in several towns to make good his advantage, Iasos being one of these (Polyb. xvi. 12; xviii. 2. 8, 44 ; Livy, xxxii. 33; xxxvii. 17). Our inscription is evidently earlier than the final rapture; but only just before it. The incidents it relates to may well have happened in 201 b.c. Podilos (line 7) is the Rhodian governor in the Perma. Olympichos (lines 42, 60) is probably an agent of Philip's, serving in Karia. It seems clear that the Iasians-who might very naturally be jealous of the growing influence of Rhodes upon the mainland-are made throughout the cat's-parv of Philip. Certain Iasians have been assaulted by Rhodians (A passim), the dispute being perhaps about a right to certain land. Philip and his agent foment the dispute, taking the part of the Iasians and supporting a remonstrance made by their envoys (Decree A). The Rhodians in decree $B$ forward friendly assurances to the Iasians, and in C they send similar assurances to king Philip. In trath the Rhodians had no wish to quarrel with the Iasians, whom they claimed as ouypeveis, being a Doric colony from Argos (lines 4, 28, 39 ; cp. Livy, xxxvii. 17). The Rhodian envoys are Timasitheos son of Dionysios, and Epikrates con of Timasistratos. The resemblance of names suggests the thought that Timasitheos was the nepher of Timasistratos, Timasistratos and Dionysios being brothers. Livy names a Rhodian officer Epikrates ten years later as commanding in the Rhodian fleet against Antiochos (xxyvii. 13, 14 lis): this is probably the Epikrates of our inscription. And if he was consin to Timasitheos, we may further suppose the Timasikrates of Livy xxxrii. 14 to be another kinsman, possibly his brother.

## 183.

The Byzantine fleet at Athens, co-operating against Philip: B. C. 200-197.

A alab found on the Akropolis : the text from Köhler, C. I. A. fi. 414

## The preamble is lost:






















$\mu] \epsilon[\nu] \circ[\nu]$ àd $\lambda \omega \mu a$ тoùs та[ $\mu$ ]ías [r] $\omega \nu[.$.
$\ldots, \delta \pi] \omega s$ à $\nu \tau 0[\nu] \tau \omega[\nu \sigma v \nu] \tau[\in \lambda] o v[\mu \ell \nu \omega \nu \ldots$. к.r. $\lambda$.
Polybios (xvi. 2) informs us that at the opening of the war with Philip, the Byzantine fleet co-operated with Attalos and the Rhodians. What more likely than that the fleet should anchor in the Peiræus, and there be welcomed with decrees like the present?

## 184.

Athenian operations in the Macedonian War: B.C. 200-197.
Slab found on the Akropolin : the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. No. $4^{13}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . } \uparrow \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho v \tau] \text { - } \\
& \text { ave[ias' . . . . . . . . . . . . } \downarrow \text { च' } \phi \iota \sigma] \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { в каї } \sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho o ́ \epsilon \delta \rho о \iota^{*} \text { [ }[\delta o \xi \in \nu \tau \psi \hat{\psi}]
\end{aligned}
$$

> 15 aíras $\delta$ ćdecra $\mathbf{~ v v є \beta i ́ \beta a \sigma \in v , ~ к a - ~}$
> i vôv els roùs кatanditas $\nu[\epsilon-$
[i] polas Éveka кal фi入отчиla-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 30 \text { [éкyóvous кal o]lxlas aủroîs }
\end{aligned}
$$

Euxenides is a $\mu$ '́rockos (probably a ship-owner and merchant) from Phaselis (see No. 73 and p. 142) who has not only paid the usual war levies, but also in the late war ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{p}$, line 13), i.e. in the earlier part of the war with Philip, had furnished twelve sailors to the fleet at his own expense; and later on (line 16) has been supplying leather thongs for the catapults which defended the fortifications. This refers to the repeated invasion of Attika by Philip's troops (Liry, xxxi. 14, 16) which had caused great terror at Athens (ibid. 22), and by Philip himself (ilid. 24, 26). This explains the mention of the кara-
 the operations of the Athenian fleet in this war: see however Livy, xxi. 15 ; Polyb. xvi. 26.

## 185.

Dedications in honour of Masannasa at Delos：
B．C．200－150．
Statue－baces recently discovered at Delos：A，published in the Bulletin de Cor－ revp．Hell．ii．p． 400 ；B，in the Rhein．Mue．1880，p． 490.

A．Baनuĺa Maбavvárav Baoultcos 「ala Xdpuvios NıxápXov ‘Pódios
$\theta \in$ oís．
B．Baбı入ta Maбavvdбav
ßaoult́os 「ala
＂Eppeov $\Sigma$ ס́douvos


We here get at the true designation of Masinissa，the ally of the Romans at Zama，and his father：viz．Masannasas son of Gaias．The MSS．of Livy（xxiv．49，etc．）have filium Gala Masinissam．These statues were dedicated when the Numidian king was at the height of his power，and during a period when Delos，though deprived of her autonomy in b．c． 166 （Polyb． mxx．18）and handed over to Athens，yet，through its exemption from taxes on imports and exports，was superseding Rhodes in the commerce of the Agean（see Mr．Jebb，Journal of Hellenic Studies，i．p．32）．Both the dedicators were probably merchants． Charmylos is a Rhodian．Hermon，an Athenian merchant，is known from another Delian inscription（Bulletin，iv．184）as repaying a loan from the treasury of Apollo，which（with interest）amounted to 1000 dr．Polianthes was from Kyrene（Bulletin，iv． 212 foll．）．

## 186.

Raid of the Pirates upon Amorgos：about 200 B．C．＊
The text from Böckh，C．I．G．ii．，Addenda， 2263 c；op．Row，Arch．Aufs．ii．p． 643 ；Rangabe，Antiq．Mellín． 767.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \Sigma \omega \tau] \eta \rho i 8 \eta s \Phi_{\epsilon}(8)=s \text { Kooud入írys }
\end{aligned}
$$





























[a]s $\hat{\eta} v$ т
[els] тoùs $\gamma \in \nu 0 \mu\langle$ vous т




 кev кai фı入orıulas tîs els roùs






It had been one of the general adrantages of the Athenian supremacy by sea, that while it lasted piracy was impossible. With the decline of Greek independence piracy in the Levant became an unbearable nuisance, until it was put down by Pompey. The lettering of this decree can hardly be later than 200 b. C. In line 2 [ $\Sigma \omega \tau] \eta \rho(\delta \eta \xi$ is dubious: Koov $\lambda \lambda(\tau \eta s$ is a


## 187.

## Decree of the Achæan League, admitting the Arkadian

 Orchomenos: B.C. 199.Found in the ruins of Orchomenos : the text from Foucart, Reeve Archbologique, 1876, p. 97 ; and Le Bag, Voyage Arch. Pt. ii., Sect 6, No. 353. The first portion is broken off.
................. paiv....




 'O $0 \mu \nu \dot{\sigma} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ т̀̀ $\nu$


 ol ápxovtes $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{O} \rho$ -


入orlq кai rî $\psi a \phi(\sigma \mu a \tau[b \tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \in \nu 0$ -






 ì ol 'OpXoméviot 'Axacol $\boldsymbol{z}^{\ell}$ '-
 єiцєע пdута каі $\mu$ -






 кarat




 тolồvtas tà 8íkala.
The document defines the terms upon which Orchomenos is admitted into the Achæan League, 199 b.c. Antigonos Doson in 222 b. c. had severed it from the League; Polyb. iv.




 donian hands (Droysen, Hellen. iii. 2, pp. 124, 155) until Philip in 199 B. c. wishing to secure the goodwill of the Achæans, restored to them Orchomenos, Herea and Triphylia (Livy, xrxii. 5;
 on the coins of the League (Strabo, 385, $3^{87}$ : Alylewy $8^{\prime}$ ' $\sigma \sigma i$ кai
 $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 九 \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \omega ิ \nu \kappa о \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu)$. For the other deities of Agion see Pausan. vii. 24 and Polyb. v. 93. As to the 'difficulties' provided for, the robbery of the gold-plated altar-table needs no explanation : and Nearchos was probably a rúpavvos at Orchomenos under Antigonos Doson (see Polyb. ii. 41, 10). He and his family are granted an indemnity. The following officers of the League are here named, orparnyós, IтmapXos, vavapxos: for the yearly eponymous $\sigma$ трarryós see Plut. Arat. $3^{8}$; the Im $^{2}$ apxos is referred to by Polyb. v. 95, 7 ; Plut. Philop. 18. The vavapxos is not named by historians, the naval operations of the League being unimportant (see Livy, xxv. 26; Plut. Philop. 14).

## PART VIII.

## FLAMININUS TO MUMMIUS.

в.C. 196-146.

## 188.

Honours at Megara to Hikesios governor of Argina under Eumenes II: B.C. 197-159.

The text from Le Baa, Voyage Areh., Pt. ii. Sect. 1. (Megara), No. 35 a 1, newly collated with the atone by P. Foucart.





. . . . . . .] ] $\omega$ desunt cetera.
Egina, after the liberation of Athens in 229 b.c. (No. 181), joined the Achæan League. Upon the conclusion of peace between Philip and the allied Ettolians and Romans in 205 b.c. (Livy, rxix. 12), the 不tolians, to whom Agina had fallen by the terms of the treaty, sold the island to Attalos I for 30 talents (Polyb. xxiii. 8, 10). It remained a part of the Pergamene kingdom until B. C. 133, when the entire inheritance of Attalos III became the possession of Rome. The Pergamene lings sent their governors to Egina, two of whom are known from inscriptions, Hikesios and Kleon (see No. 189). Al ovvapxlat were a committee of magistrates, entrusted with the initiative in preparing measures: it is an institution very common after Alexander's time.

## 189.

Honours to Kleon, governor of Agina under Eumenes II and Attalos III : between B.C. 197-183.

A alab from Fegina, now precerved at Athene, C.I.G. 21396 (appendix); Rangabt, Ant. Hellen. 688; more accurately given in Lo Bas, Foyage Areh., Pt. IV. No. 1688.
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К入é $\omega \nu$ а
Etpatayou
Перуацпиóv.

Kleon had been governor of Exgina under Eumenes II and .ttalos II (see on No. 188) for sixteen years; his office being rolonged at the request of the Eginetans (ll. 28 foll.). He had een 'a just judge towards all, keeping far from himself all
private motives，and determined to act neither unfairly nor arbi－ trarily，but endeavouring in most cases to bring the contending parties to agree，＇etc．（ll． 8 foll．）．There were festivals in honour of Attalos and Eumenes（1．40），and another called Nury－ фópla．This was a festival originating at Pergamon，where Athena was worshipped as Nuкŋфópos，a temple and grove being dedicated to her by Eumenes II（Strabo，p．624；Livy，rxiii． 33，34）．The festival is repeatedly named in the newly exca－ vated inscriptions from Pergamon，but they have not yet been thoroughly examined（Humann，Ergebnisse der Ausgrab． $2 x$ Pergamon，1880）．From Pergamon the festival had been carried to Egina；so that it probably commemorated some success of Eumenes．

## 190.

Flamininus＇Letter to Kyretise：B．C．196－194．
Found on the site of Kyretise ：the text from Böckh，C．I．G． 1770.





入a入cîv ol oîk axd tov̂ $\beta$ e入tifotov cluod́res dya－









 $\theta a c$ aitoîs＇$\quad$ tpp $\omega \omega \sigma \theta$ ．

Kyretix，a town in the north of Thessaly，had been on the side of Plilip，and accordingly was captured by the EEtolians in

200 B.c. (Livy, xxxi. 41). At the close of the war with Philip the Romans had confiscated the property of some leading citizens of Kyretim, and probably of other Thessalian towns as well. But during the years 196-194 B.c., when the war with Antiochos was impending, it was the policy of Flamininus to conciliate all the Greek towns; cp. Live, xxxiii. 27: 'Id a Quinctio facile impetratum; non quia satis dignos eos credebat, sed quia, Antiocho rege jam suspecto, favor conciliandus nomini Romano apud civitates erat:' and so xxxiv. 48. By this letter the general ( 1 ) makes a present to the city of such portion of the confiscated property as had not yet been sold and realised by the Roman government (11. 8-10); and (2) as appeals had been made to him against the confiscation on the part of persons who declared themselves innocent, and he had in some cases allowed such claims, he bids the town authorities to see that such claimants had their rights restored, in accordance with his decisions. This reminds us of Livy, uxxiv. 48: 'Totum hiemis tempus jure dicundo consumpsit.' On orparךүòs v̈ँatos=consul, see No. 191 ; utitatos alone is usual in later documents.

## 191.

Flamininus besieges Gythion: B.C. 195.
A statue-bace from Gythion : she text from Böckh, C. I. G. 1325.

 тinpa.

The capture of Gythion by Flamininus forced Nabis to sue for peace; see Livy, xxxiv. 29 foll.: 'Erat eo tempore valida urbs et multitudine civium incolarumque et omni bellico apparatu instructa. In tempore Quinctio rem haud facilem aggredienti rex Eumenes et classis Rhodiorum supervenerunt,' etc. (cp. No. 192). The town was glad enough to be freed from the tyranny of Nabis. It is observed by Marquardt (Röm. Alt. iv. p. 380), that orparjpos vitaros was in the second century the usual Greek equivalent of Consul; Mommsen considers it the exact Greek equivalent of practor maximus, which was the older designation of the Consul (Eqhemeris Epigraphica, 1872, p. 156).

Used here of Plamininus it expresses his consulare imperixme, which was continued to him for the completion of the Macedonian War. He was Consul in 198 b.c. and proceeded into Greece: in 197 'T. Quinctio prorogarunt imperium ' (Livy, rxxii. 28).

## 192.

## Eumenes II acaiste the Romans in the War with

 Iebis of Sparta: B.C. 195, 192.From the ite of the Great Altar at Pergamon. The text from Humana, Die Ergebnicae, etc, p. 84 : the restorntiona are mine.

In 196 в.c. Greece had been proclaimed 'free' by Flamininus. But various hindrances to the pacification of Greece still remained. One was the menacing attitude of the 帅tolian League, another was the arrogant tyranny of Nabis at Sparta. By the end of the year it was determined to make war on Nabis, and the operations of Flamininus against him occupied the year 195 b.c., until Nabis made his submission and the war ended in a treaty (Livy, xxxiv. 22-40; Polyb. xxi. 9, § 10 ). Livy expressly names Eumenes as being present with Flamininus in this campaign (ib. 26, 30). The only doubt arises about tò $\delta$ é̛repoy. Did Eumenes trice cross the Egean within this one year to assist Flamininus? or is cò deútepon to be referred to the expedition of 192 b.c., when Eamenes brought a fleet to co-operate with the Romans at the opening of the war with Antiochos? His forces were variously employed that year by Rome (Livy, xxxv. 39); hence perhaps the intentional


## 193.

Cn. Manlius and Ten Commissioners settle the Province of Asia: B.C. 188.

Found among the rains of Heraklea Latmi : the text from Le Bea, Voyage Arch., Pt. V., No. 588 ; lees correctly Böckh, C.I. G. 3800.


















There can be no doubt about the restoration of lines 1-2. After the defeat of Antiochos at Magnesia, Manlius was sent in B.c. 189 to Asia, to settle questions of frontier, etc. His first year was occupied in the war with the Galatians (Livy, xxxviii. 12 foll.). He remained the next year in Asia as Proconsul (ibid. 35,37 foll.), and, assisted by ten commissioners from Rome, made arrangements for the future of the conquered territory. Manlius was of course assailed with petitions and deputations from the various towns (iLid. 37 foll.); one of these is Heraklea, to which he grants the status of a libera cicitas. On the title orparpyds vizaros see No. 191. By this settlement Karia was made Rhodian territory. In line 11 dióóvai autroùs cls tク̀v ${ }^{' P} \omega \mu a l \omega \nu$ $\langle\pi \iota \tau \rho o \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ translates the Roman deditio: cp. Polyb. xxxvi. 2; Marquardt, Röm. Alt. iv. 354.

## 194.

Kallikrates, the traitor of the Achman League: B.C. 179.
A statuo-base recently excavated at Olympia: Dittenberger in Archäol. Zeituang, 1880, pp. 52 foll.





It is not necessary to follow the intricacies of Spartan politics during this period; suffice it to say, that it was the fierceness of Spartan sedition which wrecked the policy of Philopomen. In 192 b.c., after the death of Nabis, Philopœmen went to Sparta and succeeded in bringing the city to join the League. This however was the result of circumstances, and not of any general consent. The Federalist party were never strong enough at Sparta to hold their orvn. Faction raged among the citizens and among the refugees without. In 191 b.c. it taxed Philopœmen's influence to the utmost to maintain peace: in 188 в. c. he was provoked to settle the difficulties at Sparta by a murderons revolution. Meantime the councils of the League on the one hand, and the Senate of Rome on the other, were besieged by envoys from Spartan factions, or the complaints of exiles. It was a foolish ambition for the Achran League to seek territorial extension by the forcible retention of Sparta. Philopœmen's death (b.c. 187) left Lykortas a hopeless task. The 'patriotic' policy of the League had been to maintain a qualified but defined independence, subject to the protection of Rome. But this could not go on without internal peace within the Peloponnese. Grecian discord made freedom impossible. Kallikrates however had the unhappy distinction of first soliciting the complete control of Rome, and of becoming the avowed leader of a pro-Roman party within the League. In this connexion our inscription is important. Philopoemen had always been understood to befriend the victims of Nabis and the tyrants. When these came to regard Kallikrates as their friend,
and set up his statue at Olympia, their conduct was proof enough that the policy of Philopœmen and Lykortas was gone by altogether. We may sympathize with Polybios (xxvi. 1-3) and the Achæan patriots without approving their policy; and we may criticize their policy without approving (with Mommsen, BK. iii. ch. 9) of Kallikrates.

## 195.

Senatusconsultum concerning Thisbæ: B.C. 170.
Found on the site of Thisbor, and published by T. Mommeen, after Foucart, in the Ephemeris Epigraphica, vol. i. 1872 , pp. 278 foll.; and vol. ii. p. 102. Now at Athens, where Schmidt has re-read it, Mittheilungen, 1879, 235 foll.

## Text of Inscription.

A.

1 Kôìtos Maìlos Títov viòs
2 नт $\left.\rho a r \eta \gamma \partial s \tau_{\eta} \sigma \nu \nu \kappa \lambda \eta\right|_{\mid \tau \varphi} \sigma v \nu-$

 $\tau \omega \mu \beta \rho ใ \omega \nu$.
4 Графоні̀»ч | $\pi а \rho \bar{\rho} \sigma a \nu$ Mávıos 'Arílos Maviov viòs 'Oג-
 rov viós.
6 Пєрі̀ थ̀ $\Theta \iota \sigma \beta \mid \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \lambda o ́ y o u s ~ e ̀ \pi o l-~$



 тà ка $\theta^{\prime}$ aưtoùs трáүцата






 тiorews фа:עшयтац. "Eठoge.

Translation by Mommsen.
A.
Q. Maenius T. f. praetor senatum consuluit in comitio a.d. VII idus Octobres.

Scribendo adfuerunt M'. Acilius M'. f. Voltinia, T. Numisius T. f.

Quod Thisbaei verba fecerunt de rebus ad se pertinentibus qui quidem in amicitia nostra permanserunt, ut dentur sibi quibus ipsi res suas exponant de ea re ita censuerunt:
ut Q. Maenius praetor senatorii ordinis viros quinque delegaret, qui sibi e re publica fideque sua esse viderentur.

Censuerunt.

## B.


 Moúrıos Koturov viós, Máap-
 Mávios $\Sigma$ épyios Mavíov viós.

 18 pas | [ $\kappa$ ]ai $\pi \in \rho i \quad \lambda \mu \epsilon![\nu] \omega \nu$

19 à aưtĉ̀ è $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \mid \dot{o} v \in \iota \sigma a \nu$, rav̂-


## 

 $21 \pi \rho \circ \sigma o ́ \delta \omega \nu$ ö $\pi \omega s$ aùroì | [ $\kappa$ ]u-


$22 \mid$ oltuves cis tì̀ ф фilíav tìv





"E $\delta 0 \xi \in \nu$.
 ข่ $\pi a \rho \chi$ óvt $\omega \nu$ aùroîs.



' $\Omega \sigma a u ́ t \omega s$ тєpì ©̀v ol aùrol


[I.] Item quod iidem verba fecerunt de agro et de portubus et vectigalibus et de collibus suis :
quae ipsorum fuerant, per nos eis ea habere licere censuerunt.
[II.] [Item quod iidem verba fecerunt] de magistratibus et sacris et reditibus ut ea ipsi obtinerent, de ea re ita censuerunt:
qui in amicitiam nostram [venerunt], ante quam C. Lucretius castra ad oppidum Thisbas admovit, ut ii decem annis proximis obtineant.

## Censuerunt.

[III.] [Item quod iidem verba fecerunt] de agro et aedificiis et bonis suis :
cajuscumque ipsorum aliquid fuerit, ut sua sibi habere iis liceat, censuere.
[IV.] Item quod iidem verba fecerunt, [qui sunt] transfugae ipsorum exules inde, arcem iis

фuydoes ठ̈vtes，тìv axpav

 каӨótı èvєфávıбav＊ 30 ovi｜r


 $\xi \in \nu$.


 33 ［ $8 \mid \pi \omega]$ s cls т̀े Kametú入ıov




 $\sigma \iota \nu^{*}$


 37 тovs，oltıves ivev［av｜ri］a
 ท̀juctépols кal toîs éavtêv
 xcural－
$\pi \epsilon \rho$ т тoúrov то仑 тра́rүatos，



 E（e）．
41 Oituves els à入las $\pi$ ónets $\mathfrak{a l}$［ $\pi$－


 кататореध́єита！

ut communire liceret et ibi iidem habitarent，ut signifi－ caverunt ：
ita censuerunt，ut ibi habitarent et id communirent．
Censuere．
Oppidum communiri non pla－ cuit．
［V．］Item quod iidem verba fecerunt aurum，quod contu－ lerunt in coronam，ut in Capi－ tolium coronam ponerent，sibi， ut significaverunt，at ipsis redderetur，ut eam coronam in Capitolium ponerent：
ita reddi censuerunt．
［VI．］Item quod iidem verba fecerunt homines，qui adver－ sum rem publicam nostram ipsorumque sint，ut ii detine－ antur：
de ea re at Q．Maenio practori e re publica fideque sua esse videbitur，ita fieri censuerunt．
［VII．］Qui in alia oppida abie－ runt neque ad praetorem a nobis missum adfuerunt，ii ne in locum regrediantur ：
de ea re ad A．Hostilium cos．


44 ठт

 әдтац.
"Eठo\}ev.
46 | ' $\Omega \sigma a u ́ r \omega s$ тєpì ©iv ol aùroì


 48 кibos aфе $\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma$, | каі $\Delta а \mu о-$ крlта $\Delta$ lovvolov ${ }^{2} X$ © $\Theta_{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega}$ aitd. (sic)


 $\xi \in D$.
 prvaîkas vibplas oìv dpro${ }^{51}$ pleq | [el]s ròv otpartrò̀ Іे $\nu \in \nu \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \in ไ \pi a \sigma a \nu, ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ r o v ́-~}$



$\left.\left.53\right|^{\prime} \Omega \sigma\right] a v i t \omega s \pi \in \rho l$ © $\nu$ ol aủroì

54 tov кal $\mathrm{e}[\lambda a \mathrm{l} \mid 0 v]$ aứoîs кotעwplà apòs Г Гaîov Пavठooivov yeyovévai-
$55 \pi \in \rho[i$ rov $]$ rov rov̂ трфүнатоs кầ кpıràs $\lambda a \beta \in i ̂ \nu ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda \omega \nu т a \iota, ~$
56 тớtoısкpıràs $[8] \rho[\hat{v} \mid \nu]$ at E8o$\xi \in \nu$.


 cls Altwhlav кal $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\omega \kappa \kappa}\left(\delta a^{-}\right.$
litteras dari censuerant, ut in cos ita animadvertat, nt ipsi e re publica fideque sua esse videbitur.

Censuere.
[VIII.] Item quod iidem verba fecerant de judiciis Xenopithidis et Mnasidis, ut Chalcide dimitterentur, et Damocrita Dionysii filia Thebis [itidem]:
de iis rebus dimitti eas urbibus censuerunt, et at Thisbas ne redirent, censuerunt.
[IX.] Item quibus de rebus has mulieres sitellas cum argento ad praetorem tulisse dixerunt, de ea re posthac coram C. Lucretio deliberandum esse censuerunt.
[X.] Item quod iidem Thisbaei significaverunt de frumento et oleo sibi societatem cum Gnaeo Pandosino fuisse:
de ea re si judices accipere velint, iis judices dandos esse censuerunt.
[XI.] Item quod iidem verbs fecerunt de litteris dandis Thisbaeis in Aetoliam et Phocidem :

58 тєрі тои́тоv | той тра́үцатоs

$5 y$ Altw入lav кal $\Phi \omega \kappa \ \mid \delta a$ кal

$60 \lambda \omega \nu \tau a l, \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a \tau a \phi \lambda \lambda \nu \nu \mid \theta \rho \omega-$

de ea re Thisbaeis et Coronaeis in Aetoliam et Phocidem et si quo ad alias civitates velint, litteras benignas dandas esse censueront.

The Greek text, with its awkward, and even ungrammatical style, bears witness to its origin. It is an official translation made at Rome from the Latin of the Senate, and the reader will be glad of a Latin version. The senate met $2 v$ коцeтiч (line 2), i.e. in the Curia Hostilia. In reality we have here two SCta $A$ and $B$, dated respectively the 9th and 14th of October (lines 3, 14). The Consul (lines 42-3) Aulus Hostilius Mancinus, consul 170 b. c., being absent in Macedonia, and his colleague Aulus Atilius in Liguria, the praetor urbanus, $\mathbf{Q}$. Mænius, convenes and consults the senate (line 1). Lines 15, 16 being by no means the only place where Marcus is represented by Máapkos, Mommsen supposes the old form of the name to have been Maharcus, like ala from ahala. The version, which will be the best commentary, is chiefly by Mommsen; from whom I borrow some remarks on the historical events alluded to. At the outbreak of the war with Persens, a majority of the Boeotian
 pathy, and on terms of friendship with Perseus. In 171 b. c. the senate sent out commissioners into Greece, to organize alliances against Perseus (Livy, xlii. 37). In Boeotia they ignored the League, and insisted upon dealing with each city individually (Polyb. xxvii. 2). The result was that every city made its submission to Rome, with three exceptions. These were Koroneia, Thisba, and Haliartos: for this inscription enables us to correct a blunder in the text of Polybios (xxvii. 5), which must have been as old as Livy, both Livy (xlii. 46,47 ) and Polybios l.c. speaking of Koroneia, Haliartos, and Thebes. Of the three towns Haliartos was at once besieged, taken, and razed to the ground (Livy, slii. 56, 63). Koroneia was taken by Licinius the consul towards the end of the same year b.c. 171 (Livy, slii. 67), and its case made the subject of a SCtum at the same time (id. sliii. 4). Concerning Thisbe this inscription gives us infor-
mation, and we may now correct the text of Livy, xlii. 63, and read: ' Inde (from Haliartos) Thisbas ductus exercitus; quibus sine certamine receptis, urbem tradidit exulibus et qui Romanorum partis erant; adverss factionis hominum fautorumque regis ac Macedonum familias sub corona vendidit. His gestis in Bootia, ad mare et ad naves rediit.'

This passage exactly illustrates SCtum $A$, (lines 6-13), where the Thisbmans 'of the Roman party' having applied to the Senate for a commission to inquire into their condition, five commissioners are to be appointed to see to their claims. It appears from Strabo, p. 4 II (cp. Homer, II. ii. 502) that Thisbee, which lay at the foot of M. Helikon, possessed a territory reaching down to the sea-shore. Line $13,800 \xi \in$ means ' the preceding relatio was carried upon division.'

SCtum B. Lines 17 foll. Between the 9th and 14th of October the five commissioners bave been appointed, and now the Thisbran envoys again lay their case before the Senate, and this second SCtum instructs the commissioners as to their work. By ${ }^{\prime} \chi \in เ v$ it is not meant that the Senate annuls this deditio (for the formula of which, here alluded to, see Livy, i. 38 ; Polyb. xuxvi. 2), but merely that the Thisbrans should be allowed to occupy and enjoy their own, on condition of paying tribute. By
 or places subject to it. Mommsen reads $\delta \rho[ \rceil] \omega \mathrm{D}$, incito lapide.

Ch.ii. (ll. 20-24) places the administration of Thisbee exclusively in the hands of the Roman party; and for a period of ten years only. There was this difference between a civitas likera and etipendiaria that self-government was ceded to the former absolutely, to the latter for a time only and subject to withdrawal.

Ch. iii. (ll. 25-27) restores their private possessions to the Thisbæans of the Roman party, of which they had been deprived at the surrender of Thisbo. Mommsen thinks that though Flamininus proclaimed freedom and immunity to Greece, yet an exception was made in the treatment of Boeotia. He finds a reference to tribute paid by Bootians in the thirty talents of Livy, rxxiii. 29, and the story of Cic. de Nat. D. iii. 19, 49. This would explain the peculiar hostility to Rome in Boootia, as shown in the Persic war.

Ch. iv. (11. 27-31) gives permission to those who during the war
escaped to the Roman camp, and to these only, to fortify and occupy the citadel. But the town walls are not to be restored.

Ch. v. (ll. 32-35). The allies of Rome had the right of sacrificing and making offerings in the Capitol; and Livy (xlii. 40) speaks of the Bœotians as 'sociis nostris.' It seems that the Thisbeans, before the war broke out, had raised a fund for such an offering; and this fund had, by the deditio, been forfeited to Rome. It is hereby restored to them, that they may still offer it.

Ch. vi, vii (ll. 36-45) deal with the leaders of the Macedonian party at Thisbæ. Most of these had probably been brought to Italy after the surrender of the town to Lucretius. The envoys furnished a list of their names. The pretor Mænius is to summon them to appear, and deal with them at his pleasure: those who are still in Greece are to be dealt with by the Consul now in Macedonia.

Ch. viii, ix (ll. 46-52) deal with the case of three women of Thisbæ, two of whom (it seems) were detained at Cbalkis, and the third at Thebes. They are to be liberated, but may not return to Thisbæ. We may imagine these romen to have been engaged in a law-suit with certain members of the Roman party about some property, perhaps a disputed inheritance: the passage is certainly obscure. From the character of Lucretius given by Livy (xliii. 4, 7, 8), we may believe the charge so circumstantially described in ch. ix, that these women had succeeded in bribing the pretor to give his judgment in their favour. Lucretius was at this moment at Antium, pleading detention on business, and anxions to avoid the complaints awaiting him from Chalkis as well as Thisbx (Livy, l.c.).

Ch. x (ll. 53-56) refers to a contract or societas, by which one Gnæus of Pandosia had undertaken to farm certain lands belonging to Thisba, in return for a certain rent payable in corn and oil. Now that the territory had been surrendered to Rome, the Thisbæans are concerned to receive the warrant of this SCtum to hold Gnæus to his bargain. In case of any dispute, Gnæus will have to reckon with them.

Ch. xi. (ll. 57 -end) gives letters commendatory to the envoys: cp. the SCtum in Josephus, Ant. Jud. xiii. $9: 8 \pi \omega s$ aùroîs $\pi \rho \delta_{s}$
 rifs els oikov ènarobov. On their journey bome they would pro-
bably call at Naupaktos (压tolian), and Antikyra in Phokis. We have already seen that the senate had dealt with Koroneia in the winter of 171 bic., so that the envoys must have stayed on for some time at Rome, or else these are a fresh set of envoys.

## 196.

Lucius Hortensius at Athens; third Macedonian War: BC. 170.

Slab discovered at Athens : the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 423.
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Lucius Hortensius was the incapable and unscrupulous admiral who nearly ruined the hopes of Rome in this war: see Livy, xiii. 4, 7 .
197.

Prusias II sends some of his spoils to the Didymman Apollo: B.C. 158.

Found on the site of the temple: the text from Böckh, C. I. G. ${ }^{3855}$.
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I include this for the sake of $\S 2$. Prusias II in 156 invaded the territory of Attalos, and pillaged the city of Pergamon with-
out mercy，despoiling temples，and even carrying off the statue of Asklepios（the special god of Pergamon），to whom he had been sacrificing the day before（Polyb．xxxii．25）．Some of the first－ fruits of these spoils（as it appears）he sends to the Apollo of Branchidæ，whom he especially revered．§ 6 ．He sends also some cups called after himself：see Athenæ． 475 fin．， 496 ．\＆ 8. His queen，Kamasarye，sends also a golden object，which we cannot identify．Her name is identical with Kopooapún wife of Pairisades I，king of Bosporos（C．I．G．2119）．The heading gives the date of these gifts by naming the Milesian eponymos， and the temple authorities．The eponymos is $\delta$ oteфа⿱亠䒑𧰨фópos， and the office has been held by the god himself for three years in succession；a not uncommon circumstance（see Böckh，C．I．G． Nos．2677，2905；Le Bas，Voyage Arch．Pt．V．Nos．252，255－8，
 фópos in $\S 9$ was the title of an important priesthood at Miletos．

## 198.

Dedication by Mummius at Olympia：B．C．146－145．
On a base discovered in the recent excavations：Furtwiangler，Archäol．Zeitung， 1879，p． 147.
 oтратпүòs їтатоs ${ }^{\text {＇} \mathrm{P} \omega \mu \text { аi }}$ ， $\Delta t{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{\nu \mu \pi} / \varphi$ ．
See No． 199.

## 189.

## Dedication by Mummius at Thebes：B．C．146－145．

On an altar at Thebea ：Keil，Sylloge ipper．Beed．p．83；Le Bac，Voyage Arrh．， Pt．ii． 486.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \Lambda \text { ]eúxios Mó }
\end{aligned}
$$

roîs $\theta \in[0 i ̂ s]$ ．

On the title see Nos． 191 and 197.

## PART IX.

## MUMMIUS TO SULLA.

в. с. 145-80.

200. 

Award of the Milesian arbitrators in favour of the
Messenians: B.C. 145-140.
On the pillar-base of the statue of Victory by Peonios, recently discovered at Olympia : the text from R. Neubauer, Arch. Zeitung, 1876, p. 128 ; cp. 1878, p. 104: see supra No. 49. Referred to by Tac. Ank. iv. 43.

Kрібıs жері Хс́рая

A. Decree of the Eleians permitting the Award to be inscriled at Olyupia :-
























 ėтlav.
B. Letter from the Miflesians, enclosing an authorized copy of the Axoard:-
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 [ $\nu \eta \nu]$ ग़ी $[\delta \eta \mu]$ ocla $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i z \iota$.
C. Copy of the Milesian Aroard:-
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ס́éка ${ }^{\text {é } \xi \text {. }}$

The ager Dentheliates, a fertile strip of land on the slope of the foot of Mt. Taygetos, was for many centuries a bone of contention between the Messenians and Lakedæmonians (Steph. Byz. s.r. $\Delta \in \lambda \theta \dot{a} v ı$ oc). It contained a shrine of Artemis Limnatis (Pausan. iv. 4, 2), frequented by the Messenians and Lakedæmonians alone, but of which the Messenians claimed entire control. Strabo (p. 362) connects the old Messenian wars with this dispute about frontier; and the feud smouldered on, the Messenians being established in their claim by Philip the father of Alexander, by Antigonos Doson, and by Mummius. This we know from Tacitus (Ann. iv. 43), who speaks of a final decision in favour of Messenia being given by the Senate under Tiberius A. D. 25. The Messellians on that occasion put in as evidence the award before us, and a sulsequent decision by 'Atidius Geminus, pretor Achaix.' It appears however that 'C. Cæsaris et M. Antonii sententia' the laud was assigned to the Spartans. We may suspect this to be one case amongst many in which M. Antonius made a market of the memoranda of Cæsar which he seized after the murder (see Cicero's Philippics, passim).

The date of the award is soon after Mummius' taking of Korinth, b.c. 146. This appears from Tacitus, l.c., and the spelling of Ka入ıлópvios (line 42), which shows that the Greeks were still unfamiliar with the combinations of Latin consonants. B. Line 29: as the eponymos at Miletos was the $\sigma$ reфavךфópos (line 40), the magistrates here mentioned were the executive, viz.
 C. is of course the important document. Elpqulov (line 40) may be a mistake for Elp $\quad$ valov. Line 41 : in our reckoning, the end of April. As the civil year in Asia began with the Autumn equinox, Kalamæon was the 7th or 8th month of the Milesian calendar: so that it is added 'but according to Roman reckoning the $4^{\text {th }}$ month ' (ayet understood after viós). Lines 43, 44 : the Senate had evidently prescribed a limit of time; it had taken five lunar months and eleven days since the Senatns consullum ( 8 óy $\mu a$ ) to interchange messages and make the needful arrangements before the Milesians appointed a court of arbitra-

 tribal or other subdivisions. Line 52 : the senate had narrowed down the controversy to a question of fact, by determining ' utri hanc terram tenuerint cum $L$. Mummius consul proconsulve illa in provincia esset, uti ii ita teneant.' It is clear that there was no real doubt on this point, and the sixteen votes given for Lakedæmon were probably due to other motives than honest conviction. It was natural that the Messenians should inscribe this diplomatic triumph over Sparta on the same monument which commemorated their military success in the Peloponnesian War (see No. 49).

## 201.

Statue to Polybios the historian at Olympis: B.C. 145 (P).
Statue-base recently excavated at Olympia : Dittenberger, in Archid. Zeitumg, 1878, p. 37.
Мико́рта Мєуалотолєítпע.

We do not know what were the particular benefits conferred by Polybios upon Elis, which moved that city to erect the
statue. What is certain is, that, after the fall of Korinth, Polybios, as the friend of Жmilianus, made use of his influence with Rome to mitigate the calamities of Greece. It was probably owing to him that a measure of freedom was still allowed to the cities, ' the fines imposed on the Achæans, and on the Bootians and Eubceans, were remitted; the restraints on intercourse and commerce were withdrawn; and the federal unions which had been abolished were revived' (Thirlwall, ch. lxvi fin.). No greater proof of the confidence he enjoyed could be given, than by the ten commissioners who had been entrusted with the settlement of Greece (в.c. 146); upon their departure, after six months' work, they directed Polybios to make a circuit of the Achæan towns, to explain difficulties, clear up details, and reconcile the Greeks to their new condition. Such is the statement of the epitomator of Polybios ( x .1 10 ). The traveller Pausanias found monuments of Polybios at Mantinea (viii. 9), Megalopolis






 Tegea (viii. 48). It is quite possible that the statue at Olympia was erected during his lifetime, soon after the departure of the Ten in 145 b.c.
202.

Ietter of the Proconsul of Macedonia to the people of Dyme: B.C. 116 ( $P$ ).

In the Library of Trinity Coll., Cambridge, where I have read it. Böckh, C.I.G. 1543 : Roeo, Inscriptiones Graca, p. 393, 405.
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The date of this letter cannot be long after the taking of Korinth, b.c. 146. The 'constitution granted to the Achreans' (line 9) was a timocracy ; so Pausan. vii. 16. 6: mbגccov be boal





 тdуra (cp. Polyb. xl. 8-10; bat see Pausan. vii. 16. 10). The oúvedpor of line 4 are probably the senate of Dyme: and ol xepi Kvaddecoy $\sigma$ ive $\delta \rho o t$ of line 5 , the senate of Kyllene, just south of Dyme. The crime of Sosos rasc an attempt at revolution: he aimed at introducing a more democratic constitution, assisted by some of the ex-deputies ( $\delta \eta \mu \mathrm{lov} p \mathrm{~m}_{0}$ ) of the late Achsean Leagre
(line 21). With this view he had fired the public archives, that he might destroy the assessment-returns apon which the timocracy imposed by Rome upon Dyme was based (line 22). In line $\left.26, \delta{ }^{2} \pi i\right\rangle \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi \ell \nu \omega \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \eta \gamma o ́ s$ is the 'prætor peregrinus.' The proconsul is proconsul of Macedonia; for no proconsul of Achaia is known before b.c. 28, when Augustus readjusted the provinces. Until then Achaia had been governed by the proconsul of Macedonia. But who is this Q. Fabius Q. F. Maximus? Whoever he was, he had been present with the ten commissioners at Patre in 146-5 b.c. (line 11). Zumpt assigns his proconsulate to 116 в.c. (Comm. Ep. ii. 167) : but one would prefer an
 Thirlwall (note in ch. lxvi fin.) is probably correct, viz. that it refers to the permission given to the Greeks to restore their federal leagues (Pausan. vii. 16. 7).
203.

Astypalma is made a Civitas Fooderata : B.C. 105.
Found at Astypalea, and thence conveyed to Smyrna, where it was destroyed by a fire in 1797 ; the toxt from Böckh, C. I. G. 2485.

## The preamble of the Senatus Consultum is lost:-
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20.
 'A

II. Terms of the alliance:-
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The civitates foederata held the highest rank among the peoples subdued by Rome. They made treaties with the conqueror on equal terms, and retained absolute independence, with the important exception of the clause inserted in all such treaties ut eosdem, quos populus Romanus, amicos atque hostes habeant. Our inscription comprises-§ 1 . fragments of a SCtum, granting the alliance, and specifying its formalities; § 2 . the terms of the alliance itself. Treaties of this kind were always deposited in the Capitol (line 11): cp. Marquardt, Röm. Alt. iv. 347 foll.

## 204.

Extreme poverty of the Tenians: their sufferings from the Pirates: about B.C. 100.

A large slab from Tenoe, now in the Library of Trinity Coll., Cambridge, where I have read it agnin. Böckh, C.I. G. 2335 ; Rose, Inscr. Gr., A ppendix.
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 roîs cíepyetrals àmodicoús, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . [d].
 surface is hopelessly sorm avay.]
 (lines 27,54 ) a known variation for aứdy, and in line 51 cls $\pi[a \rho d] \tau a \sigma \iota \nu \kappa a \theta$. . . I hope the reader will pardon the insertion of this specimen (one out of hundreds) of the vanity and verbose servility of later Greece. The date is not long before the expedition of Pompey in 67 b.c. against the Pirates, whose ravages are mentioned in line 8 : cp. No. 186. Lucius Aufidius Bassus was some Roman merchant, settled at Tenos. His father had died leaving the city his debtor for several loans (lines 8 foll.), and in particular his son inherited tro bonds (ourppapal) from the city for 11,000 drachmas and 19,500 (about $\mathscr{E}_{458 \text {, and }} \mathcal{E}^{\prime} 812$ ) respectively (lines 20 foll.). These Aufidius did not press, upon his father's death, but allowed them to stand over ( ${ }^{2} \lambda o t \pi \sigma \gamma \rho d \phi \eta \sigma \in \nu$ ). The other (smaller?) loans owed him by the city (lines 24 foll.) he calculated at 12 per cent. from the date when they were advanced, not at compound
 interest: 12 per cent. was the usual rate at this time. Morenver (lines 26 foll.) he advanced a further loan; and calculating the total principal owing him at the lowest possible sum, allowed the city five years more to pay it in, with interest at 8 per cent. ( $\tau \in \tau \rho \omega \beta \delta \lambda o v$ ). At the end of this term (line 30 ), finding the city still insolvent, he cancels a large part of the debt, and allows a further term of eleven years for it to be paid off in, without charging interest for that time. These favours the Tenians cheaply repay with their wordy compliments. Other creditors had not been so indulgent (line 32 ). Tenos is a iffà vîgos (line 37), as the centre of an ancient worship of Poseidon and Amphitrite (Strabo, p. 487).

## 205.

Ephesos declares war with Mithradates: B.C. 86.
Brought from Epheson by Hyde Clarke, Eeq., and presented to Oxford University: now in the Ashmolean Museum, where I have re-read it. Published by Le BurWeddington, Voyage Archeologique, Pt. V., Ionia, 136 a.
§ I. Decree of the people, declaring woar with Mithradates:rov̂ 8 è $\delta \eta{ }^{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$
[фu入d́ббоv] ros गìv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' P \omega \mu a i o v s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ к о[\iota \nu o u ̀ s ~ \sigma \omega r \hat{p} \rho a s ~ \pi a-~$


















§ 2. Decree calling the whole population to arms against the king:-
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 os èt $\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ èvexúpors $\sigma$.

The shameless misgorernment of republican Rome had stirred up a hatred of the Roman name which made the Asiatic Greeks ready to hail Mithradates as a deliverer, and even to comply with his savage order to massacre on a certain day every Roman or Italian, without regard to age or sex, within the cities of Asia. Ephesos was foremost in this bloody revenge (b.c. 88 : Appian, Mithr. 21-23, 61). But very soon a reaction set in against Mithradates: his tyrannical behaviour to the Asiatic towns, and especially his brutal treatment of Kos, led the Ephesians in 86 b.c. to refuse entrance to Zenobios, the king's general (Appian, il. 48), except without arms, and privately. That same night they slew him, and Mithradates felt his position so
insecure that he at once granted full autonomy to all the Greek cities. Meanwhile the arms of Rome were prevailing against him in Greece proper, and it was becoming evident that his cause was virtually lost. It must have been at this period, the end of 86 or beginning of 8 j в.c., that this decree was passed. The studied expressions of regard for Rome are clearly dictated by fear of Roman revenge. In $8+$ b.c. Sulla came to Ephesos, and though he put no one to death, yet the protestations of the Ephesians did not blind him to the guilt of the city, which he punished with a heavy fine (Appian, ib. 62, 63).
The first decree is introductory to the second. The excuse urged in lines $8-9$ is manifestly false. The second decree is $\pi \epsilon \rho i \phi \lambda \lambda \alpha \theta \rho \omega \bar{\pi} \omega \nu$ (lines 19,42 ), i.e. it promises rewards to those who will volunteer for the war against Mithradates. The rewards are these : citizens who have been struck off the list,
 for non-payment of taxes, fines, etc., due to the state or to the temples, are to be reinstated in their rights (lines 29-34). Farmers of temple-lands however, and of the public tolls and dues, are not to be hereby released from their legal engagements (lines 34-36). All loans made to persons from temple-funds on note of hand are hereby cancelled (lines $3^{6-37}$ ); except in the case of loans advanced on mortgage, whether the mortgage is upon the property of the companies (ovornuárov, socielatum) so borrowing, or upon the property of sureties approved by the creditor (lines $3^{8-39)}$; in such cases however the interest on the mortgage is to be excused for the present (lines 39-40). These advantages are to be enjoyed by all citizens free born or enrolled up to this date (lines 41, 42). All prosecutions now pending, whether in the name of the temples or the state, are herely quashed, excepting suits concerning disputed boundaries or disputed inheritances (lines +2-44). Metuks, temple-serfs (ic. pof), fredmen, and aliens are to receive full citizenship (lines 44-48) ; public-slaves are to be set free and made metæks (lines 49-50). Thus far the decree has dealt with debtors only to the state or to temples. It is accordingly added that all private creditors (lines 50 foll.) came before the $\dot{e} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$, and placing themselves in the hands of the people, gave a voluntary release of all debts owing them; whether loans on ships and cargo (ovpßódaca vav-
tıќ), loans on note of hand (xcıро́ypaфa), loans secured by deposit ( $\pi a \rho a \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa a \iota$ ), or by mortgage ( $\dot{\pi} о \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa a l$ ), or by penalties in case of default ( $\ddagger \pi \iota \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa a \iota$ ), loans upon a deed of sale with power of recovery ( $\omega \nu a i$ ), or loans on note of hand of whatever stringency ( $\left.\delta \mu о \lambda o \gamma i a \iota, ~ \delta \iota a y \rho a \phi a l,{ }^{2} \gamma \chi \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon t s\right)$. At the same time such release (lines $53-55$ ) was not to affect the right of the creditor to retain any property or pledge of the debtors of which he had already taken possession, provided no stipulation to the contrary had been made by the creditor either in the original deed (iv$\theta d \delta \varepsilon$ ), or in an after document (è $\pi \in \epsilon \tau a$, lines 54,55 ). With respect to the transactions of bankers, whether as lenders or receivers, the decree annuls all transactions before this date, but all transactions after this date are to hold good (lines 55
 32 must be a blunder or variant for $2 \pi \iota \tau i \mu a$. This decree is quite a storehouse of Greek financial terms.

## 206.

## Settlement of the Province of Asia by Sulla, after the Mithradatic War: B.C. 80.

From Chios: the text from Büchh, C. I. G. 2222.






















The rest is lost.
This is a decree of the Proconsul of Asia, bearing date probably A.d. 65. For the Proconsul, whose name is lost, succeeded (line 3) L. Antistius Vetus, who was Consul in 55 (Tac. dnn. xiii. 11), and afterrards Proconsul Asiæ (ib. xvi. IO; cp. xiv. 58, 22). Antistius Vetus fell a victim to Nero's hatred in A.D. 65 , shortly after returning from his province: his proconsulship may be assigned to 64 , and our decree to 65 A.D. The Proconsul had lately had before him a dispute between the Chian envoys and the claimants of some property (ixdapxovta, line 1) left by one Staphylos; the claimants produced a letter of $L$. Vetus in their favour, though the envoys declared it to be contrary to the constitution of Chios. The new Proconsul at first was inclined to follow the ruling of his predecessor (line 4 foll.). But a careful hearing of both sides has convinced him that L. Vetus had been mistaken; as he finds (1) a scaled and official copy of the SCtum of 80 b.c., which made Chios a libera cirilas under Sulla's settlement of Asia (line 11 foll.; cp. Appian, Mithrall. 61 ; Pliny, Ni.II. v. $3^{8}$ ); and (2) a letter of Augustus to the same effect, dated in his 8th Consulate, b.c. 26. Eiठ̀ıк̄̂s, line 15, is specialiter; rúmu, line $17=$ formsla, actio, procedure. It was not usual for a ciritas sine fielere immunis et lijera (as mas Chios) to receive the right of deciding civil suits affecting Roman residents in its native courts: this was a privilege usually reserved for the ciritates fiederate (sce Marquardt, Röm. Alt. iv. p. $3+7$ foll.).

## I N D E X.

Abdera, the Teians retire to, 14 .
in the Quota-lists, 28, 49, 80.
in the new Alliance, 140.
A busimbel, inscription from, No. 3.
Accounts, public, Nos. 33, 34, 38, 4I, 46, 53. 82.
Achean League, 276, 288.
and Athens, 313.
readmits Orchomenos, 321.
officers of, 322.
policy of Philopoemen, Lykortas, Kallikrates. 330.
broken up by Rome, etc., 345-347.
Achreans allied with Athens, 168.
Achaia, proconsul of, when appointed, 347.
á8eta, an indemnity-bill, 52, 95, 96.
Adeimantos named, 103.

Adule. inscription from, No. 173.
Eakides, father of Pyrthos, 195.
Fggina, shared in Persian War, 11.
occupied by Athens, 18, 114 .
in the Quota-lists, 28, 43, 50.
freed by Lysander, 114.
how regarded by Athens, 161.
in the Achean Learue, 323.
under Pergamene kinge, 323-326.
under Rome, 323.
inacriptions from, Nos. 60, 189.
Figion. meeting - place of the Achrean League, 322.
Emilianua, his treatment of the Greeks, 345.

Finos, in Thrace, in the Quota-liste, 42. arsessed !, 78.
in the new Alliance, 140.
FErlic, nee Dialects.
Etolians, piracy of, 44, 309.
League of, $2 ; 6$.
sole managers of Dolphian temple, 309.

Agathokles' cureer, 242.
Agathon, brother of Asander, 241.
Agesilnow. in Esypt. 170.
Agis II of Sparta, nanied, 115.
Agis III, defeated by Antipater, 218. Agonippos, tyrant of Erewoe, 209-215. dүорауі́роs, 216.
dyopà $\pi \lambda t \theta o v \sigma a, 106$.
Agoratos, one of Phrynichos' agsacsing, 105.

ӓүрафо подлесs, 29.
dypeӨtives =aipe日évtes, 226.
Agyrrhios, the orator, 121 .
grandson of same name, 271.
alounvírps, 14, 323.
Akanthos in the Quota-lista, 41, 49, 80. asmessed, 78.
its selish policy, 130.
Akarnanians, allied with Athens, 140, $14^{9}$.
their loyalty, 150, 202.
dxparípia, 81.

ä for äג入a, 7.
Alexander II, son of Amyntas III named 134.
Alexander of Phere, his encruachment. in Thesealy, 172.
defented by the Thebans. 163.
Alexander, king of Molomi, 195.
Alexaniler the Great, his mother, 141. visits Korinth, 207.
at Granikus and Gordion, 234.
in Ionia, 207, 299 P, 278.
at Priene and Ephesor, 208.
at Arbela, 218.

- bexieges Tyre, 157, 233. 234.

Grecian novernente ag:inst, 218.
sentiunent of Athens twwards, 220.
at Baliylon, 215, 22i, 232.
recalls all exilen, 224, 227.
death. $229,235$.
his corpee conveyed to E.gypt, $233^{\text {s. }}$
literary revords of his campaisms, 221 , cp. 232.
Alexnander IV, 23., 238.
Alexander, con of Polyaperchon, 239.
Alketas, king of the Molose, allied with Athens, $140, \mathrm{cp} .195$.
grandion of the mame name, 195.
Alkibiadea, his policy, 78.
takes selymbria, 110.
named, 96, 11 .
Alkimachos, bruther of Lysimachoo, 204.

वалаи ©соi, 52. 53, 66, 71, 89.
Alphabet, old Attic, exemplisied, 32,93.

Ambrakians, in the Persian War, 12.
Amorgos, inscription from, No. 186.
in the Quota-lista, 50.
in the new Alliance, 141.
suffers from pirates, 320.
Amphiktyons, Delian, 55, 143.
Delphian, 162.
of Etolia only, 309.
Ainphipolis, inscription from, No. 98.
Timotheos fails at, 165.
taken by Philip, 173, 182.
Amyntan III, his treaty with the Cbalki. dians, 129.
his chequered reign, 130.
restored, $130,134,165$.
dvarpaфai, early templo-registers, 2.
draypaфฑิs, 113.
Anaktorians, fought at Plates, 12.
dva日tpara, early inecribed, 1 .
in the Capitol, 333, 337, $34^{8}$.
examples of, Nos. 4, 5, 6, $7,9,10$, $12,15,17,18,20,25,27,32, .36$, 45, 49, 96, $117,124,129,162,163$, 168, 175, 177, 180, 185, 192, 197, 198, 199.
Andukider, 59, 102.
Andros, in the Quota-lists, 42, 49.
huw assessed, 73.
in the new Alliance, 140.
garrison at, 180.
Androtion named, 189.

Antalkid:s, peace of, 133, 138, 142, 1: $1,153$.
Antigonos (Monophthalmos), 212.
oploserl by Ptolemy, Seleukos, Kassander, 241, 282, 285.
assumes the title of king, $24 \%$.
his letters to Teos, 249 full.
slain at Ipeve, 244, 254-
Intigonos Gonatas, $2 ; 2,273,276$.
Neferta the Gavis, 282.
in conflict with Antiochos 1, 281.
and with Ptolemy lhiladelphos in the Chremonidean war, 256.
Antigonus lkeon, 322, 343.
Antigonis, new tribe at Athens, 26:, 2Nく.
Antikles, 36.
Autiuchos Soter, and Ionian Erythre, $27 \%$
his difficulties, 278, 298.
makes peace with Niknmedes, Gonatas, Philadelphon, 28 I.
defeats the Gauls, 281.
Anticichos II (Theos), stantof freedum to Ionia, 298.
arbitrate between Samos and Priene, 261.

Antiochos III (the Great), and Iunia, 298.
his war with Rome impending, 327.

Antiochos Hiernx, his war with his brother Seleukos II, 299, 308.
defeatod with the Gaule by Attalon I, 308.

Antipater, Philip's general, 204.
crushes the riaing under Agis, 218.
his war with Perdickas, 235.
his death, 239.
Antissa, joins the new Athenian Alliance, 141.
L. Antistius Votus, proconsul of Asis, 355.
M. Antonius, tampered with Cesar's papers, 343.
AO- for AT-, $179,232$.
Apemantos, the sons of, 116.
dф¢ $\sigma$ т $\dot{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ киє $\nu, 299$.
Apodektre, the Athenian, 132, 192.
droкко, 38, cp. 65.
Apollodoros, tyrant at Eresos, 214.
Apollonia, Lacharee of, 184.
selfish policy of, 130.
A pollonios of Bneporve, 189, 192.
Aratos, general of A chean League, 313.
Archelaos, half-brother of Philip, 165.
Arethuan, in Eubosa, joins the new Athenian Alliance, 140.
Areus, king of Sparta, 287.
Argon, defeats Korinth, 9.
allied with Athens, Mantineia, and Elis, 93 .
inscription from, No. 136.
A ricuarzanes, his intrigues, 152.
Aristiden, his assesment of tribute, 30, 40.

Aristodemon, the Mileaian, 247.
tyrant of Megalopolis, 290.
A ristotle, text of Pultice viii (v), 3, 15 . corrected, 133.
and Mermiae, $1 ; 6$.
Arkadia, early condition of, 8, 17.
allied with A thens, $16 \mathbb{E}, 286$.
relations with Crimea, 188.
relations with Sparta, 288.
town of the Arkalian League, $28 \%$, 289, 290.
constitution of the League, 291.
Arrhalneros, Macedonian general, 23:, 238.
'Approaios, or 'Espobaios, father of Amyntas III, 129.
Araince, concubine of Philip, wife of Lagos, mother of P'ulemy Soter, 297.

Arainoe, daughter of Lraimachoe, frat wife of Ptolemy Philadelphos, 297.
Arsinne, sister and wife of Ptolemy Philadelphor, 28t, 29\%, 310.
Artaxerxes II (Mnemon), revalt in his reign, 170.
named, 177.
Artaxerxea III (Ochos), nauned, 178.

Artemision, battle of, 11 .
Arybbas, Molossian prince, 141. expelled by Philip, 193, 195.
Asander, nephew of Asander of Karia, 240.

'Aotpaioviatos (unknown), join in the new Athenian Alliance, 141 .
Astykrates of Delphi, at Athens, 162.
Astypalse, inscription from, No. 203.
in the Athenian confederacy, 48,75 . a civitas faderata, $34^{8}$.
Atarneus, and its ruler Hermias, 175.
Athena Nikephoros, at Pergamon, 326.
Athena, Phidias' statue of, $46,4 i$.
her treasures, how far national property, 52, 66, 89, 94

Athense Diades (Euboea), in the Quotalists, 43, 50.
how assessed, 73.
in the new Alliance, 140.
Athenian Confederacy, how grouped, 29, 47, 71 ; see Quola-liste, Tributarics.
Athenians, their name on the Delphian monument, 11 .
neglect their interests in the . Egean, 193.
resist Philip in the Thrakian Chersonnene, 196.
declare war with Philip, 199.
send Kleruchs to Samos, 161, 227.
in dispute with Beotian League, 266.
many fighting nt Granikos, 234 -
many slain at Ipsos, 2 in.
ill the war against Philip V, 285 (cp. 288), 31; 318.

Athenodoros the conduttiere, 1 ;4.
Athens furtified, 478 B.c., 13.
393 8.c., 207.
liberated by Demetrios, 2+3-247.
held hy Macerdonian garrisons, 239, 265, 268 foll., 273,$28 ;$ foll., 312.
in alliance with Rhegion, 56.
with Leontini, 57.
with Argos Mantincia and Elia, 93.
with Beotia, 122.
with Eretria, 123.
with Opuntian Lokrians, 124.
with Amyntas III, 134.
with Klazonuense, 133 .
with Byzantion, 135.
with Chalkis, 136 , cp. 33.
with the new Confederation, 139 full.
with Chioa, 137.
with Korkyra, Akarnania, and Keph. allenia, 148 .
with Leukadia, 155.
with Dionysios I, 158.
with Sparta, 159.
with Keos, 165.

Athens in alliance with Arkadia, Achreans, Elis, and Phlius, 168.
with Thessalians, 171.
with Neopolis in Thrace, 182.
with the towns of Keoe, 185.
with Thrakian, Pæonian, and Ilyrian kinge, 187.
with Mytilene, 192.
in the Lamian war, 229.
with Sparta, Ptolemy Philadelphos, Acheans, Arkadians, Kretans, in the Chremonidean war, 286.
relations with Tarentum. 277.
Athens, inscriptions from, Nos. 9, 13, 14 . 19, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, $34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,4^{2}$, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53, $54,55,56,57,58,59,62,64,65$, $66,67,68,69,71,72,73,75,76$, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 91, 92, 93, 94, 93, $97,103,104,105,106,107,108$, 109, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 127, 128, 133, 134, 137, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, $145,1+6,147,153,154$, $155,156,157,158,159,160,161$, $163,167,169,170,181,183,19_{4}$, 196.

Attalos I, defeate Antinchos Hierax and the Gaule, 308, 311.
his dedications at Athens, 312.
helps Rome against Philip V, 317.
Attalos II (Philadelphos), 324, 325.
Attalon III, makes Rome his heir, 323 .
named, 325.
Auduleon, king of Pæonia, and Athens, 266-269.
Autokles, general, 95.
aitoкpáтap, as epithet of Bounh, 52.
Axivchos, named, 103, 104, 109.
afoves, of Solon, 112.
Bapváperov, 3.
Baбidcia, for Baotiéa, 267.
Ba日ünm, Æolic for Boy日ia, 209, 211, 235, 238.
Batinecos, disputed region near Priene, 259.

Berenike, wife of Ptolemy Siter, 296.
Herenike, wife of Pwlemy Euergetes, 3 10.
Besika Bay, 199.
Bias, of Priene, 260.
Bı $\beta \lambda i o v$, for $\psi$ indiona, 122.
Bithys, courtier of Lysimachee, 265.
Black Sea, corn-supplies frum, 133,189 , 191, 196, 2 -0.
Breotia, reduced by Athens, 32, 33.
allied with A theur, 122.
politics of, 123, 241, 336 .
in dispute with Athens, 266.

Eleians, early power of, 8.
treaty of, with Athens, Argos, and Mantincia, 93 .
with Athens, Arkadis, Achaia, and Phliva, 168 .
decree of, No. 200 A.
in the Chremonidean War, $28 \%$.


ivari乡e and aim, 11.
èvexcpa, 145.
tгетipa, for eloctifpa, 119.

EO for ET, 173, 179, 23 .
Epaminondas in Poloponnese, 152.
his cruise, 168.
at Mantincia, 169.
Intrcia, what. 93.
Epherian templo of Artemis, 5, 208, 352.
Epleesos, in the Quote-linte, 41, 49.
ancemed, 74 -
Samian democrats befriended there, 121.
logal to Antigonos and Demetrion, 258.
an Egyptian dependency. 306.
in the Mithradatic War, 351-354.
inscriptions from, Noe. 4. 150, 151 , 205.

дфі́бтіоя = нíтокои (!), 62.
Ephetre, their number, 113.
tquopweiv. 303. 304.
Ephora, list of, 115 .
Epidauros, in the Persian War, 11.
eracuatod by the Athenians, $94-$
itıraptia, 103. 104.
Epikurus at Samos, 161.

iтıиipios, epoayuos at Norum Ilium, 279.
eponymos at Kios, 174.
magistrate at Neoss, 237.
iriфорá, 40, 47.
\$хіогото, 25, 65.
imoráta, 47.
Epitaphy, Nim. 1, 2, 11, 13. 14, 19. 22, 42. 69, 69; almo 1p. 1 ③.
irúticer. 6.
irowna, 6:.
inimiov. 102.
Eravinides, named, 105.
Erawures made for political motives, 108, $285,288$.
Eresos, inscription from, No. 125.
joins the new Alliance, 141 .
revolutions at, 208-21s.
Eretria, in the Persian War, 12.
in the Quota-lixta, 43. 50.
huw amessel, 73 .
allied with Athens, in the Korinthian War, 123.
in the new Alliance, 140.
rejoine it, 181.

Épora, for Soprip, 2.33.
'Eppdoios, or Alpsaios, finther of Ampntes III, 129.
Erythre in Ionia, reduced by Athens, 24.
in the Quoterlista, 41, 49, 80.
amemed, 74
independent of Pertis, 176.
independent under Antiochoe I, $2 ; 8$.
inecriptions from, Nos. 70, 100, 102. 164.

Esenes, prieats of Artemis at Epheson, 258.

Etruscans dofeated by Hiero, 14
Euboem politice of, 33, 123, 136, 18r, 241, 248.
Euetion, Athenian general, 98. another of same name, 230.
Euklid's archoschip mentioned, 122.
Eumenes II, 323. 325.
ally of Rome, 328.
Euphiletoa, named, 103.
Eurysilaos, tyrant of Eresos, 214.
cilluvor, 14.
Euthydemos, Athenian general. 94
Eragiras, king of Kypros, 127, 141, 233.

1\}at日paтеít1), 177.
ifaoris, a kind of fringe, 161.
iferaorifs, a tribal officer at Auhens, $26_{4}$.
if cievraxias, explained, 351 .
Exiles recalled, see Aleminiler, Samon.
Exprenditure, public, see Accuants.
Q. Falius Maximus, Q.f., proconsul of Macodonia, 3+7.
Financial lerus, a number of Greek. 354-35:-
Ficheriea, revenues from. 56, 228.
Flamininua, his dealinge with the Greck uwns, 327.
taker Gythiom, 327.
his conxular prower prolonged, 32 S .
awi-tent by fumenes 11, 328.
Furli rata ciritates, 349. 356.
Four vears' war between Athenians and Lemuetrios Puliorkelat, 263.26 .
Funeral of Athenians ulain in battle, 18, 59, 124, 125.

Gaiar, father of Masiniera, 319.
Galatians, their origin, 2i5-
Galatian war, 261.
(iaulish war-lax, $2 ; 8$.
Gauls, in Italy, 274.
repuleed frum Delphi, 274-
paes into Asia, $275,281$.
cerve as mercenarich, 278 .
defeaked by Antiochow Soter, 288.
by Antigomos Gonatea, 282.
by Attalos $1,308,31$ I.

Gauls defeated by Eumenes II，309， 312. Gelo， 17.
ytuos， 232.
Gods appointed to offices，eponymous or otherwise， 340.
Gorgiss the Sophist， 57.
Gorgos and Minnion of Iasoe，227， 231.

Gortyna，allied with Hierapytna and Priansion，291．
Grabos，King of Illyria， 187.
үра́лцата＝тıга́xıа， 203.
Granikos，battle of，208， 234 ．
ypaфทे тараvбرаv， 107.
Gylon，grandfather of Demosthenes， 191.
Gythion，inscription from，No． 191.
captured by Flamininus， 327.
E80s＝placitum，21， 23.
Hagnon，Perdikkas admiral，238， 282.
aipi $\theta \in v$ for pipi $\theta \eta \sigma a v, 314,315$.
Haliartos destroyed by Romans， 335 ．
Halieis，Athenians fight at， 18.
Halikarnassos，inscriptions from，2．No． 21.
revolution at， 22.
in the Quota－liste，28， 49.
ansessed， 75 ．
See Kiaria．

átoy，for aúrúv， 351.
Hekatomnos，father of Maussolos，177， 179.

Hekatumpedon of the Parthenon，its contents，88， 90.
inatootús， 232.

＇EラAрротанia！，26，2\％，30，43，48，53．54， 67－70，80，94－y8，106， 112.
＇EA入ๆбォivtios фúpus，29，41，50，71，76， 77． 80.
Helots，revolt of， 16 ．
iniriba， 60.
tue podpónдая， 220.
Iferenns，their early treaty with Eleians， 7.
in the Arkadian league， 290.
in the Achrean league， 322.
Hereon at Sianoy，its treasures， 160.
named， 232.
Heraon，tyrant of Eresos， 213.
Heraklea，Lattle of， 277.
Heraklea Latini，inscription from，No． 193.
made a libera cirilas， 329.
Herakles，progenitor of the Ptolemies， 297.

Hermse，mutilated， 102.
Hermias of A tarneus，1；6．
allied with Erythre， 175.
Hermajone，in the Pernian war， 12.
Hermon，tyrant of Ereave， 213.

Herodotos，exiled from Halikarnasson， 22.
document cited by him． 32.
Herostratos，burnt the Artemision， 5.
Hestirea，occupied with kleruchs，35．
in the new Alliance， 140.
Hierapytna，inscription from，No． 1 ； 2. allied with Priansion， 291.
Hiero I，his victory at Kyme， 14 －
Hiero II，his character， 286.
Hierokles，the prophet． 36.
Hierokles，father of Hiero II， 285.
Hikesios，Pergamene governor of \＆gina， 323.

Hippias，son of Pisistratos， 9.
Hippokrates，general，67．
Histizes，of Miletos（？）， 5.

Honorary decrees，their value， 285.
L．Hortensius，commands in third Mace－ donian war， 338.
A．Hostilius，consul， 334.
 356.
imenti日e大日as，to withdraw property in time of peril， 292 ：see iкriӨeo日as．
ínресiá，ships＇crews， 192.


Iasos，inscriptions from，Nos． 130,132, $174,182$.
in the Quota－lists， 49.
its situation， 228.
its liberties under the Seleukide， 298.
relations with Ihodes， 313 foll．
inıürat in the Buv入ì．47．\％9．
Idrieus，brother and yuccessur of Maus． solos， 193.
Ikos，in the Quota－lists，f1．fo，So．
in the new Alliance， 140 ．
Imprecations，15，235：Nee Oathe， Trentien．
Interest on lianne， $56,66,144^{-1} 47,319$ ， 340－351．352－35ミ．
Ionic：see Dialcct．
＇Iavixis фúpus，29，4ī，48，；1，So．
Iphikrates，132， 13.3 ．
Iphitus，his quoit， 1 ．
1 pson，battle of，2；2，2；3．
Iulis，in Keos，in the new Alliance， $141,142,165-8,186$ ．

Ka入ırúperos for Calpurnius． $3+2$ ．
Kullik ratem，betrays the Achican league， 330.

Kallisuedon the＇Crab，＇${ }^{27} 1$.
Kalymna，disorders at， 222.
Kamarina，newly fuumded，1；－．
Kamacarye，wife of Prusias II．339．
Kammes，tyrant of Mytileue， 1 y3．

Kaphys, in the Chremonidean War, 287: cp. 290.
Karia, under Lygdamia, 22.
in the Athenian Confederacy, 29, 42, 71; (se0 1-initz).
after Peace of Antalkidas, 142.
ander Mausolos, 177, 179.
under Asander, 240.
an Egyptian dependency, 306.
handed over to Rhoder, 329.
Kapuxds $\phi$ ©pos, 29, 42, 71 .
Karthsea in Keos, inecription from, No. 178.
joins the new Alliance, 141, 142.
temple of A pollo there, 166.
ite commercial troaty with Athons, 185.

Karystoe in Euboen, in the Quota-liste, 42.
how anessed, 73.
joing the new Alliance, 140.
re-joins it, 181, 247-8.
Kamander, his struggle with Polysperchon, 239.
againgt Antigonos and Donnetrios Poliorketes, 241, 282, 285 .
invades Altika, 242, 247-8.
after Ipeos, 261.
besieges Oreos, 282.

мататы́лта, 318.
кa0ix́1, 13 .
Kekryphaleia, Athenian victory at, 20.
Keos, in the Persian War, 12.
in the Quota-lists, 42, 49.
how acessed, i3.
its four towns, 142, 186, 309.
ite relations with Athens, 16\%.
its trale, 185, 309.
its relations with Etnlian League, 309.

Kephallenians, allied with Athens, 148.
Kephalus, the orator, named, 131, 138.

Kephianlurns, named, 104.
Kephimdouse, the orator, named, 157.
Kephisuphon, named, 121.
Kertch (Yantikapeovn), inscription from, No. 110.
Ketriporis, King of Thrace, 187.
Kinesias, the poer, named, 126.
Kios, inecription frum, No. 99.
in the Quota lists, 28, 80.
acsemed, 76.
mi är $_{\text {for }} \chi$ iróry, 161.
Klazomense, in the Quota-liste, 28, 41. aspomed, is.
affected by the peace of Antalkidan, 133.
relieved by Archeatratoe, $257^{\circ}$.
Kleicoe, Macedunian aduiral ${ }^{235}$.
Kleomedes, general againat Meloes, 96.

Kloon, Pergamene governor of Eigina, 324.

Kleonsana, at the battle of Tanagra, 23.
«入 ${ }^{\text {Inpos, lots, }}$ I.
allotments, 307, 308.
«入ทpouxia, Athenian, 35, 38, 65, 114, 161, 196, 227.
the aystem unpopular in Greece, 139, 141, 161.
Kleruche, exempted from $\phi$ pos, 36.

Knidos, Konoa's victory at, $126,127$. nonvosíxiov, in Krete, 294.
колlaxplita, 32, 72.
Kolophonians, in the Quota-linte, 27, 48.
masemed, 74.
incorporated with Epheeve, 254
Konon, named, $125,127$.
statue of, 141.
a nameanke of, $175 \cdot$
Koressor in Koos joins the new Alliance, 141, 142, 185.
Korinth, its early struggles with Korkjra, 3.
early strugglee with Megara, 3 .
early etruggles with Arguw, 9 .
shared in the Persian War, in.
battle of, 124, 125.
Korinthian War, 122-125, 206-207.
Korkyra, inscription from, No. 2.
carly power of, 4 .
Athenian expedition to, 58.
in the new Alliance, 140, 148.
epitaph on Korkyrean envoys at Athens, 150.
Koroneia, battle of, 32.
battle of, in Korinthian War, 124.
how treated by Rome, 335. 338.
Kow, in the Quota-lists, $4^{2}, 49$.
seversed, it.
ecized by Idrieua, 193.
itn lawer, 255 .
an Egyptian dependency, 306.
how treated by Nithradatem. 353.
Kosuas Indoplevaton, his travela, 296.
Riopor in Krele, 291 foll.
Kotya, Thrakian king. named, 218.
Kranbon, battle of, 249 .
Krateros, Macedonian keneral, 249.
Krenides, (afterwards Mhilippi,) occupied by Philip, 183.
Krete, Athenian captires in. 234.
towns of, allied with Achens in Chremoaidean war, 288.
a treaty from, 291.
piracy in, 295.
reduced by Metellug, 295.
Kraeos, hie gitts to the Epbesian temple, 5 .
aivincer Xípoes, 223.
xipßets of Solon, 113 .
Kydias, Atbenian warrior at Delphi, $274 \cdot$
Kydon, A thenian partisan at Byzantion, 135.

Kydonia in Krete, 234, 295.
Kyllene, senate of, 346.
Kyme, Hiero's victory off, 14 .
Kyproe, Athenians engaged in, 18.
relations with Athens, $12 \%$.
history of, 127, 157, 233.
its value to Egspt, 233.
Kyretize, inscription from, No. 190.
how treated by the Etolians and Romans, 326-327.
Kythoos, shared in the Persian war, 12.
how ascessed, 73.
Kyzikoe, in the Quota-liste, 41, 48, 80.
ascemed (?), 76.
Lachares, tyrant at Athens, 264, 273, 285 .
Lagon, father of Ptolemy, marrios Arainoe, 297.
Lakedremon, see Sparta.
Lamachoe, the general, named, 96.
Lamia arbitrates as an iкклךтos múdss, 266.

Lamian war, 229, 230. 239, 247, 285.
Laodike, murders her husband Antiochos Theos, and his second wifo Berenike, 299.
Laws of Athens, hnw revised, 79, 112.
Leares employed for writing, 1 .
Lebedos, aseased by A thens for tribute, 74.
its history, 254.
incorporated with Ephesos, 254.
Lemnos, purt of the realm of Antigonos Monophtialmon, $2+1$.
Lensea, when celebrated, 126.
Leonnorios, leader of the Gaule, 275.
Leontini, allied with Alh, ns. 57.
Lepreon, shares in the l'ercian war, 12.

Leptines, brother of Dionyxine I, 126.
Leslose, ite politics. 193, 208-215.
Aíofßos. 154.
Leukadia, in the Peraian war, 12.
allied with Athens, 155.
Leukon, ruler of I'antikapaeon, 188.
Leuktra, battle of, 155.
Liberae cicilutes, 3 29, 336, 356.
Lilybern, 31.
Liry, his text corrected, 319. 335336.

Loans from temples, 52,55 foll., 66 foll., 144-147. 319, 352,354 .
other loans, 349-35I.
Aorroral, at Athens, 30, 52, 67, 161.
Lokrian Dialect exemplified, N'o. 63.

Lokrians, Hypoknemidian, colonize Naupaktos, 118.
Opuntian, in Alliance with Athens, 124.
C. Lucretius Gallus, commands in the war against Persous, 332.
his character, 337.
Lutarios, leader of the Ganls, 275.
Aúrpa "Extopos, tragedy by Dionysios I, 151.

Lygdamis, of Halikarnassos, 21.
Lygdamis, tyrant of Naxos, 260.
Lykortas, his policy. 330.
Lykurgos, Spartan lawgiver, 2.
Lykurgos, the orator, 89.
his buildings, 219, 231.
his administration, 220
decree in his honour, 245.
Lyppeios, king of Paonia, 187.
Lysander, restorem the Prinetans, 114 .
in Thasos, 117.
captures Samos, 121.
takee Byzantion, 136.
Lysimachos, amumes the title of king, 247.
his struggle with Demetrios Poliorketes, 257.
arbitrates between Samos and Priene, 259, foll.
builds Novun Nlium, 281.
Máapkos for Marcus, 335.
Macedon, weak under Amytas III, 130.
its royal house claimed divine parentage, 297.
Macedonia, proconsul of, 347.
Q. Mreniug, T.f., pretor urbanus, 331 foll.
Magneaia ad Sipylum, submite to Plolemy Euergetes, 306.
abeorbed in Suyrna, 301.
Antiochos the Great defeated thero. 329.

Cn. Mallius, Cn. f., Consul. 348.
Ma入oфúpos = $\triangle \eta \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \eta \beta$ 3I.
Cn. Manlius, his cettlement of Asia, 261.
his letter to Heraklen Iatmi, 329.
mdvects, their official recognitiou at Athens, 19. 36.
Mantineia, allied with Athens, Argot, and Elis, 93.
battle of, its exact dato, 169 .
in the Chremonidean war, 287.
Maronitar, in the Quota-lints, 28, 41. 40, 80.
joins the new Alliance, 140.
Marque, letters of, = бüha \&isína, 44.
Masinissa, exact epelling of his nanie, 319.
his father, 3 19.

Mausenlos，eatrap of Karia，177－178．
his designs upon Erythre， 179.
his share in the Social war， 179.
Megara，inscriptions from，Nos．1，11， 144， 188.
its early struggles with Korinth，3， 9.
shared in the Persian war， 11.
Athenians and，18， 67.
liberated by Demetrio Poliorketes， 244.

Melos，shared in the Peraian war， 12.
subject to Sparta， $6 \mathbf{r}$ ．
how assessed by Athenians， 73.
expedition against， 96.
Memnon，Macedonian general，revolte， 218.

Memnon，Persian Admiral，at Erenoe， 214.
at Chios， 217.
Memphis，inscription found near，No． 96.

Menelaos，half brother of Philip， 164.
assists Timotheos， 165.
Menon，Athenian general， 18 r ．
Mercenaries，Greek，in Egypt，4， 170.
Athenian and others in the Peraian service，227， 272.
Gaulish，275，278， 308.
Kretan， 295.
Messenians，origin of Mcmenian wars， $3+3$ ．
subject to Sparta， 8.
they revolt， 17.
at Sphakteria， 8 r．
expelled from Naupaktos， 118.
dispute with Sparta about agor Den－ theliaten，341－344．

Methone，protected by Athens againat Perdikkas， 62.
its fortunces， 64 ．
destroyed by Philip， 183.
Methymna，joins the new Alliance， 140.

Mileus，inscriptions from，Nos．5．6， $175,197$.
in the Quota－lists．41，49．
temple and ora le of，2．18．299， 339.
arbitrates between the Messenians and Spartans， 3 ² $^{2}$ ．
mi入ros imported to Athens from Keon， 185.

Minnion and Gorgos of Iasos， 227 ， 23 I.
$\mu!\sigma \theta \omega \sigma e c s$, rents， 145.
Mlithradates，wars with Rome，351－ 354.

ниїцо⿱丷天心s at Halikarnaseos，21－23．
Money，powerasion of，illegal at Sparta， 62.

L．Mummius，his dedications at Olympia and Thebes， 340.

L．Mummius．determines the dispute betreen Sparta and Messenia， $3+3$ ．
named，342－343．
Munich，inscription at，No． 60.
Munychia garrisoned，239， 288.
$\mu$ ipoc of the Arkadian League， 291.
Muraxfes，a Lokrian gens， 120.
Museion garrisoned，265， 273 ．
garrison expalled，266－271， 288.
Mrkale，battle of， 11,14
Miskens，shared in Persian war， 12.
Mritonof，in the Quota－liste，42， 49. swessed， 73.
in the new Alliance， 140.
Nolsea，inscription from，No． 101.
relations with Maussolos，177－178．
Mrtilene，ingeription from，No． 131.
in the new Alliance，135，138， 140 ， 153.
under a tyrant， 193.
allied with Athens again， 192.

Nabis，the tyrant， 328.
reduced by Flamininus， 327.
his death， 330.
Names，aignificanco of perconal，5，32， 175， 202.
Naíloxov，a port in Ionia， 207.
Naupaktos，inscription from，No．63．
colonized by Opuntian and Epikne－ midian Lokrians， 117.
in the Etolian League，309， 338.
ite relations with Keos， 309.
Naxos，shared in ibe Penian War， 12.
in the Quota－liste，42， 49.
hive assessed， 73.
batcle of， 154
Nearchoe，a tyrant of Orchomenoe， 322.

Neon，general of Demetrios Poliorketes， ${ }^{2}+4$.
Neupolis in Thrace，in the Quota－lints， 28，41，49．
logal to Athens， 108.
in the new Alliance， 141.
threatened by Philip， 182.
Neoptolemos，king of the Moloses，allied with A thenw， $140,141,195$.

Nesor，near Leaboe，tributary to A thene， 78：
inscription from，No． 139.
Nikanor，prochaims Alezander＇s edict of recall， 227.
holds Athens for Kamander， 239.
Nikise，peace of， 66.
named，69，95， 96.
Nikuhles，prince of K！pros，157， 233.
Nikokreon，grandeon（！）of preceding． 233 ．

Nikomedes, king of Bithynia, invites the Gauls into Asia, 275, 281.
in conflict with Antiochos I, 281.
Notion, in the Quota-lists, 27.
shelters the Samian democrats, 121.
Norum llium (Hissarlik), its pretensions and history, 280-281.

Oaths and imprecations, 15. 71, 177, 211; see Treaties.
CEanthia, inscription from, No. 31. allied with Chaleion, 43.
beirpy for oiyciv, 226.
CEniadm, destroyed by the Etolians, 227.

OEnoe, engngement at, 207.
Conias, named, 103.

Olympia, inscriptions from, 1 ; Nos. 8, 10, 15, 17, 18, 32, 49, 129, 166, 194, 198, 200, 201.
Olympias, her parentage, 141.
murders Philip Arrhidreos, 238.
Olynthian Confederation, 130, 134, 183.
Olynthos, inscription from, No. $74 \cdot$ in the Quota-lists, 28. 41,49 .
its relations with Philip, 165, 183.
Ophellas of Kyrene, 242.
Opisthodomos of the Parthenon, its contents, 51, $5^{2}, 68,88$.
Orchomenos, Arkalian, shared in the Persian War, 11.
in the Chremonidean War, 287.
in the Arkadian League, 290.
in the Achean League, 321.
Orchomenos, Brotian, destroyed, 163.
Orupos, a frontier-tuwn, 266.
posecsed by the Thebany, 163.
Orsippos, the Merarian hero, 3.
Orthobulos, named, 135.
Oxford, inscriptions ai, Non. 87, 152, 172, 176, 20:.
Oxythemis, courtier of Demetrios Poliorketes, $2+3$.

Peonioa the sculptor, 8r.
Preriendes, king of Bumpors, 189.
Palamagnenia, a fort near Sinyrna, 307.

Pale (1) in Kephallenia, joins the new Alliance, 140.
Palerno Museum, inscription at, No. 107.

танатофаүсїтта, 120.
Pansetios, named, 104.
Panathenea, Greaker, when celebratod, 97.

Pantikapreon, see Kertch.
Panyauis, the Epic Poet (1), 21-23.
Paraloe, the, how maintained, 193.
Parion, in the Quota-lista, 28, 48, 8r. aceewed, 36 .

Parion, Phanokritos, a citisen of, honoured at A thens, 131.
Paris, ingcriptions at
Bibliotheque nationale, No. I.
Louvre, Nos. 19, 75.
Paros, in the Quota-lista, 42.
how assessed, 73.
joins the ner Alliance, 140.
Parthenon, built, 46, 5I.
its compartments, $5 \mathrm{I}, 52,88$.
Parthenon proper, its contents, 82 foll., 88.

Parthenos, Athena, Pheidiss' statue of, 46, 47, 89.
the Virgin-goddem of Neopolis, ro9, 110.

Пабıкр́́тela $=$ Persephone, 31.
Patronymics, peculiar Feolian, 214.
Pausanias, victur at Platrea, 12.
Pausanias, son of Pleistoanax, King of Sparta, named, 115.
revticoorí, harbour-duty of 2 per cent., 146. 186.

Hevtertpis, Panathenaic, 89.
течторкía, 43.
Peparethos, in the Quota-liste, 27 (?), 41, 49, 80.
assessed, 78.
in the new Alliance, 140.
$\pi \delta \pi \lambda o s$ in the Panathenaic procession, 27.3.

Perrea, Samian, 260.
Khodian, 315 ; cp. 329.
Perdikkas, King of Thrice, in collision $w$ ith Athens, 62-63.
Perdikkas, of Macedno, his war with Antipater, 235, 235.
Pergainene Kings, conquer the Gauls, 275, 308. 311.
purchase Æ.gina, 323-326.
their relations with Rome, 323, 328.
their art-treasures, 309-312.
Pergamon, inacriptions from, Nos. 177, ${ }_{1}$ So, 192.
Perikles, his policy, 33, 39, 40.
builds the Propylien, 50.
and the Parthenon. $+6,51$.
his finance, $\mathbf{\Sigma i}^{1,} \mathbf{\xi}^{2}, 89$.
Perinthos, in the Quota-liste. 41, 50, 81.
joins the new. Alliance, 140.
Mepyotapian, a Lokrian !erwa, 120
Permus, the war with, 335.
Peruian Wiar, list of Greek atates that took purt in, 11-13.
memories of, 286.
Phaedros, Athenian general in the Lamian War, 282, 285.
Planodikos, tyrant of Prukinneson, 6.
Pharnabazue and Kunon, 126.
Phaselis, in the Quota-lists, 28, 42, 49 .
ascewed, 74 .

Phaselis, allied with Athens, 127.
affected by peace of Antalkid an, $14^{2}$. a merchant of, 318.
Phcidias, his works, 46, 47.
Fhigaleia (Phinleis), in the Chremonidean War, 287; cp. 290.
Phila, wife of Demetrios Poliorketes, 248, 249, 281.
Philemon, poot of the New Comedy, his denth, 287.
Philip, takes Amphipolis, 173, 185.
his further aggressions, 182.
benieges Methone, 185.
the northern Kings combine with Athens against him, 187.
occupies Krenides, 188.
encroaches upon the Molowi, 195.
abets different partios in the Groek states, 217, 227.
interfores between Sparta and Menmenia, 343 .
his death, 207.
Philip Arrbidreos, 211, 215, 235, 238.
Philip V of Mscedon, invited to Krete, 295.
remonstrates with Rhodes, 313 foll.
in Asia Minor, 315.
clove of the war with, 327.
Philippides, the Comic poel, friend of Lysimachos, 271.
Philiskos, agent of A riobarzanes, 152.
Philitos, slays a tyrant at Chios, 216.
Philon, architect of the new Athenian arsenal, 231.
Philopmemen, his policy, 330.
Philoxenos the poet, named, 126.
Phlius, shares in the Persian War, 12.
alliel with Athens, 168.
a faithful ally of Sparta, 169.
\$úBos, name of "Apps, 31.
Phenikia, Athenians in, 18; eee Straton.
pouvıxíia = written charactern, 16.
Phokion. his career, 167, 197.
his death, 231.
Phokis, reaction agninst Thebee in, 163.

Phormio, the Athenian general, his successes, 20.
beloved by the Akarnanians, ${ }^{150}$, 202.

Phormio, an Akarnanian namesake of the preceding, 202.
कopos. aholished in the now Athenian Alliance, 136, 139 ; see Tribute.
Phrynichos, amaecinatod, 105.
different accounts of the event compared, 106.
Phylarchoe, the historian, named, 289291.

Pikner (Pigrer), Karian prince, alliod with A thenv, 28.
rivdecor, dikast's Licket, 203.

Piracy, 15, 44, 209, 295, 309, 319, 321, 349.

Pireeus, garrisoned by Demetrios Poliorketes, 268, 273, 288.
Pisistratos, son of Hippias, archon, 9.
Platea, rictory at, 11.
Platesns, named in the Delphic bronse, 12.

Plynteria, the feetival, 112.
Pnytagoras, prince of Kyproe, 233.
Poeessa, in Keos, joins the new Alliance, 140, 142, 186 ; see Keos.

-     - 

Полєдаios, i.e. Ptolemy, nophew of Antigonom Monophthalmos, 241.
Poletre, 32, 112.
accounts of, 102.
rodiáren, incense, 299.
Polybiof, his statue at Olympia, 344. elsewhere, 345 .
Pulyeuktos, the friend of Demonthenee, 182.

Polykrates of Samoe, 260.
Polysperchon, 235, 238.
his struggle with Kasander, 239.
Polystratos, namod, 104 .
Pornopia, site of a temple of Apollo Smintheus, 236, 238.
Puteidsa, shared in the Parsian War, 12.
in the Quota-liste, 42, 49.
Athenian victory at, 59, 60.
kleruchs sent to, 65.
taken by Philip, 182, 185.
 292.

Prepelaos, general of Lyaimachos, in Ionia, 257.
пребвian for тре $\beta$ Biav, 271.
Priansion, allied with Hierapytna, 291.
Priene, inscriptions from, Nos. 123, 124.
in the Quota-lists, 41.
ancersed, is.
dixputes with Samos, 259.
тровккia, 120.
Prokouneron, before the Percian War, 7.
in the Qunta-lista, 41, 48,80.
Pronsos (Proneion) of the Panthenon, its contents, $88, x^{8}$ foll.
Pronnoi, in Kephallenia, joins the new Alliance, 140.
Prupylaza built, 50.
ppoorárai at Amphipolis, 174.
Mpowááges, 339.
Prusiae II. 339.
invades Pergamene territory, 340.
rpurávecs, at Athens, their powere, 36, 39, $6: 71,72,79,122,196$.
Psaunnetichoe I, emploge Greek mercenaries, 5 .

Psammetichos II, and bis Greek mercenaries, 4.
Ptolemy Lagi (Soter), 233.
defeated by Demetrios Poliorketes, 247.
ussumes the title of king, 247.
assists Athens against Demetrios, 2:3, 285.
abdicates, 285.
claimed descent from Philip of Macedon, 297.
Ptolemy Philadelphos, arbitrates between Samos and Priene, 261.
marries his sister Arsinoe, 281, 297, 310.
allied with Athens in the Chremoni-
Ptolemy Euergetes, claims diviue descent, 297. dean War, 286.
his inheritance. 296.
invades the dominions of Seleukos II, 297, 306, 310.
origin of his title of Euergetes, 297.
Pylos, taken, 68, 8i.
Pyramids, the, 170.
Pyrrhander, named. 135, 136, 140.
Pyrrhos, king of the Molossi, his parentage, 195.
saree Athens, 268.
his first succesees againat Rome, 2;6, 27.
invades the Peloponnese, 285.
Quoit of Iphitos. 1.
Quota-lists of Athenian tributaries, Nos. $2+30,35,4^{8}$.
Quota of tribute payable to Athena, huw recknned, 26, 27, 64, 110.

Rebulas, son of Seuthes king of the Odryxe, 218.
Revision of laws at Athenk, 79, 112.
Rhegion. treaty of, with Athens, 56.
Rheneia and Delow, 56, 145, 146.
Rhodes, joins the new Alliance, 140.
scized by Idrieus of Karia, 193.
besieged by Deunetrios Poliorketes, 249.
properity of, 276.
ite l'erca on the mainland, 315.
ite relations with Philip V. 313 foll.
ite fleet amsists llome againxt Antiochoe the Great, 316.
rewanled with the poseossion of Karia, 329.
decline of, 319.
Roman Kepublican miagoverument, $3!3$.
Rocetta swne, the, 311.
Rudille, or real ochre, from Keme, 18 :.
P. Rutilius Rufus, P. f., consul, 3+7. 348.

Salamis, battle of, 11.
garrisoned by Antigonos Gonatas, 288.

Salmakis, n district of Halikarnassos, 22. Samian War. 24, 3 2.
origin of the Samian War, 260.
Samos, inscriptions from, Nos. 90, 135 , 148, $15^{2 .}$
its democracy loyal to Athens, 121 ; cp. 142.
occupied by kleruchs, 161, 232, 248.
its exiles restored, 232, 248.
disputes nith Priene, 259.
an Egyptian dependency, 306.
Samothrace, in the Quota-lists, 42, 49, 80.
joins the new-Alliance, 140.
Satyros, king of Bosporos, 188.
Scipio Amilianus, his sentiments towards Greece, $3+5$.
Sculpture: - statue of Athena Parthenos, 46. 47,89 .
statue of Athena Polias, 137.

- the 'Dying Gladiator,' 312.
-, Nike by Preonios, 81, 34 I.
- Zeus Eleutherios, 141 .
symbolical re'iefs on stelae, 59, 62, 125, 126, 168, 1S2, 184, 195, 204, 218.

Seleukid dynasty, claimed Apollo as their progenitor, 281, 298, 299.
difficulties of their empire, 308.
Ee入eukis, $\eta$, explained, 279, 300, 307.
Seleukos 1, his relations with the oracle of Branchide, 299.
sesumes the title of king, 247.
Seleukus II, sucreeds, 299, 306.
at war with his brother Hierax, 299.
his relations with the Ionian citios, $30 \%$.
Selinus, inscription from, No. 25.
its atruggle with Egesta, 31.
Selymbria, in the Quota-lists, 41, 48 , 81.
recovered to the Athenian alliance by Alkibiales. 111.
joins the new Alliance, 141 .
Senafus Comulta, Nos. 159, 203; cp. pp. 342 . $:$ : S
Seuthes, king of the Odryme, communicates with Athens, 218.
Sicilian Expedition, expenses of, 9698.

Sidonian merchants at Athens, 157.
Sibeion, inseriptions frum, Non. ${ }^{3}, 163$.
in the Quota-lisur, 41, 81.
a weswed, 77.
Sikinos, how aseersed, 33.
joins the new Alliance, $1+1$.
Sikyon (Eenvinctol), shared in the Pernian War, 11.
Simonides, poems by, 3, 10.

Siphnos, ite share in the Persian War, 12. in the Quota-liste, 50.
how assessed, 73.
joins the new Alliance, 14 I .
Skiathos, in the Quota-liste, 41, 49, 80. in the new Alliance, 140.
Slaves, curious list of, with prices, 102104.

Smyrna, inscription from, No. 176.
loyal to Seleukos II, 306.
a surbs Magnesia ad Sipylum, 300 foll.
Social war, the, 179, 180, 184, 193, 213.

Socii of Rome, their privileges, 337.
Sokrates as prytanis, 36.
Solnn'y legislation, 113.
Soothsayers, see mavicis.
Sophuklen the poot, as Hellenotamias, 39, 43.
as genaral, 39.

title of Ptolemy Lagi, 296-297.
Sparti, inscriptiou from, No. 43.
Spartans, their name on the Platsean tripod-stand, 11.
their war-fundy, how raised, 62.
their factions ruin the Achsean League, 330.
Spartokos III, king of Bosporos, 189.
Spartokos IV, his relations with Athens, 268, 269.
otarvíб0wv, i.e. crennlo, 294.
siele, cost of inucribing one, 141, 154, 156 , etc.
etequinфи́pos, epnaymous office at Miletne, 298, 339, 342.
Elipendiuria Cirilus, 336.
Erod Baбı入єia, 112.
Straln, his text corrected, 237.
rrparproi, Athenian, how appointed, 18, 67.
otparypus, a translation of prator, 331, 3.3.3, $334,342$.
 peregrinks, 347.
atpartiós Emaros for consul, axplained, 3:6, $327.329,340$.
Stratukles of A uphijpolis, baniahed, 173.
Stratokles the Athenian oralor, 241.
his decree in honour of Lykurgos, 245-246.
his servility, $262,273$.
Strawn, king of Sidon, hin relations with Athens, 155.
Stratonike, daughter of Demetrios Poliorketes, 258.
nuarries Seleukos I, 258.
uarries her etepeon Antiochos I, 281.
her temple at Smyrna, 30\%.
Stratunike, wife of Eumenes II, 325.

Styra in Euboas, shared in the Persian war, 12.
in the Quota lists, 43, 50.
how ascessed, 73.
rû̀a 6 bobvas, explained, 44
Sulla, his treatment of Athens, 231.
his treatment of Ephesos, 354 .
his settlement of Aaia, 355.
$\sigma$ oviג
oípßoia, of public h:mpitality, 157.
of dikasts, 203.

ouvapxias explained, 249, 323.
ouviav for ouveíev, 7 .
Sunion, garrisoned by Antigonos Gonstas, 288.
ouvouxcoubs, of Elis, 8.
of Heraa, 8.
of Keos, 309.
of Lebedos and Teos, 253.
ouvráfecs of the new Athenian Confederacy, 168, 180, 199.
ouvt 1 e cîs, explained, 30.
Synaloe of Carthage, 242.
Synod and $\sigma$ íve8por, of the new Athenian Alliance, $139,151,154,181,199$.
in the Lamian War, 229, 247.
of Spartan confederacy, 288.
Syratuse, inecription from, No. 168.
under Hiero I, 14
an Arkadian at, 17.
under Dianysios I, 126, 150, $15 S$.
under Hiero II, 285.
Tachos, king of Egypt, 169.
Tacitus, a document referred to by him, No. 200.
тацias тэ̂s $\theta \in 0 \hat{0}, 46,52,67,15 \%$.
 88 ; Now. 50, 51.54.
тарiar тâv dulav 0cầ, 52.
тацias tîs maphiov, 192.
Tanagra, battle of, 23.
Tanis in the Delta, an inscription from, No. 179.
Târos, for Pthah, an Egyptian dirinity, 170.

Tarentum, its war with Thurii, 43.
assisted by Pyrrion against Bome, 276, 277.
ite relations with Athens, 277.
Tegen, inscription frum, No. 171.
shared in the Persian war, 11.
in the Chremonidean war, 287.
in the Arkadian Iangue, 289.
Teichiusea, tyrant of, 5.
in the Quota-liste, 29.
assemed, 74.
Teisias, A thenian general agniant Melos. 96.

те $\mu$ irm, tomplo-landa, 38, 56, 145.
Tomple regioters, carls inccribed, $1,2$.

Temples employed as banks, 51, 55, 66, 144. 319, 352.

Tenedos (cp. IBesika Bay), 199.
in the Quota-lists, 41, 48, SI.
assessed (?), 77.
in the new Alliance, 140.
Tenos, inscription from, No. 204.
its share in the Persian War, 13.
in the Quota-lists, 49.
how assessed, 73.
joins the new Allinnce, 140.
deeply in delt, 349.
a lepd v $\bar{\eta} \sigma o s, 35$ r.
Teus, inscriptions from, Nos. 16, 149.
condition of, nfter Mykale, 14.
in the Quota-lists, 49.
assessed, 74.
intended colonization of, $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{4 9}$ foll.
Tharypas, Molossian prince, 195.
Thasos, when made tributary, 24.
in the Quota-lists, 28, 49, 80.
revolts, 108, 116.
Lysander at, 117.
joins the new Alliance, 140.
Thearides, brother ofDionysios 1, named, 126.

Theban inscriptions of Hermototos, 2.
Thebans, join the new Athenian Alliance, 139.
coalition against, 151 .
their power in Northern Greece, 163. expelled from Eubcea, 181.
Thebes, dedication by Mummius at, $34^{\circ}$.
Themistukles fortifies Athens, 13.
Thecolosia, a town in the Crimea, 19r, 192.

Therphrastos, the philosopher, his political conduct at Eremer, $21+$
Therma (afterwards Thesalonika). its marble, 236, 238.
Therxippos. general of Alexander, 23:${ }_{2} 3^{8}$.
Thespin, shares in the Persian war, 12.

Athenian party at. 32.
Thewsalians, their treaty with Athens, 171.

Thirty, the (ol тpiácorra), 116.
Thirty years truce, 29, 52.
Thiskre, wivatus consultiom concerning, 331.

Opíxior фupos ( $1 \pi i$ epq́nचs $\phi.), 29,41$, 48, 71, 80.
Thranybulus, aseavin of Phrynichos, 105.

Thrasybulis (Kodaureúr), a friend of the restorer of the democracy, $1+0,1+1$.
Thramybuloe (Eretpicús), restores the democracy, $113,136$.
Thukydides, the historian, documente cited by him, 9. 93.

Thukydidea, son of Melesias, banished, 39.

Thurii, its war with Tarentum, 4s.
Timber for ehipbuilding, etc., whence obtained, 130, 233.
Timotheos, Athenian general, his career, 141, 149, 1:4, 161, 165.
tip for tis, 7.
Tiryns, shared in the Persian War, 12.
 то́xos retpaibüdou, 35 I.
тра́тє̧a, an aliar table, 171, 322.
Treasure lists of the Parthenon, Nus. $\ddagger 0$. 51, 54.
at Ægina, No. 60.
at Samos, No. 90.
at Branchide. Nos. 175, 197.
Treaties, Nos. 8. 23. 28, 31, 39. 40, $52,38,6:, 66,67,73.74,7$, 79 . 80, 81, 83. 86, 88, 93. 94. 9\%, 100 , 104, 106, 108, 109, 112, 169, 172, 1;6, 187, $=03$.
Tribes, importance of Athenian, 18 , 200, 239.
increased to twelve at Athens, 262.
at Mylaea, $17 \overline{ }$.
Tributaries, lists of Athenian, Now. 24. 30, $3 \approx, 47.48$.
their proballe number, 30.
Tribute, A theni:n ( $\varphi$ ofos), how assessed, 30, 40, 4i. 64. 71, 72, 79, 110.
total amount of, 30.
whether doubled by Alkibiades, - -s.
when paid, 63 .
how paid, 36.
transferred from Delos to Athens. 29.

Tripodstand from Delphi, the Placitan, 11 foll.
tpitrúapxot, tribal officers at Athens, 264.

Tposaivin (Trrzen), whared in the lersian War, 12.
тúmos, i. e. formili, actio, 35 万.
Tyla, headquarters of the Gaul- in Thract, 282.
Tyrante, the earlier, 5, 6, 17, 22.
in the 4th $^{\text {th }}$ century. 193, 208-215. 216-217.
Arkadian. 29~, 322. Siee Kilriu, Lachares, Nabia, Syrakuce.

Tisury, see Intirest, Loana, Tboor.
Vienna, inscription at, No. 74.
Votes, record of, 209, 343.
of the States engaged in the Lamian War, 229.

Walls of Athens, built hy Themistokler, 13.

Walls of Athens, rebuilt after the victory of Knidos, 206, 207.
War-expenses, how net at Sparta, 62. at Athens, 58, 66, 9+, 165, 288.
against the Gaule, under the Seleukidx, 278.
Wood, writing upon, 1 .
Writing, when introduced intoGreece, I.
Eevindン \&eкабтíprov, 224.
fevosical, 44 .

Year, how reckoned in Asin Minor, $3+4$. how reckoned at Athens, 67, 97, 143.

Zakynthos. its factions, 142, cp. 153. Zeno, the Stoic, his influence, 287. Zenohios, general of Mithradstes, 353. zeìs Náios of Dodona, 277.
 the Achean League, 322.
Zopyrion, Macedonian general, defeated in Scythis, 218.

## Clarendon 『press, Oxford.

## SELECT LIST OF STANDARD WORKS.



## 1. DICTIONARIES.

A New English Dictionary on Historical Principles, founded mainly on the materials collected by the Philological Society. Imperial 4to. In Parts, price 128. 6 d . each.

Vol. I (A and B), half morocco, 2l. 12 s .6 d .
Part IV, Section 2, C-CAs8, beginning Vol. II, price 58.
Part V, CAST-CLIVY, price 123. 6d.
Edited by James A. H. Murray, LL.D.
Part VI, CLO-CON. Immediatedy.
Vol. III, Part I, edited by Henry Bradley, M.A., price $12 s .6 d$.
An Etymological Dictionary of the English Language, arranged on an Historical Basis. By W. W. Skeat, Litt.D. Second Edition. 4to. 2145.
A Middle-English Dictionary, containing Words used by English Writers from the Twelfth to the Fifteenth Century. By Francis Henry Stratmann. A new edition, re-arranged, nevised, and enlarged by Henry Bradley. 4to, half-bound, 11. 112. 6 d .
An Anglo-Sazon Dictionary, based on the MS. collections of the late Joseph Bosworth, D.D. Edited and enlarged by Prof. T. N. Toller, M.A., Owens College, Manchester. Parte I-III. A-SAR 4to, stiff covors. 15s. each. Part IV (completing the Work) in the Prese
An Icelandic-English Dictionary, based on the MS. collections of the late Richard Cleasby. Enlarged and completed by G. Vigfoseon, M.A. With an Introduction, and Life of Richard Clearby, by G. Webbe Dasent, D.C.L 4to. 3l. ia
A Greek-English Lexicon, by H. G. Liddell, D.D., and Robert Scott, D.D. Serenth Edition, Rerised and Augmented throughout. 4to. 12 16a.

An Intermediate Greek-English Lexicon, founded upon the Seventh Edition of Liddell and Scott's Greek Lexicon. Small 4to. $128,6 d$.
A Latin Dictionary, founded on Andrews' edition of Freund's Iatin Dictionary, revised, enlarged, and in great part rewritton by Charlton T. Lewis, Ph.D., and Charles Short, LLD. 4to. il 5 g
A School Latin Dictionary. By Charlton T. Lewis, Ph.D. Small 4to. 18s.
A Sanskrit-English Dictionary. Etymologically and Philologically arranged, with special reference to Greek, Latin, German, Anglo-Saron, English, and other cognato Indo-European Languagea. By Sir M. Monier-Williams, D.C.L. 4to. it 14e. $6 d$.
Thesaurus Syriacus: collegerunt Quatremère, Bernstein, Lorsbach, Arnoldi, Agrell, Field, Roediger: edidit R. Payne Smith, S.T.P. Vol. I, containing Fasc. I-V, sm. fol. $5 L$ 5

Fasc. VI. 1l. 1s. Fasc. VII. IL IIs. 6d. Fasc. VIII. 1l. 16 s.

## 2. LAW.

Anson. Principles of the Hall. International Law.

English Law of Contract, and of Agency in its Relation to Contract. By Sir W. R. Anson, D.C.I. Sieth Edition 8vo. roc. 6d.
——Law and Custom of the Constitution. Part I. Parliament. 8vo. 100. 6 d .
Bentham. An Introduction lo the Principles of Morals and Legislation. By Jeremy Bentham. Crown 8vo. 6a. 6d
Digby. An Introluction to une Hiclory of une Law of Real Property. By Konelm E. Digbr, ILA. Third Edidion. 8vo. 100 6d.
Grueber. Lex Aquilia. The Roman Law of Damage to Property: being a Commentary on the Title of the Digest 'Ad Legem Aquiliam' (ix 2). With an Introduction to the Study of the Corpus Iuris Civilian By Erwin Grueber, Dr. Jur., M.A. 8ra. 10s. 6 d .

By W. E. Hall, M.A. Third Edition. 8vo. 222. 6 d .

Holland. Elements of Jurisprudence. By T. E. Holland, D.C.L Fifth Edition. 8vo. 108. 6 d .

The European Concert in the Eadern quexion; a Collection of Treaties and other Public Acts. Edited, with Introductions and Notea, by T. E. Holland, D.C.L 8vo. 128. $6 d$.

Holland. Gentilis, Alberici, De Iure Belli Libri Trese Edidit T. E. Holland, I.C.D. Small tto. half moroceo, 28.

Holland. The Institutes of Justinian, edited as a recension of the Institutee of Gaing, by T. E. Holland, D.C.L. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8ro. 5R

Holland and Shadwell. Select Tilles from the Digest of Justinian. By T. E. Holland, D.C.L., and C. L. Shadwell, B.C.L 8vo. 14 s.
Also sold in Parte, in paper covers, as follows:-
Part I. Introductory Titles, 22,6d.
Part II. Family Law. 18.
Part III. Property Law. 2s.6d.
Part IV. Law of Obligations (No. 1). 3s. 6d.
Part IV. Law of Obligations (No. 2). 4e 6d.

Markby. Elements of Law considered woith references to Principles of General Jurisprudence. By SirWilliam Markby, D.C.I. Fourth Edition. 8vo. 12a. 6d.

Moyle. Imperatoris Iustiniani Institutionum Libri Quattuor; with Introductions, Commentary, Excursus and Translation. By J. B. Moyle, D.C.I. Second Edition. 2 vols. 8vo. Vol. I. 16s. Vol. II. 6 s .

Pollock and Wright. An Essay on Possession in the Common Lavo.

By Sir F. Pollock, M.A., and R. S. Wright, B.C.I. (Now the Hon. Mr. Justrce Wrigit). 8vo. 8e 6d
Poste. Gaii Institutionum Juris Cioilis Commentarii Quattuor; or, Elements of Roman Law by Gaius. With a Translation and Commentary by Edward Poste, M.A. Third Edition. 8vo. 18s.
Raleigh. An Outline of the Law of Property. By Thos. Raleigh, M.A. 8vo. 78. 6 d.

Stokes. The Anglo-Indian Codes. By Whitley Stokes, LLID.

Vol. I. Substantive Law. Sro. 300. Vol. II. Adjective Law. 8vo. 355. A Supplement to the abore, 18871888. Stiff covers, 2s. 6d.

Twiss. The Law of Nations considered as Independent Political Coonmunities By Sir Travers Twise, D.C.L

Part I. On the Rights and Duties of Nations in time of Peace. Now Edition. 8ro. 158
Part II. On the Rights and Duties of Nations in time of War. Second Edition. 8vo. 218.

## 3. HISTORY, BIOGRAPHY, ETC.

Baker's Chronicle. Clironicon Galfridi le Baker de Sucynebroke. Edited with Notes by Edward Maunde Thompeon, Hon. LLLD. St. Andrews; Hon. D.C.I Durham; F.S.A. ; Principal Librarian of the British Musoum. Small 4to, stiff covers, 182 ; cloth, gilt top, 218.

Bentham. A Fragment on Gxernment. By Jeremy Bentham.

Edited with an Introduction hy F. C. Montague, M.A. 8ro. is. 6 N . Bentham. An Intioduction to the Primiples of Morals and Iegidation. By Jeremy Bentham. Crown 8vo. 6s. 6d.
Boswoll's Life of Samuel Johncom, LL, D. Edited by G. Birkbeck Hill, D.C.L. In six volumen, medium 8vo. With Portraits and Facsimiles. Half bound, 3 3. $3<$

Calendar of the Clarendon
State Papers, preserred in the Bodleian Library. In three volumes. 1869-76.

Vol. I. From 1523 to January 1649. 8vo. 18e.

Vol. II. From 1649 to 1654 168.
Vol. III. From 1655 to 1657.148
Calendar of Charters and Rolls preserved in the Bodleian Library. 8vo. ilh ila. 6 d

Carte's Life of James Duke of Ormond. 6 vols. 8vo. 11. 5\%

Clarendon's History of the Robalion and Cicil Trars in England. Ro-odited from a fresh collation of the original MS. in the Bodleian Library, with marginal dates and occasional notea, by W. Dunn Macray, M.A., F.S.A. 6 vols. Crown 8vo. 2h 5e.

History of the Rebellion and Ciril Wars in England. To which are subjoined the Notes of Bishop Warburton. 7 rols, medium 8ro. 2 L 108.

History of the Reliellion and Ciril JTars in England. Also his Life, written by himself, in which is included a Continuation of his History of the Grand Rebellion. Rojal 8ro. il 25.
——Life, including a Consimuation of ais History. 2 vols. medium 8ro. it 2 se

Earle. Handbook to the LanclChartors, and other Saronic Documents. By John Earle, M.A., Profeseor of Anglo-Sazon in the University of Ozford. Crown 8ro. IGs.

Finlay. A History of Greece from its Conquest by the Romans to the present time, B. C 146 to A. D. 1864. By George Finlay, LLD. A new Edition, revised throughout, and in partre-writton, with considerable additions, by the Author, and edited by H. F. Tozer, M.A. 7 vole. 8 ro. 3l. 100
Fortescue. The Gorernance of England: otherwise called The Difference between an Absolute and a Limited Monarchy. By Sir Jolin Fortescue, Kt, A Revised Text. Edited, Notes, with Introduction, etc., by Charles Plummer, M.A. 8vo, half bound, iss. $6 d$.

Freeman. The History of Sicily jrom the Earliest Times. Vols. I. and II. Evo. Cloth, 2l. 2s. Juat Publisher.

- History of the Nornan Conplust of England; its Cames and Results. By E. A. Freeman, D.C.L. In Six Volumes. 8ro. 5l. 9e. 6d.
——The Reign of Willians Rufius and the Accession of Henry the First. 2 vols. 8vo. 11. $16 \Omega$
-A Short History of the Norman Conqueaf of England. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.


## Gardiner. TheConstitutional

Documents of the Puritan Rerolution 1638-1660. Selected and Edited by Samuel Rawson Giardiner, M.A. Crown 8vo. ge.
Gascoigne's Theologicul Dicfionary "Liber Veritatwm "; : Solected Passages, illustrating the Condition of Church and State, 1403-1458. With an Introduction by James E. Thorold Rogers, M.A. 4to. 100.6 d .

Greswell. History of the Dominion of Canada. By W. Parr Greswell, M.A. Under the auspices of the Royal Colonial Institute. Crown 8vo. With Eleven Maps. in. 6r.

Geography of the Dominion of Canada and Nevofoundland. By the same Author. Crown 8vo. With Ten Maps. $6 s$.
Gross. The Gild Merchant; a Contribution to British Municipal History. By Charles Gross, Ph.D. Instructorin History, Harvard University. 2 vols. 8 vo. Half-bound, 248.

Hodgkin. Italy and her Inraders. With Plates and Maps. By T. Hodgkin, D.C.L Vols. I-IV, A.D. 376-553. 8vo. 3l. 8s.

The Dynasty of Theodosius; or, Seventy Years' Struggle with the Barbarians. By the same Author. Crown 8vo. 6s.
Hume. Letters of David Hume in William Strahan. Edited with Notes, Index, \&c., by G. Birkbeck IIIll, D.C.L 8ro. 12 s .6 d.
Kitchin. A History of France. With Numerous Maps, Plans, and Tables. By G. W. Kitchin, D.D. In three Volumes, Second Edition. Crown 8vo, each ros. $6 d$.

Vol. I. to 1433. Vol. II. 14531624. Vol. III. $162+1793$.

Luttrell's (Narciseus) Diary. A Brief Historical Relation of Stato Athairs, 1678-1:14. 6 vols. 8 vo. 11.48.

Lucas. Introduction to a Historical Geography of the British Colonices. By C. P. Lucas, B.A. With Eight Mape Crown 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.

Lucas. Historical Geography of the British Colonics:

Vol. I. The Mediterranean and Eastern Colonies (exclusive of India). With Eleven Maps. Crown 8vo. 58.
Vol. II. The West Indian Colonies. With Twelve Maps. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
Machiavelli. Il Principe. Edited by IL Arthur Burd, M.A. With an Introduction by Lord Acton. 8vo. Cloth, 14 s.
Ranke. A History of England, principally in the Seventeenth Century. By L. von Ranke. Translated under the superintendence of G. W. Kitchin, D.D., and C. W. Boase, M.A. 6 vole. 8vo. 3l. 3s.
Rawlinson. A Manual of Ancient History. By George Rawlinson. M.A. Second Edition. 8vo. 148.
Rhys. Studies in the Arthurian Legend. By John Rhyss, M.A. 8vo. 128. 6d.
Ricardo. Letters of David Ricardo to T. R. Wallhus (1810-1823). Edited by James Bonar, M.A. 8vo. 10s. 6 d.
Rogers. History of Agriculture and Prices in England, A. D. 12591702. By James E. Thomold Rogers, M.A. 6 vols., 8vo. il. 2f.

First Nine Iears of the Bank of England. 8vo. 8s. 6d.

Protests of the Lords, induding those wohich hare been expunged, from 1624 to 2874 ; with Historical Introductions. In three volumea 8vo. 2l. 28.
Smith's Wealth of Nutions. With Notes, by J. E. Thorold Rogere, M.A. 2 role 8 ro. 218.

8prige's England's Recovery; being the History of the Army under Sir Thomas Fairfax. 8vo. 68
Stubbe. Select Charters and other Inuedrations of Englich Constitutional Hidory, from the Earlioce Times to the Raign of Edioard $I$. Arranged and oditod by W. Stubbe, D.D., Lord Biahop of Oxford. Seventh Eddiom Crown 8ro. 8s. $6 d$.

- The Constitutional Hislory of England, in its Origin and Dooclopment. Library Edition. 3 vole. Demy 8vo. 2L8.
Aleo in 3 rols. crown 8vo. price 122. each.
- Seventeen Lectures on the Study of Medioral and Modern Hictory, delitered at Oxford 1867-1884. Crown 8vo. 8\& 6d.

Stubbe. Registrum Sacrum Angticamem. An attompt to exhibit the course of Episcopal Succeasion in England. By W. Stabbe, D.D. Small 4to. 8a. 6d

Wellealey. A Selection from the Dopartchen Tration, and olher Papers of the Marquase Walledey, K.G., during his Goownement of India. Edited by S. J. Owen, M.A. 8vo. il 4 l

Wellington. A Selection from the Dapatches, Treatives and other Papers rolating to India of Fiold-Marshal the Duke of Wedlingtom, K.G. Edited by S. J. Owen, M.A. 8va il 4 m

Whitelock's Memorials of English Affairs from 1625 to 1660. 4 rols. 8vo. 1 LL 108.

## 4. PHILOSOPHY, LOGIC, ETC.

Bacon. The Essays. With Introduction and Illustrative Notes. By 8. H. Rexnolds, M.A. 8vo, half bound. 128.6 d .

Novum Organum. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, \&c., by T. Fowler, D.D. Second Edition 8vo. ${ }^{158}$

Norum Organum. Edited, with English Notes, by G. W. Kitchin, D.D. 8vo. ger 6d.

Norum Organum. Tranolated by G. W. Kitchin, D.D. 8va 9a. 6d.
Berkeley. The TTorks of Goorge Berkeley, D.D., formerly Bishap of Coyns ; including many of his scritinge hitherto unpublished. With Prefaces, Annotationa, and an Account
of his Life and Philocophy, by Aleaander Campbell Fracer, LLD. 4 voles. 8va. 2l 18 e

The Live, Lettere, Ac., exparaldy, i6e.
Bosanquet. Logic; or, the Norphology of Knociedge By B. Bosanquet, M.A. 8vo. 218.
Butler's Works, with Index to the Analogy. 2 vole. 8ro. irs.
Fowler. The Elemients of Deductive Logic, designed mainly for the use of Junior Students in the Cninersitica. By T. Fowler, D.D. Ninch Edition, with a Collection of Examplea Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. $6 \mathbb{2}$
-The Elements of Inductive Logic, designed mainly for the mee of Students in the Cninervitica, By the same Author. Fiun Edition Extra fcap. 8vo. 6a

Fowler. The Principles of Morals. (Introductory Chapters.) By T. Fowler, D.D., and J. M. Wilson. B.D. 8vo, boards, 3s. 6d.

The Principles of fromes. Part II. By T. Fowler, D.D. 8vo. 100. 6d.
Green. Prolegomenato Ethics. By T. H. Green, M.A. Edited by A. C. Bradley, M.A. 8vo. 12s. $6 d$.

Hegel. The Logic of Hegel; tranalated from the Encyclopaedia of the Philosophical Sciencee. With Prolegomena by William Wallace, M.A. 8ro. 14 s

Hume's Treatise of Human Nature. Edited, with Analytical Index, by L. A. Selby-Bigge, M.A. Crown 8vo. ge.

Locke's Conduct of the Understanding. Edited by T. Fowler, D.D. Third Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
Lotse's Logic, in Three Books; of Thought, of Investigation, and of Knowledge. English Translation; Edited by B. Bosanquet, M.A. Second Edition 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. I2s.

- Metaphysic, in Three Books; Ontology, Cosmology, and Psychology. English Translation ; Edited by B. Boeanquet, M.A. Scocond Edition. 2 vols Cr. 8vo. 128 Martineau. Types of Ethical Theory. By James Martineau, D.D. Third Edition 2 vole Cr. 8vo. $15 s$.
- A Study of Religion: its Sources and Contents. Second Edition. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. $15 s$.


## 5. PHYSICAL SCIENCE.

Aplin. The Birds of Oxfordshire. By O.V. Aplin. 8vo. 10s. 6 d.
Chambers. A Handbook of Descriptice and Practical Astronomy. By G. F. Chambers, F.R.A.S. Fourch Edition, in 3 vols. Denny 8 ro.

Vol. I. The Sun, Planets, and Comets. 218.
Vol. II. Instruments and Practical Astronomy. 218.
Vol. III. The Starry Heavens. 148
De Bary. Comparative Anatomy of the Vegetatire Organs of the Phanerogams and Ferns. By Dr. A. De Bary. Translated and Annotated by F. O. Bower, M.A, F.LSS., and D. H. Scott, M.A., Ph.D., F.L.S. Royal 8vo, half moroceo, 11. 28. 6d.

- Comparative Morphology and Biology of Fungi, Mycetasoa and Bacteria. By Dr. A. De Bary. Translated by II. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Revised by Isaac Bayley

Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Rugal 8vo, half morocco, il 2a, 6d.
De Bary. Lectures on Bacteria. By Dr. A. De Bary. Second Imrprored Edition. Translated by H. E. F. Garneey, M.A. Revised by Ieaac Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Fisher. A Cluss Book of Elementary Chemistry. By W. W. Fiwher, M.A., F.C.S. Crown 8vo. 4s.6d.

Chemistry in Space. By Van 't Hoff. Translated and edited by J. E. Mansh, B.A. Crown 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.
Goebel. Outlines of Classification and Special Morphology of Plants. A new Edition of Sachs Text-Book of Botany, Book II. By Dr. K. Goelel. Tranalated by H. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Revised by Isaac Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Rogal 8vo, half moroceo, il is.

Bachs. Lectures on the Physlology of Planta By Julius von 8echs Tranalated by H. Marahall Ward, M.A., F.L.s. Royal 8vo, half moroco0, 12.118 .64
—A History of Botany. Tranalated by H. E. F. Garnsoy, M.A. Edited by I. Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Crown 8va. 108
Solms-Iaubach. Introduction to Poeerl Bolany. By Count H. von Solme-Laubech. Authorised Inglinh Translation, by H. E. F. Garneoy, M.A. Rovised by Ieace Bayloy Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Royal 8vo, half morocco, 188.
Annals of Botany. Edited by Iseac Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.RS, Sydney H. Vinee, D.Sc., F.R.S, and W. G. Farlow, M.D.

Vol. I. Parts I-IV. Royal 8vo, half moroco0, gilt top, 1l. 16e
Vol. II. Parts V-VIII. 32.28
Vol. III. Part IX. 118. 6d.; X. 13n. 6d.; XI. 18s.; XII. in the Presp.

Vol. IV. Part XIII. 128. 6d.; XIV. 132. 6d.; XV. 108. 6d.; XVI. in the Prese.

Vol. V. Part XVII. 100 6d.
Biological Series. (Trunslations of Foreign Biological Memoira.)
I. The Physiology of Nerre, of M/uscle, and of the Electrical Organ. Edited by J.Burdon-Sandereon, M.D., F.R.SS. L.\& E. Medium 8 vo . 1 l . 1 s .
II. The Anatomy of the Frog. By Dr. Alexander Ecker, Profeceor in the Unirensity of Freiburg. Tranalated, with numerous Annotations and Additions, by G. Haalam, M.D. Med.8vo. 218.
III. Contributions to the History of the Physiology of the Neroous System. By Professor Conrad Eckhand. Translated by Miss Edith Prance. In Preparation.
IV. Essays upon Heredity and Kindred Biological Problems. By Dr. August Weismann. Translated and Edited by E. B. Poulton, M.A., Selmar Schonland, Ph.D., and Arthur E. Shipley, M.A. Medium 8vo. 16a
Prestwich. Geology, Chemical, Physical, and Stratigraphical. By Joeeph Preetwich, M.A., F.R.S. In two Volumea.
Vol. I. Chemical and Physical. Royal 8vo. 11. 3a
Vol. II. Stratigraphical and Phyaical. With a new Geological Map of Europe Rojal 8vo. 1L. 16a
New Geological Map of Europe. In case or on roller. 5 a
Rolleston and Jackson. Forms of Animal Life. A Manual of Comparative Anatoms, with deecriptions of selected types. By George Rolleeton, M.D., F.RS. Second Edition. Rerised and Enlarged by W. Hatchett Jackson, M.A. Medium 8va. 13. 1fos

## Orford

at the clarendon press

## LONDON : HENRY FROWDE

OXford university press warehouse, amen corner, e.c.


$$
\because i . u \quad .1 U
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I refer to Mr. Head's Guide to the Coine of the Amciente, 1881, of which four parts have been iscued ; Coinege of Syracuse, 1874, and Coinage of Ephesme, 1880, by the mave; Coingge of Elie, 1879, by Profococ Gandmer.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Prof. Jowete, Thucydides tramelated, vol. ii. p. lymiii.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The following apecdote, which I borrow from the Register of the British Muceum, will illustrate my meaning. It relatos to a fragment of an Athenian Treasure-list of the fourth century B.c., premented to the Briti-h Museum in 1863 . The fragment wan originally obtained from the Parthenon by an Englinh traveller, who, afterwards being present at a scaro at Pompeii, made nee of this opportunity to tert the acumen of the Director of the excavations by enrreptitiously introdocing into the soil then under examination this fragment from Athene. This having been done, a lady whom the traveller broaght with him as an accomplice preteaded to diccorer the fragment accidentally, while the excenvation was going on, and handed it to Cavalier Fiorelli. He immediately detected the trick, and declared that the fragment must be of Athenian origin, and from the Parthesva iteolf. The geneleman confened his trick, and the fragment was preeented to the British Museon.

[^3]:    1 Now. 27, 52, 119.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ Stactshashaltang der Alhomer, ii. p. 1.

[^5]:    ' I may be allowed aleo to refer to an article on Imecriptione (Gruet) in the new edition of the Encyclopedia Brilannica.
    

[^6]:    Found at Samos: the text is from C. Curtiun, Inechriflen u. Studien sur Gesch. c. Samon, P. 22.
    
    
    єітеע. 'Ехєเঠ̀̀ Г'́pyos каі M.
    ıvvt $\omega \nu$ (sic) Өєoठótov 'Iareîs ка-
    
    
    
    
    av паре (Xєто тєрі тд̀ $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu \rho[\nu \tau] \partial \nu \Sigma a-$
    
    
    
    

[^7]:    ${ }^{2}$ Sic poo ipigullov.

[^8]:    On a tomb in the Turkish Cemetery at Teos: the text from Le Bat-Waddington, l'oyage Archeoh pt. v. 86.
    A. Letter of Antigonos to the Teians.

[^9]:    
    
    

[^10]:    - Quippe genitus erat (Hiero) patre Hierocle, nobili viro, cujus origo a Gelone, antiquo Sicilize tyranno, manabat : sed maternum

[^11]:    Found at Branchidx : the text from Bieckh, C. I. G. 2852.
    'Епi $\sigma \tau \epsilon ф а \nu \eta \phi o ́ \rho o v ~ П о \sigma \epsilon ı \partial ̀ i \pi \pi о \nu, ~$
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    үраннéva.
    

[^12]:    
    
     xpvoâ кail appupâ cis àdadectv toîs $\theta \in o i ̂ s$
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    (Then follows the inventory, with which we are not concerned.)
    Apollo was the progenitor of the Seleukid dynasty (see No. 174), and it was his oracle of Branchidæ which first foretold the greatness of Seleukos I (Appian, Syr. 56; Diod. xix. 90). Seleukos I had been a benefactor of this shrine (Pausan. i. 16; viii. 46), and here Seleukos II sends gifts also. He writes the letter in his own name, but he couples with himself his brother Antiochos (Hierax), who must have been a mere boy. Hierax was still quite young when the war broke out between these two brothers: and Böckh, with much probability, supposes Seleukos II to have made these dedications directly after the murder of his father Antiochos Theos by his mother Laodike in 246 в.c. had secured his succession to the throne. After this convenient crime Seleukos wishes to conciliate the favour of heaven. $\pi 0 \lambda \iota a \nu \theta \eta$, line 21 , is governed by xpâcoac above: the word must mean incense, but the derivation is donbtful; els à 'z $x$ ere may mean 'for such purposes as you find requisite.' The $\theta$ eoi $\Sigma \omega$ injes are Antiochos I and Stratonike.

